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(Random Sample) \( X_1, \cdots, X_n \) constitute a random sample on \( X \) if \( X_1, \cdots, X_n \) are iid with the same distribution as that of \( X \). They have the same

- expected values (means): \( \mathbb{E}(X_1) = \cdots = \mathbb{E}(X_n) = \mu \)
- variances: \( \mathbb{V}(X_1) = \cdots = \mathbb{V}(X_n) = \sigma^2 \).
4.1 Sampling and Statistics

- In theoretical statistics, we use random variables to represent observations (i.e., data). Then, we can use probability to study their properties.

- In applied statistics, we use values. We look at their numerical results.
A statistic is a function of data. It becomes a real number after you have data.

- Before collecting the data, it is a random variable. In theoretical statistics, we treat it as a random variable.
- After collecting the data, it is a number. In applied statistics, we treat it as a number.
4.1.1. Point Estimators

Three main problems in statistics.

- **Point estimation.** The answer is a real number. There are three terms
  - **Estimation.** The entire method for the formula. It is the most important step in the derivation of the three main problems.
  - **Estimator.** The formula (must be a statistic).
  - **Estimate.** A value. After you have data, an estimator becomes an estimate.

- **Confidence interval.** The answer is an interval, such as $a \pm b$ or $[L, U]$.

- **Hypothesis testing.** The answer is *True or False*. 
Biased versus Unbiased

Suppose we use $T = T(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ to estimate $\theta$.

- If $E(T) = \theta$, then we call it is unbiased;
- otherwise, we called $E(T) - \theta$ as the bias of $T$.

Criticism: $T^2$ is not an unbiased estimator of $\theta^2$ even if $T$ is an unbiased estimator of $\theta$. 
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4.1.1. Point Estimators

If $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are random sample with common PDF (or PMF) $f(x)$ and CDF $F(x)$, then the joint PDF (or PMF) is

$$f_{X_1, \ldots, X_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)$$

and the joint CDF is

$$F_{X_1, \ldots, X_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} F(x_i).$$
4.1.1. Point Estimators

If a parameter is contained in $f(x)$ so that we can write

$$f(x) = f_\theta(x),$$

then the likelihood function is defined by their joint PDF (or PMF) as

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_\theta(X_i).$$
4.1.1. Point Estimators

- The likelihood function is identical to the joint PDF or PMF.
- The focus is the parameter but not the distribution.
- The maximum likelihood is the most important method.
- A main step in the maximum likelihood approach is the derivation of the maximizer.
- Maximum likelihood approach has also been extended to many cases.
- If $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of $\theta$, then for any continuous function $g(\cdot)$, $g(\hat{\theta})$ is also the MLE of $g(\theta)$. 
Example 4.1.1 Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are identically and independently collected from $\text{Exp}(\theta)$. The PDF of $X_i$ is $f(x) = \theta^{-1}e^{-(x/\theta)}$. The likelihood function of $\theta$ is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x_i}{\theta}} \right) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i} = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\frac{n}{\theta} \bar{X}},$$

where $\bar{X} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i / n$ is called the sample mean. The loglikelihood function of $\theta$ is

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = -n \log(\theta) - \frac{n}{\theta} \bar{X}.$$
4.1.1. Point Estimators

Taking derivative with respect to $\theta$, we obtain the estimating equation (EE) as

$$
\dot{\ell}(\theta) = \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n}{\theta^2} \bar{X}.
$$

Solve it for $\theta$, we obtain the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of $\theta$ as

$$
\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}}.
$$

Note that the right side only depends on data. It will be a real value if data are provided. This is an important property to check whether the solution makes sense.
Based on the data:

\[359, 413, 25, 130, 90, 50, 50, 487, 102, 194, 55, 74, 97,\]

we obtain

\[\bar{x} = 163.54.\]

Then, the maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of \(\theta\) is

\[\hat{\theta} = 1/163.54 = 0.006115.\]

