

STAT 517

Sequential probability ratio test

Prof. Michael Levine

March 29, 2016

- ▶ $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f(x; \theta)$, sample size is a random $N \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
- ▶ $H_0 : \theta = \theta'$ vs. $H_1 : \theta = \theta''$; define $0 < k_0 < k_1$
- ▶ Denote the likelihood $L(\theta; n)$ to symbolize its dependence on n
- ▶ Compute consecutively $\frac{L(\theta'; 1)}{L(\theta''; 1)}$, $\frac{L(\theta'; 2)}{L(\theta''; 2)}$ etc.

- ▶ Reject $H_0 : \theta = \theta'$ if and only if there exists a positive integer n s.t. $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to the set

$$C_n = \left\{ \mathbf{x}_n : k_0 < \frac{L(\theta'; j)}{L(\theta''; j)} < k_1, j = 1, \dots, n-1, \frac{L(\theta'; n)}{L(\theta''; n)} \leq k_0 \right\}$$

- ▶ Fail to reject H_0 if and only if there exists a positive integer n s.t. $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to the set

$$B_n = \left\{ \mathbf{x}_n : k_0 < \frac{L(\theta'; j)}{L(\theta''; j)} < k_1, j = 1, \dots, n-1, \frac{L(\theta'; n)}{L(\theta''; n)} \geq k_1 \right\}$$

Example

- ▶ Often, the needed inequality is expressed in the form

$$c_0(n) < u(x_1, \dots, x_n) < c_1(n)$$

where $c_0(n)$ and $c_1(n)$ depend on k_0 , k_1 , θ' , $\theta''(n)$ and n

- ▶ Take $X \sim b(1, \theta)$, $H_0 : \theta = \frac{1}{3}$ and $H_1 : \theta = \frac{2}{3}$, check

$$\frac{L(1/3, n)}{L(2/3, n)} = 2^{n-2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

- ▶ Transform $k_0 < \frac{L(1/3, n)}{L(2/3, n)} < k_1$ into

$$c_0(n) = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 k_1 < \sum x_i < \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log_2 k_0 = c_1(n)$$

- ▶ Reject H_0 if $c_1(n) \leq \sum x_i$, fail to reject H_0 if $c_0(n) \geq \sum x_i$

Power function computation

- ▶ Probability of Type I error is α , power is $1 - \beta$
- ▶ Clearly, $\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{C_n} L(\theta', n)$ and $1 - \beta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{C_n} L(\theta'', n)$
- ▶ The procedure terminates with probability 1, so
 $1 - \alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_n} L(\theta', n)$ and $\beta = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_n} L(\theta'', n)$
- ▶ Simple algebra results in $\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \leq k_0$, $k_1 \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}$
- ▶ Select prior α_a and β_a ; typically, 0.01, 0.05 or 0.10 s.t.
 $k_0 = \frac{\alpha_a}{1-\beta_a}$ and $k_1 = \frac{1-\alpha_a}{\beta_a}$
- ▶ Verify that $\alpha + \beta \leq \alpha_1 + \beta_a$ - upper bound on the sum of two errors
- ▶ Clearly, $\alpha \leq \frac{\alpha_a}{1-\beta_a}$ and $\beta \leq \frac{\beta_a}{1-\alpha_a}$
- ▶ In practice, it is common to approximate the power at $\theta = \theta'$ with α and at $\theta = \theta''$ with $1 - \beta_a$

Example

- ▶ Take $X \sim N(\theta, 100)$; test $H_0 : \theta = 75$ vs. $H_1 : \theta = 78$,
 $\alpha = \beta = 0.10$
- ▶ Find that $k_0 = \frac{0.10}{1-0.10} = \frac{1}{9}$ and $k_1 = 9$
- ▶ Simple algebra gives

$$c_0(n) = \frac{153}{2}n - \frac{100}{3} \log 9 < \sum x_i < \frac{153}{2}n + \frac{100}{3} \log 9 = c_1(n)$$

- ▶ Reject H_0 if $\sum x_i \geq c_1(n)$ and fail to reject if $\sum x_i \leq c_0(n)$