### **STAT 511**

### Lecture 15: Tests about Population Means and Population Proportions Devore: Section 8.2-8.3

Prof. Michael Levine

March 28, 2020



## A Normal Population with known $\sigma$

- This case is not common in practice. We will use it to illustrate basic principles of test procedure design
- Let X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>n</sub> be a sample size n from the normal population. The null value of the mean is usually denoted μ<sub>0</sub> and we consider testing either of the three possible alternatives μ > μ<sub>0</sub>, μ < μ<sub>0</sub> and μ ≠ μ<sub>0</sub>
- The test statistic that we will use is

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

It measures the distance of  $\bar{X}$  from  $\mu_0$  in standard deviation units.

- Consider H<sub>a</sub>: µ > µ<sub>0</sub> as an alternative. This is called an upper-tailed alternative.
- The outcome that would allow us to reject the null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub> : μ = μ<sub>0</sub> is that z is sufficiently larger than μ<sub>0</sub>
- We start with calculating the P-value

$$P - \text{value} = P(Z \ge z | H_0)$$

Let us assume that z = 1.5. If the alternative is H<sub>a</sub> : µ > 100, the P-value is

$$1 - \Phi(1.5) = 0.0668$$

• If  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $H_0$  cannot be rejected.

- ▶ Now consider the case of  $H_a$  :  $\mu \le \mu_0$
- For simplicity, consider the case  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Then,

$$P-$$
 value  $=P(Z\leq z|H_0)=\Phi(z)$ 

which is the area under Z-curve to the left of z.

- If e.g. H<sub>a</sub>: μ < 100, and z = 22.75, the P-value is Φ(22.75) = 0.0030
- Thus, we reject  $H_0$  at the level of 0.05.
- ► This test is called a *lower-tailed test*.

- Finally, let  $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$  a *two-tailed test*
- Let the null value be 100 and x = 103 that results in observed z = 1.5
- In practice, any z ≥ 1.5 and z ≤ −1.5 are more contradictory to H<sub>0</sub> than the observed z
- The P-value is

$$P(Z \ge 1.5 \text{ or } Z \le -1.5) = 1 - \Phi(1.5) + \Phi(-1.5)$$
  
= 2[1 -  $\Phi(1.5)$ ] = .1336

ln other words, P-value as a function of z is  $2[1 - \Phi(|z|)]$ .

• Let  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ ; define the test statistic  $Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$ .

- 1.  $H_a: \mu > \mu_0$  has P-value  $P(Z \ge z | H_0 \text{ is true })$  is called an *upper-tailed test*
- 2.  $H_a: \mu < \mu_0$  has the P-value  $P(Z \le z | H_0 \text{ is true })$  and is called an *lower-tailed test*
- 3.  $H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$  has the P-value  $P(Z \ge z \text{ or } Z \le -z|H_0 \text{ is true })$ and is called a *two-tailed test*

# Recommended Steps for Testing Hypotheses about a Parameter

- 1. Identify the parameter of interest and describe it in the context of the problem situation.
- 2. Determine the null value and state the null hypothesis.
- 3. State the alternative hypothesis.
- 4. Give the formula for the computed value of the test statistic.
- 5. Compute any necessary sample quantities, substitute into the formula for the test statistic value, compute that value
- Determine the P-value and compare it to the level of significance level α.
- The formulation of hypotheses (steps 2 and 3) should be done before examining the data.

- A manufacturer of sprinkler systems used for fire protection in office buildings claims that the true average system-activation temperature is 130. Can we believe his claim?
- Parameter of interest is µ = true average activation temperature.

• 
$$H_0: \mu = 130; H_a: \mu \neq 130.$$

Test statistic is

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} = \frac{\bar{x} - 130}{1.5 / \sqrt{n}}$$

• With 
$$n = 9$$
 and  $\bar{x} = 131.08$ ,  
$$z = \frac{131.08 - 130}{1.5/\sqrt{9}} = 2.16$$

• The P-value is  $2[1 - \Phi(2.16)] = 0.0308$  and so we fail to reject  $H_0$  at significance level 0.01.

Image: A matrix and a matrix

∢ ≣⇒

æ

- As an example, consider an upper-tailed test where we reject  $H_0$  if P value is  $\leq \alpha$
- $H_0$  is not rejected when  $\bar{x} < \mu_0 + z_\alpha \cdot \sigma / \sqrt{n}$

For a particular  $\mu^{'} > \mu$ , the probability of Type II error is then

$$\beta(\mu') = P(\bar{X} < \mu_0 + z_\alpha \cdot \sigma / \sqrt{n} | \mu = \mu')$$
$$= P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu'}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} < z_\alpha + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu'}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} | \mu = \mu'\right)$$
$$= \Phi\left(z_\alpha + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu'}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Similar derivations can help us to derive Type II error probabilities for a lower-tailed test and a two-tailed test. Results can be summarized as follows:

1. 
$$H_a: \mu > \mu_0$$
 has the probability of Type II Error  
 $\Phi\left(z_{\alpha} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)$   
2.  $H_a: \mu < \mu_0$  has the probability of Type II Error  
 $1 - \Phi\left(-z_{\alpha} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)$ 

3. 
$$H_a: \mu \neq \mu_0$$
 has the probability of Type II Error  
 $\Phi\left(z_{\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) - \Phi\left(-z_{\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)$ 

臣

< ≣ ▶

- Sometimes, we want to bound the value of Type II error for a specific value µ'.
- Consider the same sprinkler example. Fix α and specify β for such an alternative value. For μ' = 132 we may want to require β(132) = 0.1 in addition to α = .01.
- The sample size required for that purpose is such that

$$\Phi\left(z_{\alpha} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) = \beta$$



$$n = \left[rac{\sigma(z_{lpha} + z_{eta})}{\mu_0 - \mu'}
ight]^2$$

and the same answer is true for a lower-tailed test

For a two-tailed test, it is only possible to give an approximate solution. It is

$$n \approx \left[rac{\sigma(z_{lpha/2}+z_{eta})}{\mu_0-\mu'}
ight]^2$$

- Let µ denote the true average tread life of a certain type of tire
- Test H<sub>0</sub>: μ = 30,000 vs. H<sub>a</sub>: μ > 30,000, with n = 16, normal population distribution with σ = 1500.
- A test with  $\alpha = .01$  requires  $z_{\alpha} = z_{.01} = 2.33$
- The probability of making a Type II error when the alternative  $\mu = 31,000$  is

$$eta(31,000) = \Phi\left(2.33 + rac{31,000 - 30,000}{1500/\sqrt{16}}
ight) = \Phi(-.34) = .3669$$

In addition to  $\alpha = .01$  also require that  $\beta(31,000) = .1$ First, find  $z_{.1} = 1.28$   $n = \left[\frac{1500(1.28 + 2.33)}{30,000 - 31,000}\right]^2 = 29.32$ 

In practice, take n = 30

A (1) < A (1)</p>

- When the sample size is large, the z tests described earlier are modified to yield valid test procedures without requiring either a normal population distribution or a known σ.
- Let us assume n > 40. Then, the test statistic

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

is approximately standard normal

The P-value will be computed exactly as before (but keep in mind that this will guarantee an approximate level of significance α only).

- A dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) is used for measuring material resistance to penetration (mm/blow) as a cone is driven into pavement or subgrade
- Suppose that for a particular application it is required that the true average DCP value for a certain type of pavement be less than 30.
- The pavement will not be used unless there is conclusive evidence that the specification has been met.

#### Figure:



Levine

**STAT 511** 

Variable: DCP

A-Squarect 1 902	
11 00 000 1170	
P-Value: 0.000	
Mean 28.7615	
StDev 12.2647	
Variance 150.423	
Skewness 0.808264	
Kurtosis –3.9E–01	
5 N 52	
Minimum 14.1000	
1st Quartile 18.2250	
Median 27.5000	
3rd Quartile 35.0000	
Maximum 57.0000	
95% Confidence Interval for Mu	
25.3470 3.21761	
95% Confidence Interval for Sigma	
10.2784 15.2098	
95% Confidence Interval for Median	
20.0000 31.7000	

æ

- Note the lack of normality in the histogram!
  Hypotheses: H<sub>0</sub> : µ = 30 vs. H<sub>a</sub> : µ < 30</li>
  z = x̄-30/s/√n
  n = 52, x̄ = 28.76, and s = 12.2647,
  z = 28.76 30/12.2647/√52 = -.73
- The P-value is too large and H<sub>0</sub> cannot be rejected

- When n is small, we can no longer invoke CLT as a justification for the large sample test
- Remember that for a normally distributed random sample X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>n</sub>, the statistic

$$T = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

has a t distribution with n-1 df

► Therefore, we have the test with  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  and a test statistic value  $t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$ .

- If H<sub>a</sub> : µ > µ<sub>0</sub>, the P-value is the area under t<sub>n−1</sub> curve to the right of the observed value t
- If H<sub>a</sub> : µ < µ<sub>0</sub>, the P-value is the area under t<sub>n−1</sub> curve to the left of the observed value t
- If H<sub>a</sub> : µ ≠ µ<sub>0</sub>, the P-value is twice the area under t<sub>n-1</sub> curve to the right of |t|

- The Edison Electric Institute publishes figures on the annual number of kilowatt hours expended by various home appliances.
- It is claimed that a vacuum cleaner expends an average of 46 kilowatt hours per year.
- Suppose a planned study includes a random sample of 12 homes and it indicates that VC's expend an average of 42 kilowatt hours per year with s = 11.9 kilowatt hours.
- Assuming the population normality, design a 0.05 level test to see whether VC's spend less than 46 kilowatt hours annually