Since

\[E(\bar{X}^{-1}) \neq \theta,\]

\(\hat{\theta}\) is a biased estimator of \(\theta\).
4.1.1. Point Estimators

- If I ask you maximum likelihood estimation, you need all of those.
- If I ask you maximum likelihood estimator, you need to provide $\hat{\theta} = 1/\bar{X}$.
- If I ask you maximum likelihood estimate, you need to provide 0.006115.
Example 4.1.2. Let $X$ be $\text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$. Then, $X$ can only be 0 or 1. Let $\theta = P(X = 1)$. Then, the PMF can be expressed as $f(x) = \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}$. We write $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$. Suppose that $X_1, \cdots, X_n \sim \text{iid} \text{ Bernoulli}(\theta)$. Then, the likelihood function of $\theta$ is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{X_i} (1 - \theta)^{1-X_i} = \theta^{n\bar{X}} (1 - \theta)^{n(1-\bar{X})}.$$
4.1.1. Point Estimators

The loglikelihood function of $\theta$ is

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = n\bar{X} \log(\theta) + n(1 - \bar{X}) \log(1 - \theta).$$

The estimating equation is

$$\ell'(\theta) = \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n\bar{X}}{\theta} - \frac{n(1 - \bar{X})}{1 - \theta} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} = \bar{X}.$$

Since $E(\bar{X}) = \theta$, $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\theta$. 
Example 4.1.3. Let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be iid from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Then, the PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

Let $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\mu, \sigma^2)$. The likelihood function of $\theta$ is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 + (X_i - \bar{X})^2]}.$$
The loglikelihood function of $\theta$ is

$$\ell(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2].$$

Taking derivatives, we have

$$\dot{\ell}(\theta) = \left( \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_1}, \frac{\partial \ell(\theta)}{\partial \theta_2} \right) = \left( -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} [n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2] \right).$$

Solving $\dot{\ell}(\theta) = 0$, we obtain the MLE of $\mu$ as

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$$

and the MLE of $\sigma^2$ as

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$
Based on the data given by the textbook (Page 229), we have
\( n = 24, \)
\[ \bar{X} = 53.92 \]
and
\[ n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = 97.25. \]
We obtain the maximum likelihood estimate of \( \mu \) as
\[ \hat{\mu} = 53.92 \]
and
\[ \hat{\sigma}^2 = 97.25. \]
Note: There is another estimator of $\sigma^2$. It is given by

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$ 

We call $S^2$ the sample variance and $S$ the standard error (or sample standard deviation). We can show that $E(S^2) = \sigma^2$. Then, $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is a biased estimator of $\sigma^2$. 
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**Example 4.1.4.** Let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be iid from uniform $[0, \theta]$. The PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 \leq x \leq \theta) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\theta}, & 0 \leq x \leq \theta, \\
0, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}$$

The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\theta} I(0 \leq X_i \leq \theta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta^n} I(0 \leq X_{(1)}) I(X_{(n)} \leq \theta).$$

where $X_{(1)} = \min(X_i)$ and $X_{(n)} = \max(X_i)$. Thus, $SS = \{X_{(n)}\} = \{\max(X_i)\}$. 
4.1.1. Point Estimators

**Example:** Let $X_1 \cdots , X_n \sim iid \text{ Poisson}(\theta)$. The PMF of the Poisson distribution is

$$f(x) = \frac{\theta^x}{x!} e^{-\theta}.$$ 

The likelihood function is the joint PMF, which is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\theta^{X_i}}{X_i!} e^{-\theta}$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{X_i!}) (\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i) (e^{-n\theta})$$

$$= (\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{X_i!}) (\theta n \bar{X}) (e^{-n\theta}).$$
Thus, $SS = \{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i\}$ or $SS = \{\bar{X}\}$, which is also the MSS. We still study the log-likelihood function (i.e., the logarithm of the likelihood function), which is

$$\ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = -\log(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{X_i!}) + n\bar{X} \log \theta - n\theta.$$ 

