### Purdue-NCKU program

## Lecture 9 Advanced Regression Technique

Dr. Qifan Song

## **Beyond Normality**

## generalized linear regression model

To handle data with different distribution and different support

•  $E(Y|X) = g^{-1}(X\beta)$ , where g is called link function

• 
$$Y \sim f_Y(y \mid \theta, \tau) = h(y, \tau) \exp\left(\frac{b(\theta)T(y) - A(\theta)}{d(\tau)}\right)$$

- examples include families of normal, Bernoulli, Poisson, exponential distributions
- We reparameterize the model such that  $b(\theta) = \theta$ . If furthermore that T(y) = y then we can show that  $E(Y) = A'(\theta)$  and  $var(Y) = A''(\theta)d(\tau)$

- Canonical link: 
$$X\beta = b(\theta)$$

## Common choice

Distribution	Support of distribution	Typical uses	Link name	Link function, ${f X}oldsymbol{eta}=g(\mu)$	Mean function
Normal	real: $(-\infty,+\infty)$	Linear-response data	Identity	$\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta}=\mu$	$\mu = \mathbf{X} oldsymbol{eta}$
Exponential	real: $(0,+\infty)$	Exponential-response data, scale parameters	Negative inverse	$\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}=-\mu^{-1}$	$\mu = -({f X}{oldsymbol eta})^{-1}$
Gamma					
Inverse Gaussian	real: $(0,+\infty)$		Inverse squared	$\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}=\mu^{-2}$	$\mu = (\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta})^{-1/2}$
Poisson	integer: $0, 1, 2, \ldots$	count of occurrences in fixed amount of time/space	Log	$\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta} = \ln(\mu)$	$\mu = \exp(\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta})$
Bernoulli	integer: $\{0,1\}$	outcome of single yes/no occurrence	Logit	$\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta} = \lniggl(rac{\mu}{1-\mu}iggr)$	$\mu = rac{\exp(\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta})}{1+\exp(\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta})} = rac{1}{1+\exp(-\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta})}$
Binomial	integer: $0, 1, \dots, N$	count of # of "yes" occurrences out of N yes/no occurrences		$\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta} = \lniggl(rac{\mu}{n-\mu}iggr)$	
Categorical	integer: $[0, K)$	outcome of single K-way occurrence		$\mathbf{X}oldsymbol{eta} = \lniggl(rac{\mu}{1-\mu}iggr)$	
	K-vector of integer: $\left[0,1 ight]$ , where				
	exactly one element in the vector				
Multinomial	K-vector of integer: $[0, N]$	count of occurrences of different types (1 <i>K</i> ) out of <i>N</i> total <i>K</i> -way occurrences			

#### Common distributions with typical uses and canonical link functions

#### source: wikipedia.com

## **Estimation**

- MLE estimation:  $\beta = \arg \max l(\beta) = \arg \max \{\sum b(\theta_i)T(Y_i) A(\theta_i)\}$
- Newton-Raphson method:  $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t (l''(\beta))^{-1}l'(\beta)$ . If b and T are identity mapping, then

$$\frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum X'_i(Y_i - A'(\theta_i))$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = -\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X},$$

where  $W = diag(w_1, ..., w_n) = [diag(A''(\theta_1), ..., A''(\theta_n))]^{-1}$ 

- Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares

$$\beta_{t+1} = (\mathbf{X'WX})^{-1}\mathbf{X'Wz},$$
  
where  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{X}\beta_t + \mathbf{W}^{-1}(\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A'}(\theta)), \ \mathbf{A'}(\theta) = (A'(\theta_1), \dots, A'(\theta_n))'$ 

### quantile regression

The method of least squares estimates the conditional mean of the response variable, while quantile regression estimates the conditional median (or other quantiles) of the response variable.