- ▶  $H_0: \mu = 46$  kilowatt hours and  $H_a: \mu < 46$  kilowatt hours
- Assume α = 0.05 and compute the observed value of the test statistic

$$t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$$

The value of the statistic is

$$t = \frac{42 - 46}{11.9/\sqrt{12}} = -1.16$$

- The P-value is the area under  $t_{11}$  curve to the left of -1.16
- Note that we fail to reject H<sub>0</sub>

- It is much more difficult to compute the probability of the Type II Error in this case than in the normal case
- ► The reason is that it requires the knowledge of distribution of  $T = \frac{\bar{X} \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}$  under the alternative  $H_a$ . To do it precisely, we must compute

$$eta(\mu^{'}) = {\sf P}({\sf T} < t_{lpha, {\it n}-1} ext{ when } \mu = \mu^{'})$$

There exist extensive tables of these probabilities for both one- and two-tailed tests.



Levine STAT 511

Ð,

- First, we select  $\mu'$  and the estimated value for unknown  $\sigma$ . Then, we find an estimated value of  $d = |\mu_0 - \mu'|/\sigma$ . Finally, the value of  $\beta$  is the height of the n-1 df curve above the value of d
- ► If n − 1 is not the value for which the corresponding curve appears visual interpolation is necessary

- One can also calculate the sample size *n* needed to keep the Type II Error probability below β for specified α.
  - 1. First, we compute d
  - 2. Then, the point  $(d,\beta)$  is located on the relevant set of graphs
  - 3. The curve below and closest to the point gives n-1 and thus n
  - 4. Interpolation, of course, is often necessary

- Let p denote the proportion of individuals or objects in a population who possess a specified property; thus, each object either possesses a desired property (S) or it doesn't (F).
- Consider a simple random sample X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>n</sub>. If the sample size n is small relative to the population size, the number of successes in the sample X has an approximately binomial distribution. If n itself is also large, both X and the sample proportion p̂ = X/n are approximately normally distributed
- Large-sample tests concerning *p* are a special case of the more general large-sample procedures for an arbitrary parameter *θ*.
   We considered such a large-sample test before for the mean *μ* of an arbitrary distribution.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Some basic properties of p̂ are;

- 1. Estimator  $\hat{p}$  is unbiased:  $E \hat{p} = p$ .
- 2. Second, it is approximately normal and its standard deviation (SD) is  $\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{p(1-p)/n}$
- 3. Note that  $\sigma_{\hat{\rho}}$  does not include any unknown parameters. This is not always the case. It is enough to remember the large-sample test of the mean where  $\sigma_{\hat{\mu}} = \sigma_{\bar{\chi}} = \sigma^2/n$  which is in general unknown unless  $\sigma^2$  is specified.

- Let us consider first an upper-tailed test. It means having a null hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>: p = p<sub>0</sub> vs. an alternative H<sub>a</sub>: p > p<sub>0</sub>.
- Under the null hypothesis, we have  $E(\hat{\rho}) = p_0$  and  $\sigma_{\hat{\rho}} = \sqrt{p_0(1-p_0)/n}$ ; therefore, for large *n* the test statistic

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/r_0}}$$

has approximately standard normal distribution

• The P-value is clearly, then  $1 - \Phi(z)$  where z is the observed value of Z

- The lower-tailed test has the P-value  $\Phi(z)$
- The two-tailed test has the P-value  $=2[1 \Phi(|z|)]$
- ► These tests are applicable whenever the normal approximation of the binomial distribution is reasonable: np<sub>0</sub> ≥ 10, n(1 − p<sub>0</sub>) > 10.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

æ

# Example

- 1276 individuals in a sample of 4115 adults were found to be obese as per their BMI. A 1998 survey based on self-assessment revealed that 20% of the adult population considered themselves obese. Does the most recent data suggest that the true proportion of obese adults is more than 1.5 times the percentage from the self-assessment survey?
- The null hypothesis here is that p = 0.3 and the alternative is p > .30
- ▶ Note that the rule of thumb is satisfied:  $np_0 = 4115(.3) > 10$ and  $n(1 - p_0) = 4115(.7) > 10$
- Thus, we use the large-sample test with

$$z = (\hat{p} - .3)/\sqrt{(.3)(.7)/n}$$

- The sample proportion is p̂ = 1276/4115 = .310 and the corresponding value of z is 1.40
- Check that the P-value is less than 0.1