By

$$\dot{\ell}(\theta) = \frac{n\bar{X}}{\theta} - n = 0$$

we obtain the MLE of $\theta$ as

$$\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}.$$
4.2. Confidence Interval

4.2 Confidence Interval

Suppose that $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are random variables (or data). Let $L = L(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ and $U = U(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ be statistics. For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We say that the interval $[L, U]$ is $(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\theta$ is

$$P_{\theta}[\theta \in (L, U)] = 1 - \alpha,$$

where $1 - \alpha$ is called the confidence level or confidence coefficient. In confidence interval problems, we need to understand:

- confidence level,
- coverage probabilities
- length of the confidence interval.
4.2. Confidence Interval

Examples 4.2.1. and 4.2.2. Suppose

\[ X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim_{iid} N(\mu, \sigma^2). \]

Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) be observed values of \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \). We also have the observed value of the sample mean

\[ \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i, \]

and

\[ s^2 = \frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2. \]

Then, \( s \) is the observed value of the sample standard deviation.
4.2. Confidence Interval

We have

\[ \bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}). \]

Thus,

\[ P(\frac{-z_{\alpha/2}}{2} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2}) = 1 - \alpha. \]

With probability \(1 - \alpha\), there is

\[ -\frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leq \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{2}. \]
With probability $1 - \alpha$ there is

$$\bar{X} - z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$  

Then the $1 - \alpha$ level confidence interval for $\mu$ is

$$\bar{x} \pm z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} = [\bar{x} - z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + z_{\alpha} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}].$$
4.2. Confidence Interval

An often asked question is about the length of confidence interval. How large is the sample size $n$ so that the $1 - \alpha$ level confidence interval is less than $w$. Note that the length of the $1 - \alpha$ level confidence interval is

$$2z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$2z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq w \Rightarrow n \geq \left(2z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{\sigma}{w}\right)^2 = \frac{4z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2 \sigma^2}{w^2}. $$
4.2. Confidence Interval

*Modification 1.* If $\sigma^2$ is unknown, then we can replace $\sigma^2$ by $s^2$, leading the large sample confidence interval for $\mu$ as

$$\bar{X} - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}.$$  

This is recommend if $n$ is large (e.g., $n \geq 40$).

*Modification 2.* If $n$ is small, then one suggests to replace $z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ by $t_{\alpha,2,n-1}$, leading to

$$\bar{X} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2},n-1} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}.$$
4.2. Confidence Interval

Theoretical foundation.

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(X_i - \mu)^2] \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_n \]

\[ (n - 1)S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(X_i - \bar{X})^2] \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{n-1}. \]

\[ \bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}) \]

and \( \bar{X} \) and \( S^2 \) are independent.

Therefore, we have

\[ T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} \sim t_{n-1}. \]
4.2. Confidence Interval

*Coverage probability.* Suppose that we use

\[ \bar{X} \pm t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \]

to compute 95% confidence interval for \( \mu \). Theoretically, we need to evaluate the formulation of the coverage probability. It is given by

\[ P(\text{Coverage}) = P_{\mu, \sigma^2}(\bar{X} - t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \mu \leq \bar{X} - t_{\alpha / 2, n-1} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}). \]

This is the probability for the confidence interval to contain the true value. Generally, we say that the confidence interval is correct if it contains the true value of \( \mu \), or incorrect otherwise.
Equivalently, we have

\[ P(\text{Coverage}) = P\left(-t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1} \leq \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} \leq -t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}\right) = 1 - \alpha. \]

- We want to make the value identical to (or close to) \( 1 - \alpha \).
- We claim the formulation is bad if it is too high or too low.
- Based on the above result, we conclude that the formulation of \( t \)-confidence interval is good.
Figure: Coverage probability of the $t$-confidence interval as functions of $\mu$ when $n = 10$ and $\sigma^2 = 1.$
Example 4.2.3 (Confidence interval for binomial proportion). It is a large sample confidence interval (e.g., $np > 10$ and $n(1 - p) > 10$). Suppose $X \sim Bin(n, p)$ and $X$ is observed. The estimate of $p$ is $\hat{p} = X/n$ with

$$\hat{p} \sim^{approx} N(p, \frac{p(1-p)}{n}).$$

Approximately, we have

$$P\left( -z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right) \approx 1 - \alpha.$$
4.2. Confidence Interval