- Square distance leads to mean estimation
- Pinball loss,  $\rho_\tau(x) = x(\tau I_{(x < 0)})$  leads to  $\tau\text{-th}$  quantile estimation



error = prediction - actual

## quantile linear regression

• 
$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{\tau}(Y_i - X\beta)$$

- More robust than OLS to non-normal errors and outliers in y direction)
- Optimization: quadratic majorization or gradient descent

Quantile Regression in the Heteroscedastic Error Model



## Beyond Linearity

## Local regression

Adaptive to local smoothness via weighting

- E(Y) = f(X) and we estimate f locally
- $f(X_h)$  is estimated by
  - Weighted Least Squared estimator with  $(X_i, Y_i)$  associated with weights  $w(X_i, X_h)$ .
  - w is larger is  $X_i$  and  $X_h$  is closer. Local data play more important role of estimation
  - Choices of weight function w: Gaussian  $\exp\{-\|X_i X_h\|^2/\sigma^2\}$ , uniform  $I(\|X_i - X_h\| \le b)$ , triangle function, or k-NN

## Local regression

- Choice of Bandwidth can be important (trade-off between smoothness and biasness)
- Biased estimation, especially when f''(x) is large
- Consistent estimation, as local data density goes to infinity and bandwidth goes to zero.
- Locally 0-order (kernel smoother) or high-order (local polynomial regression) extension.

## Local regression



source: https://medium.com/100-days-of-algorithms/day-97-locallyweighted-regression-c9cfaff087fb

## Beyond Linearity

## Sieve/Spline regression

- Sieve expansion:  $f_m(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{K_m} z_{jm}(x) \beta_{jm}$  approximates true  $f^*$
- $z_{jm}$  is pre-designed nonlinear basis function.
- A larger  $K_m$  (hopefully) leads to a better approximation
- Example: polynomial basis, wavelet basis and spline basis
- Estimation follows MLR

## **Spline regression**

- Spline function: piece-wise polynomial functions with smooth connection
- B-spline or basis spline. Any spline function of given degree can be expressed as a linear combination of B-splines of that degree, under same knots setting.



## **Spline regression**

- Choice of degree (usually 3)
- Choice of knots (usually uniform grid)
- Smoothing spline: minimizing  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i f(X_i))^2 + \lambda \int_0^2 (f''(x))^2 dx$
- The solution to this minimization problem is a cubic spline with knots set being the  $X_i$ 's.
- $\lambda$  controls the smoothness level

## Kernel Ridge regression

- Mapping all  $X_i$  to feature space  $(\phi_1(X_i), \dots, \phi_k(X_i), \dots) = \phi(X_i)$
- Linear regression on feature space, or view  $\phi_i$ 's as (infinite) basis functions
- Denote  $\Phi$  be the  $n \times \infty$  dimension design matrix, we consider ridge regression

• 
$$\beta = (\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I_\infty) \Phi^T Y = \Phi^T (\Phi \Phi^T + \lambda I_n) Y$$

- Fitted value  $\phi(X_h)^T \Phi^T (\Phi \Phi^T + \lambda I_n) Y$
- Kernel  $\langle \phi(X_i), \phi(X_j) \rangle = K(X_i, X_j)$
- Requires inverting n by n matrix

#### Choice of kernel and $\lambda$



Gaussian Kernel with sigma = 1



Gaussian Kernel with sigma = 10

Gaussian Kernel with sigma = 100



Source: https://rpubs.com/Saulabrm/210788

## **Beyond Linearity**

## Gaussian Process

- View function f as a random process (GP)
- For any vector  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ ,  $(f(X_1), \ldots, f(X_n))$  follows multivariate normal with covariance matrix  $k(X_i, X_j)$ .
- $Y_i = f(X_i) + N(0, \sigma^2)$
- What is the joint distribution of  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, Y_h$ , or  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, f(X_h)$ ,?

#### • Conditional distribution of multivariate normal (source: wikipedia)

#### Conditional distributions [edit]

If N-dimensional x is partitioned as follows

$$\mathbf{x} = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} ext{ with sizes } egin{bmatrix} q imes 1 \ (N-q) imes 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and accordingly  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  are partitioned as follows

$$oldsymbol{\mu} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\mu}_1\ oldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix} ext{with sizes} egin{bmatrix} q imes 1\ (N-q) imes 1 \end{bmatrix} \ oldsymbol{\Sigma} = egin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}\ oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} ext{with sizes} egin{bmatrix} q imes q & q imes (N-q) \ (N-q) imes (N-q) imes (N-q) \end{bmatrix}$$

then the distribution of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  conditional on  $\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{a}$  is multivariate normal  $(\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{a}) \sim N(\overline{\mu}, \overline{\Sigma})$  where

$$ar{oldsymbol{\mu}} = oldsymbol{\mu}_1 + oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}oldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{a} - oldsymbol{\mu}_2
ight)$$

and covariance matrix

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21}.^{[20]}$$

- Estimate (predicted)  $f(X_h)$  or  $Y_h$  by the conditional distribution.
- Bayesian interval inference