► Type II Error probability can be computed exactly as before. If  $H_0$  is not true, the true proportion  $p = p' \neq p_0$ . Under  $H_a: p = p'$  we have Z is still approximately normal; however,

$$E(Z) = \frac{p' - p_0}{\sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}}$$

and

$$V(Z) = rac{p'(1-p')/n}{p_0(1-p_0)/n}$$

The formulas for the type II error are very similar to what we saw before for the mean test. We only give the upper-tailed test formula (H<sub>a</sub> : p > p<sub>0</sub>)

$$\beta(p') = \Phi\left[\frac{p_0 - p' + z_\alpha \sqrt{p_0(1 - p_0)/n}}{\sqrt{p'(1 - p')/n}}\right]$$

and the lower-tailed test formula  $(H_a: p < p_0)$ 

$$eta(p') = 1 - \Phi\left[rac{p_0 - p' - z_lpha \sqrt{p_0(1-p_0)/n}}{\sqrt{p'(1-p')/n}}
ight]$$

Sample size formulas can also be easily derived. In the two-tailed case, the formula is approximate as before

# Example

- A package delivery service advertises that at least 90% of all packages brought to its office by 9 A.M. are delivered by noon the same day. How likely is it that a level 0.1 test based on n = 225 packages will detect a departure of 10% from this goal?
- The null hypothesis is  $H_0: p = 0.9$  vs.  $H_a: p < 0.9$ .

For 
$$p' = 0.8$$
, we have

$$\beta(.8) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{.9 - .8 - 2.33\sqrt{(.9)(.1)/225}}{\sqrt{(.8)(.2)/225}}\right) = 0.228$$

Thus, the probability of type II error under the alternative p' = 0.8 is about 23%.

- These are test procedures for proportions when the sample size n is small. They are based directly on the binomial distribution rather than the normal approximation.
- Consider the alternative hypothesis H<sub>a</sub>: p > p<sub>0</sub> and let X be yet again the number of successes in the sample size n.
- The P-value is

$$P(X \ge x \text{ when } X \sim Bin(n; p_0))$$
  
= 1 - P(X \le x - 1 when X \sim Bin(n; p\_0))  
= 1 - B(x - 1; n, p\_0)

- $\blacktriangleright$  It is usually not possible to get the test whose probability of Type I value is exactly  $\alpha$
- To compute the Type II error for an alternative p' > p<sub>0</sub>, we first note that X ~ Bin(n, p') if the alternative is true. Then,

$$eta(p^{'}) = P(X < c_{lpha} \text{ when } X \sim Bin(n, p^{'})) = B(c_{lpha} - 1; n, p^{'})$$

Note that this is a result of a straightforward binomial probability calculation

- A builder claims that heat pumps are installed in 70% of all homes being constructed today in the city of Richmond, VA. Would you agree with this claim if a random survey of new homes in this city shows that 8 out of 15 had heat pumps installed? Use a 0.1 level of significance.
- $H_0: p = 0.7$  vs.  $H_a: p < 0.7$  with  $\alpha = 0.10$
- The test statistic is  $X \sim Bin(15, 0.7)$

• We have x = 8 and  $np_0 = (15)(0.7) = 10.5$ . Thus, the P-value is

$$P(X \leq x) = B(x; 15, 0.7)$$

which is equal 0.131 when x = 8.



Image: A math and A

臣

< ≣ ►

- A plastics manufacturer has developed a new type of plastic trash can and proposes to sell them with an unconditional 6-year warranty.
- To see whether this is economically feasible, 20 prototype cans are subjected to in accelerated life test to simulate 6 years of use.
- The proposed warranty will be modified only if the sample data strongly suggests that fewer than 90% of such cans would survive the 6-year period.

- Let p denote the proportion of all cans that survive the accelerated test. The relevant hypotheses are H<sub>0</sub> : p<sub>0</sub> = .9 versus H<sub>a</sub> : p<sub>0</sub> < .9.</p>
- A decision will be based on the test statistic X, the number among the 20 that survive.
- Because of the inequality in H<sub>a</sub>, any value smaller than the observed value x is more contradictory to H<sub>0</sub> than is x itself. Therefore,

$$P - \text{value} = P(X \le x | H_0) = B(x; 20, .9)$$

Note: B(15, 20, .9) = 0.043 and B(16; 20, .9) = .133. Thus, 0.043 is the closest to 0.05 achievable significance level

- ▶ Consider testing  $H_0$  :  $\mu = 100$  vs.  $H_a$  :  $\mu > 100$  where  $\sigma = 10$
- Suppose the true value is µ' = 101; if the sample size is large, x̄ will be close to µ' most of the time and H<sub>0</sub> will be rejected with high probability...But what if it is not significant practically?!
- Be careful in interpreting evidence when the sample size is large, since any small departure from H<sub>0</sub> will almost surely be detected by a test (*statistical significance*), yet such a departure may have little *practical significance*.

n	P-value when $\bar{x} = 101$	eta(101) for level .01 test
25	.3085	.9664
100	.1587	.9082
400	.0228	.6293
900	.0013	.2514
1600	.0000335	.0475

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

臣