Solve the inequality

\[-z_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\hat{p} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} \leq z_{\alpha/2}.\]

We have

\[
\hat{p} - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} \leq p \leq \hat{p} + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}.
\]

Note that the left and the right are not statistics. We use the 1 - \(\alpha\) level confidence interval for \(p\) as

\[
\hat{p} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}.
\]

This is called the Wald confidence interval.
4.2. Confidence Interval

I also calculate the coverage probability of the Wald confidence interval by simulations. The result is displayed in Figure 2. Since the curve is not always close to 0.95. The formulation may not be correct.
4.2. Confidence Interval

Figure:
 Coverage probability of the \( t \)-confidence intervals as functions of \( \mu \) when \( n = 10 \) and \( \sigma^2 = 1 \).
4.2. Confidence Interval

Assume we observed

\[ X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{n_1} \sim \text{iid } N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) \]

and

\[ Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_{n_2} \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2), \]

where \( \sigma_1^2 \) and \( \sigma_2^2 \) are known. Then,

\[ \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} X_i \sim N(\mu_1, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1}) \]

and

\[ \bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} Y_i \sim N(\mu_2, \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}). \]

Then,

\[ \bar{X} - \bar{Y} \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}). \]
Suppose that $\sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_2^2$ are known. Write $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ are observed values of $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$ respectively. Then, the $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is

$$(\bar{X} - \bar{y}) \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}.$$
Large Sample Case. When $\sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_2^2$ are unknown, but both $n_1$ and $n_2$ are large (e.g. $m, n > 40$), then we approximately have

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} \sim \text{approx } \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Then, the $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is

$$(\bar{x} - \bar{y}) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}.$$
Pooled $t$-confidence interval. Assume $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2$. Let

$$S_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}$$

and write $s_p^2$ as the observed value of $S_p^2$. Then,

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{S_p^2(1/n_1 + 1/n_2)}} \sim t_{n_1+n_2-2}.$$

Thus, the $(1 - \alpha)100\%$ confidence interval for $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ is

$$\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \pm t_{\alpha/2, n_1+n_2-2}s_p\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}.$$
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Assume, we have data

\[ X \sim Bin(n_1, p_1) \]

and

\[ Y \sim Bin(n_2, p_2), \]

and \( X \) and \( Y \) are independent. Let \( \hat{p}_1 = X/m \) and \( \hat{p}_2 = Y/n \).

Then,

\[ \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \sim^{approx} N(p_1 - p_2, \frac{p_1(1 - p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1 - p_2)}{n_2}). \]
Since we can estimate the variance

\[ \frac{p_1(1 - p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1 - p_2)}{n_2} \]

by

\[ \frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}, \]

the large-sample \((1 - \alpha)100\%\) confidence interval for \(p_1 - p_2\) is

\[ \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}. \]
4.4. Order Statistics

Let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be iid continuous random variables with common PDF $f(x)$ and CDF $F(x)$. Let $X_{(1)}, \cdots, X_{(n)}$ be the order statistics. Then, the joint PDF of $X_{(1)}, \cdots, X_{(n)}$ is

$$g(y_1, \cdots, y_n) = n! \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_i)$$

for $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \cdots \leq y_n$. 
4.4. Order Statistics

The marginal PDF of $X_{(k)}$ is

$$g_i(y_i) = \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}[F(y_i)]^{i-1}[1 - F(y_i)]^{n-i}f(y_i).$$

The marginal PDF of $X_{(k_1)}$ and $X_{(k_2)}$ with $k_1 < k_2$ is

$$g_{ij}(y_i, y_j) = \frac{n!}{(i-1)!(j-i-1)!(n-j)!}[F(y_i)]^{i-1}$$

$$[F(y_j) - F(y_i)]^{j-i-1}[1 - F(y_j)]^{n-j}f(y_i)f(y_j)$$

if $y_i \leq y_j$. 
We call $X_{([qn])}$ is $q$-th quantile of $X_1, \cdots , X_n$, where $[\cdot]$ is the function of the integer part. The median is $X_{([n/2])}$.

