- a. A 90% confidence interval will be narrower. The z critical value for a 90% confidence level is 1.645, smaller than the z of 1.96 for the 95% confidence level, thus producing a narrower interval.
- b. Not a correct statement. Once and interval has been created from a sample, the mean μ is either enclosed by it, or not. We have 95% confidence in the general procedure, under repeated and independent sampling.
- c. Not a correct statement. The interval is an estimate for the population mean, not a boundary for population values.
- d. Not a correct statement. In theory, if the process were repeated an infinite number of times, 95% of the intervals would contain the population mean μ. We expect 95 out of 100 intervals will contain μ, but we don't know this to be true.

6.

a.
$$8439 \pm \frac{(1.645)(100)}{\sqrt{25}} = 8439 \pm 32.9 = (8406.1, 8471.9).$$

b.
$$1-\alpha = .92 \Rightarrow \alpha = .08 \Rightarrow \alpha / 2 = .04$$
 so $z_{\alpha/2} = z_{.04} = 1.75$.

13.

- **a.** $\bar{x} \pm z_{.025} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = 654.16 \pm 1.96 \frac{164.43}{\sqrt{50}} = (608.58, 699.74)$. We are 95% confident that the true average CO₂ level in this population of homes with gas cooking appliances is between 608.58ppm and 699.74ppm
- **b.** $w = 50 = \frac{2(1.96)(175)}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{n} = \frac{2(1.96)(175)}{50} = 13.72 \Rightarrow n = (13.72)^2 = 188.24$, which rounds up to 189.
- 19. $\hat{p} = \frac{201}{356} = .5646$; We calculate a 95% confidence interval for the proportion of all dies that pass the probe:

$$\frac{.5646 + \frac{(1.96)^2}{2(356)} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{(.5646)(.4354)}{356} + \frac{(1.96)^2}{4(356)^2}}}{1 + \frac{(1.96)^2}{356}} = \frac{.5700 \pm .0518}{1.01079} = (.513,.615)$$
. The simpler CI formula

(7.11) gives
$$.5646 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{.5646(.4354)}{356}} = (.513, .616)$$
, which is almost identical.

32. We have n = 20, $\overline{x} = 1584$, and s = 607; the critical value is $t_{.005,20-1} = t_{.005,19} = 2.861$. The resulting 99% CI for μ is

$$1584 \pm 2.861 \frac{607}{\sqrt{20}} = 1584 \pm 388.3 = (1195.7, 1972.3)$$

We are 99% confident that the true average number of cycles required to break this type of condom is between 1195.7 cycles and 1972.3 cycles.

- **34.** n = 14, $\overline{x} = 8.48$, s = .79; $t_{.05,13} = 1.771$
 - **a.** A 95% lower confidence bound: $8.48 1.771 \left(\frac{.79}{\sqrt{14}} \right) = 8.48 .37 = 8.11$. With 95% confidence, the value of the true mean proportional limit stress of all such joints is greater than 8.11 MPa. We must assume that the sample observations were taken from a normally distributed population.
 - **b.** A 95% lower prediction bound: $8.48-1.771(.79)\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{14}}=8.48-1.45=7.03$. If this bound is calculated for sample after sample, in the long run 95% of these bounds will provide a lower bound for the corresponding future values of the proportional limit stress of a single joint of this type.