### Example



### source: https://scikit-learn.org

**Beyond Linearity** 

## Tree Model and Random Forest

Constant prediction over a rectangle region of the predictors



Source: https://www.datacamp.com/tutorial/decision-tree-classificatic python

## **Constructing Trees**

- Piecewise constant regression function
- Basically partition the X space into rectangles
- Predicted value is mean of responses in rectangle
- Minimize SSE via greedy search (sequentially partitioning)
- Trade off between minimizing SSE and complexity; proper stopping rule (e.g., never yield a node that contains less than 2% of the data)
- Generalize to non-rectangle split

## Random Forest

trees that are grown very deep tend to learn highly irregular patterns. Overfitting occurs

- Average result of many many small trees.
- Each tree is based on a subset of data set; reduce variance
- Each tree is based on a subset of predictors; reduce tree-totree correlation



Source: Wikipedia

## Beyond Linearity

## Neural Network

• Combination of affine mapping and nonlinear elementwise mapping

$$y = B_1 \circ \sigma_1 \circ B_2 \circ \sigma_2 \dots B_m \circ x$$

- Choice of affine mapping: fully connected layer, CNN layer
- Choice of nonlinear mapping: activation function, Batch normalization
- Other special structure: skip connection, Recurrent neural networks

DNN is not only for regression or classification. Generative model, unsupervised learning, "longitudinal" data

## Deep learning and A.I.

- Surprising performance due to explosion of the scale of structure, and massive of training data
- How to use non-supervised data
- How to use pre-trained model
- How to improve adversarial robustness
- How to improve fairness
- How to introduce inference such as C.I. or hypothesis testing?

## **Neural Network**

• Universality of approximation power

Relu networks means all piecewise linear functions.

- (Stochastic) Gradient Descent Chain rule and Back propagation algorithm (GPU computing)
- Non-convex optimization problem, non-unique solution
- Required experienced research to train a good DNN
- Other special structure: skip connection, Recurrent neural networks

# Sparse Model

High dimensional regression  $E(Y) = X\beta$  where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $p \gg n$ 

- $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$  is not invertible, and columns of  $\mathbf{X}$  is always linear dependent
- OLS estimation is not unique, with SSE = 0.
- People are willing to believe that there exist a "sparse truth", i.e., most entries of true  $\beta^*$  is almost zero
- Restricted estimation: finding a best sparse  $\beta$  that minimizes the SSE

## **LASSO**

• LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator):

 $\mathbf{b} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}\|, \text{ subject to } \sum |b_i| \le t$ 

• Equivalent estimation:

$$\mathbf{b} = \arg \min \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}\| + \lambda \sum |b_i|$$

- a sequence of models, from null to full, as t increases (or  $\lambda$  decrease)
- How to choose  $\lambda$ ?
- One can pick one among them, depending on some criterion (e.g. testing accuracy).

The non-smoothness of absolute function introduces sparsity in the solution.



L1 Norm

Source: glmnet package in R.

The penalty can be generalized to any modeling.

## Model Complexity and Generalization bound

- Candidates family of functions  $\mathcal{F}$ , and loss function L
- Optimization problem:

$$R_{emp}(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L(y_i, f(x_i))$$

$$\widehat{f} = \arg\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_{emp}(f)$$

• Generalization error: the discrepancy between training accuracy and testing accuracy.

If all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  are bounded functions, then

$$R(\widehat{f}) \leq R_{emp}(\widehat{f}) + \sqrt{\frac{\log |\mathcal{F}| + \log(2/\delta)}{2n}}$$

with probability  $1 - \delta$ , where R(f) = EL(y, f(x))

## Balance between model complexity and fitting perform



source: https://djsaunde.wordpress.com/2017/07/17/the-bias-variance-tradeoff/

# Explain

• Bias:  $f^* \notin \mathcal{F}$ , or  $\min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R(f) > \min_f R(f)$ 

 $\ensuremath{\mathcal{F}}$  is incapable to model the true function

- Variance: the estimation is too difficult, hard to search a overly large space
- The theorem can be generalized to other complexity measure (e.g. V.C dimension, Rademacher complexity)
- The theorem only gives an upper bound, fails to explain model DNN behavior.