As $n \to \infty$ for $0 < q_1 < 1$, we have

$$\sqrt{n}[X_{([qn])} - x_q] \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{q(1-q)}{f^2(x_q)}),$$

where $x_q = F^{-1}(q)$. 
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As \( n \to \infty \), for \( 0 < q_1 < q_2 < 1 \), we have

\[
\sqrt{n} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} X([q_1 n]) \\ X([q_2 n]) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x_{q_1} \\ x_{q_2} \end{pmatrix} \right] \xrightarrow{D} N \left[ 0, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q_1 (1-q_1)}{f^2(x_{q_1})} & \frac{q_1 (1-q_2)}{f(x_{q_1}) f(x_{q_2})} \\ \frac{q_1 (1-q_2)}{f(x_{q_1}) f(x_{q_2})} & \frac{q_2 (1-q_2)}{f^2(x_{q_2})} \end{pmatrix} \right].
\]
Example 1: Assume $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are iid random variables with common PDF $f(x)$ and CDF $F(x)$. Suppose we use $X_{(0.3n)}$ to estimate $x_{0.3} = F^{-1}(0.3)$. Then, we have

$$\sqrt{n}[X_{(0.3n)} - x_{0.3}] \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{0.21}{f^2(x_{0.3})}).$$

Therefore, the 95% confidence interval for $x_{0.3}$ is approximately

$$X_{(0.3n)} \pm \frac{1.96 \times \sqrt{0.21}}{f(x_{0.3}) \sqrt{n}}.$$
4.4. Order Statistics

Let \( x_m \) be the true median and \( X_{([0.5m])} \) be the sample median. Then,

\[
\sqrt{n}[X_{([0.5n])} - x_m] \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \frac{0.25}{f^2(x_m)})
\]

and the 95% confidence interval for \( x_m \) is

\[
X_{([0.5n])} \pm \frac{0.98}{f(x_m)\sqrt{n}}.
\]
4.4. Order Statistics

**Example 2:** In the previous example, suppose

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi [1 + (x - \theta)^2]}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty. \]

Then, \( \theta \) is the median and \( \tilde{\theta} = X_{([0.5n])} \) is an estimator of \( \theta \). The confidence interval for \( \theta \) is

\[ X_{([0.5n])} \pm \frac{0.98 \pi}{\sqrt{n}}. \]
Assume the PDF (or PMF) is $f(x; \theta)$, $\theta \in \Omega$. Assume $\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_1 = \Omega$ and $\Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1 = \emptyset$. Suppose we consider the hypotheses

$$H_0 : \theta \in \Omega_0 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \theta \in \Omega_1.$$ 

We will draw conclusion based on observations.
Look at the following $2 \times 2$ table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conclusion</th>
<th>Truth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accept $H_0$</td>
<td>Correct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reject $H_0$</td>
<td>Type I Error</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We call

\[ P(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid H_0) \]

is the type I error probability and

\[ P(\text{Accept } H_0 \mid H_1) \]

is the type II error probability. We call the maximum of type I error probability is the significance level, which is usually denoted by \( \alpha \). That is

\[ \alpha = \max_{\theta \in \Omega_0} P(\text{Reject } H_0 \mid H_0). \]
The power function of a test is defined by

\[ P(\text{Reject } H_0|\theta) , \]

which is a function of \( \theta \).

