

- 51.
- 1 Parameter of interest: $p_1 - p_2$ = true difference in proportions of those responding to two different survey covers (1 = Plain, 2 = Picture).
 - 2 $H_0 : p_1 - p_2 = 0$
 - 3 $H_a : p_1 - p_2 < 0$
 - 4
$$z = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{\hat{p}\hat{q}\left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}\right)}}$$
 - 5 Reject H_0 if P -value $< .10$
 - 6
$$z = \frac{\frac{104}{207} - \frac{109}{213}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{213}{420}\right)\left(\frac{207}{420}\right)\left(\frac{1}{207} + \frac{1}{213}\right)}} = -.1910 ; P\text{-value} = .4247$$
 - 7 Fail to Reject H_0 . The data does not indicate that plain cover surveys have a lower response rate.
52. Let $\alpha = .05$. A 95% confidence interval is $(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1}{m} + \frac{\hat{p}_2\hat{q}_2}{n}\right)}$
- $$= \left(\frac{224}{395} - \frac{126}{266}\right) \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\left(\frac{\left(\frac{224}{395}\right)\left(\frac{171}{395}\right)}{395} + \frac{\left(\frac{126}{266}\right)\left(\frac{140}{266}\right)}{266}\right)} = .0934 \pm .0774 = (.0160, .1708).$$
63. Let σ_1^2 = variance in weight gain for low-dose treatment, and σ_2^2 = variance in weight gain for control condition. We wish to test $H_0 : \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$ v. $H_a : \sigma_1^2 > \sigma_2^2$. The test statistic is $f = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2}$, and we reject H_0 at level .05 if $f > F_{.05, 19, 22} \approx 2.08$. $f = \frac{(54)^2}{(32)^2} = 2.85 \geq 2.08$, so reject H_0 at level .05. The data does suggest that there is more variability in the low-dose weight gains.
64. For the hypotheses $H_0 : \sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ versus $H_a : \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, we find a test statistic of $f = 1.22$. At $df = (47, 44) \approx (40, 40)$, $1.22 < 1.51$ indicates the P -value is greater than $2(.10) = .20$. Hence, H_0 is not rejected. The data does not suggest a significant difference in the two population variances.