For a given \( \alpha \), we need to find the rejection region \( C \) based on a test statistic \( T \). We reject \( H_0 \) if \( T \in C \) and we accept \( H_0 \) if \( T \notin C \).
Example: Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are iid $N(\mu, 1)$. Let $\mu_0$ be a given number. We can test

\[(a) : H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : \mu > \mu_0\]

or

\[(b) : H_0 : \mu \geq \mu_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : \mu < \mu_0.\]

or

\[(c) : H_0 : \mu = \mu_0 \leftrightarrow H_0 \neq \mu_0.\]
Suppose that \( n = 10 \) in (a). Given the rejection region
\[ C = \{ \bar{X} > \mu_0 + 0.7 \}, \]
compute type I error probability when \( \mu = \mu_0 - 0.5 \), type II error probability when \( \mu = \mu_0 + 0.5 \), the power function as a function of \( \mu \), and the significance level.
Solution: Note that

\[ \bar{X} \sim N(\mu, 1/10). \]

The type I error probability when \( \mu = \mu_0 - 0.5 \) is

\[
P(\text{Type I} | \mu = \mu_0 - 0.5) = P(\text{Conclude } \mu > \mu_0 | \mu = \mu_0 - 0.5)
\]
\[
= P(\bar{X} > \mu_0 + 0.7 | \mu = \mu_0 - 0.5)
\]
\[
= 1 - \Phi\left( \frac{\mu_0 + 0.7 - (\mu_0 - 0.5)}{\sqrt{1/10}} \right)
\]
\[
= 1 - \Phi\left( \frac{1.2}{\sqrt{1/10}} \right)
\]
\[
= 1 - \Phi(3.79)
\]
\[
= 7.53 \times 10^{-5}.
\]
The type II error probability when $\mu = \mu_0 + 0.5$ is

\[
P(\text{Type II}|\mu = \mu_0 + 0.5) = P(\text{Conclude } \mu \leq \mu_0|\mu = \mu_0 + 0.5)
\]
\[
= P(\bar{X} \leq \mu_0 + 0.7|\mu = \mu_0 + 0.5)
\]
\[
= \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_0 + 0.7 - (\mu_0 + 0.5)}{\sqrt{1/10}}\right)
\]
\[
= \Phi\left(\frac{0.2}{\sqrt{1/10}}\right)
\]
\[
= \Phi(0.63)
\]
\[
= 0.7356.
\]
As a function of \( \mu \), the power function is

\[
P(\text{Conclude } H_1|\mu) = P(\bar{X} > \mu_0 + 0.7|\mu) \\
= P_{\mu}(\bar{X} > \mu_0 + 0.7) \\
= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\mu_0 + 0.7 - \mu}{\sqrt{1/10}}\right).
\]
Figure: Power functions of the normal problem. The left is $P$(type I). The right is $1 - P$(type II).
4.5 Introduction to Hypotheses Testing

The significance level is

\[ \alpha = \max_{H_0} P(\text{Type I}) \]

\[ = P(\text{Type I} | \mu = \mu_0) \]

\[ = 1 - \Phi(0.7/\sqrt{1/10}) \]

\[ = 1 - \Phi(2.21) \]

\[ = 0.0135. \]
4.5 Introduction to Hypotheses Testing

Given significance level $\alpha(1, \alpha)$, provide the rejection region for the three testing problems.

**Solution:** We reject $H_0$ if

$$\bar{X} > \mu_0 + \frac{z_\alpha}{\sqrt{10}}$$

in (a),

$$\bar{X} < \mu - \frac{z_\alpha}{\sqrt{10}},$$

or

$$|\bar{X}| \geq \frac{z_\alpha/2}{\sqrt{10}}$$

in (c).
If we choose $\alpha = 0.05$, then we have

$$\bar{X} > \mu_0 + \frac{1.645}{\sqrt{10}}$$

in (a),

$$\bar{X} < \mu - \frac{1.645}{\sqrt{10}},$$

or

$$|\bar{X}| \geq \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{10}}$$

in (c).
Example: Suppose $X \sim Bin(n, p)$. We can test

(a) $H_0 : p \leq p_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : p > p_0$

or

(b) $H_0 : p \geq p_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : p < p_0$

or

(c) $H_0 : p = p_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : p \neq p_0$.

Suppose that $n = 30$ in (a) and $p_0 = 0.5$. Given the rejection region

$$C = \{X \geq 19\},$$

compute type I error probability when $\mu = 0.3$, type II error probability when $\mu = 0.7$, the power function as a function of $\mu$, and the significance level.
Solution: Note that $X \sim Bin(n, p)$. We have

$$P(\text{Type I}|p = 0.3) = P(X \geq 19|p = 0.3) = P(Bin(30, 0.3) \geq 19) = 1.62 \times 10^{-4}$$

and

$$P(\text{Type II}|p = 0.7) = P(X < 19|p = 0.7) = P(Bin(30, 0.7) \leq 18) = 0.1593.$$  

As a function of $p$, the power function is

$$P(\text{Conclude } H_1|p) = P(X \geq 19|p) = P(Bin(30, p) \geq 19).$$
Figure: Power functions of the binomial problem when $p_0 = 0.5$ and $n = 30$. The left is $P(\text{type I})$. The right is $1 - P(\text{type II})$. 
4.5 Introduction to Hypotheses Testing

Given significance level \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), provide the rejection region by the Wald method.

**Solution:** Let

\[
Z = \frac{X - np_0}{\sqrt{np_0(1 - p_0)}}.
\]

We call \( Z \) the test statistic. We reject \( H_0 \) if

\[ Z > z_\alpha \]

in (a). We reject \( H_0 \) if

\[ Z < -z_\alpha \]

in (b). We reject \( H_0 \) if

\[ |Z| > z_{\alpha/2} \]

in (c).
Example 4.6.1: Let $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ be iid sample with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$. Test

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0.$$ 

Let $\alpha$ be the significance level. Then, we reject $H_0$ if

$$\left| \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{S} \right| > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}.$$
Example 4.6.2: Assume $X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}$ are iid $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n_2}$ are iid $N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$. Test

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 \leftrightarrow H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2.$$ 

Suppose $n$ is large. We reject $H_0$ if

$$\left| \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}} \right| > z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$ 

Suppose that $n$ is small but we assume $\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2$. Let

$$S_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)}.$$ 

We reject $H_0$ is

$$\left| \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{S_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \right| > t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n_1+n_2-2}.$$
Example 4.6.3: Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ are iid $Bernoulli(p)$. Test

$$H_0 : p = p_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : p \neq p_0.$$ 

We reject $H_0$ if

$$\left| \frac{\bar{X} - p_0}{\sqrt{\hat{X}(1 - \bar{X})/n}} \right| > z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$
Example 4.6.4: Suppose $X_1, \cdots, X_{10}$ are iid sample from $\text{Poisson}(\theta)$. Suppose we reject

$$H_0 : \theta \leq 0.1 \leftrightarrow H_1 : \theta > 0.1$$

if

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i \geq 3.$$

Find the type I error probability, type II error probability and significance level.

Solution: Note that $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(10\theta)$. The type I error probability is

$$P(Y \geq 3|\theta \leq 0.1) = P(\text{Poisson}(10\theta) \geq 3|\theta \leq 0.1).$$

The type II error probability is

$$P(Y \leq 2|\theta > 0.1) = P(\text{Poisson}(10\theta) \leq 2|\theta > 0.1).$$

Significance level is
Example 4.6.5: Let $X_1, \cdots, X_{25}$ be iid sample from $N(\mu, 4)$. Consider the test

$$H_0: \mu \geq 77 \iff H_1: \mu < 77.$$ 

Then, we reject $H_0$ is

$$\frac{\bar{X} - 77}{\sqrt{4/25}} \leq -z_\alpha.$$ 

Suppose we observe $\bar{x} = 76.1$. The $p$-value is

$$P_{\mu=77}(\bar{X} \leq 76.1) = \Phi\left(\frac{76.1 - 77}{\sqrt{4/25}}\right) = \Phi(-2.25) = 0.012.$$
Consider a test

\[ H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0 \leftrightarrow H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_1. \]

Suppose under \( H_0 \) we estimate \( \mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i) \) by \( \hat{\mu}_i \) and we estimate \( \sigma_i^2 = \text{V}(X_i) \) by \( \hat{\sigma}_i^2 \).
**Pearson \( \chi^2 \) statistic.** The Pearson \( \chi^2 \) statistic for independent random samples is

\[
Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_i^2}}.
\]

The idea is motivated from independent normal distributions. Assume that \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) are independent \( \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2) \), respectively. Then,

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_i - \mu_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2} \sim \chi_n^2.
\]
Loglikelihood ratio statistic. Let \( \ell(\theta) \) be the likelihood function. Then, the loglikelihood ratio statistic is defined by

\[
\Lambda = 2 \log \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell(\theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \ell(\theta)} = 2[\log \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell(\theta) - \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \ell(\theta)].
\]
We can show both \( X^2 \) and \( \Lambda \) are approximately chi-square distributed.

We call \( X^2 \) *Pearson goodness of fit* and \( \Lambda \) *deviance goodness of fit* statistics.

Their degrees of freedom equal to the difference of degrees of freedom between \( \Theta \) and \( \Theta_0 \).
Example 4.7.1 Suppose we flip a die $n$ times. Let $X_i$ be the number observed at the $i$-th time. Find Pearson $\chi^2$ statistic $X^2$.

Solution: If the die is balanced, then $P(1) = P(2) = \cdots = P(6) = 1/6$. The Pearson $\chi^2$ statistic is

$$X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{6} \frac{(X_i - n/6)^2}{n/6}.$$ 

Under $H_0$ it approximately follows $\chi^2_5$ distribution. In the example, we have $X_1 = 13$, $X_2 = 19$, $X_3 = 11$, $X_4 = 8$, $X_5 = 5$ and $X_6 = 4$. We have $X^2 = 15.6$. Since $15.6 > \chi^2_{0.05,5} = 11.07$, we conclude that the die is significantly unbalanced.
Example 4.7.2 Suppose we have $X_1, \cdots, X_n$ samples from a distribution taking values over $[0, 1]$ with PDF $f(x) = 2x$. How to find the Pearson $\chi^2$ statistic $X^2$ to test whether the distribution is uniform. Suppose we partition $[0, 1]$ into four intervals $[0, 1/4]$, $(1/4, 1/2]$, $(1/2, 3/4]$ and $(3/4, 1]$. 
Solution: Let $p_i$ be the probabilities within the four intervals, respectively. Then,

\[
p_1 = \int_0^{1/4} 2x \, dx = \frac{1}{16},
\]

\[
p_2 = \int_{1/4}^{1/2} 2x \, dx = \frac{3}{16},
\]

\[
p_3 = \int_{1/2}^{3/4} 2x \, dx = \frac{5}{16},
\]

\[
p_4 = \int_{3/4}^{1} 2x \, dx = \frac{7}{16}.
\]
4.7 Chi-Square Tests

Let $n_i$ be the total counts in the intervals, respectively. Then,

$$X^2 = \frac{(n_1 - n/16)^2}{n/16} + \frac{(n_2 - 3n/16)^2}{3n/16} + \frac{(n_3 - 5n/16)^2}{5n/16} + \frac{(n_4 - 7n/16)^2}{7n/16}.$$

If the distribution is uniform, then $X^2 \sim \chi^2_3$ approximately. Based on data $n_1 = 6$, $n_2 = 18$, $n_3 = 20$, and $n_4 = 36$. We obtain $X^2 = 1.83$. Since it is less than $\chi^2_{0.05,3} = 7.81$, we conclude that the true distribution is not significantly different from the given distribution.