NextGen Sequencing: Experimental Planning and Data Analysis

Nadia Atallah



A Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) Refresher

- Became commercially available in 2005
- Construction of a sequencing library → clonal amplification to generate sequencing features
- High degree of parallelism
- Uses micro and nanotechnologies to reduce size of sample components
 - Reduces reagent costs
 - Enables massively parallel sequencing reactions
- Revolutionary: has brought high speed to genome sequencing
 - Changed the way we do research, medicine

RNA Sequencing

- High-throughput sequencing of RNA
- Allows for quantification of gene expression and differential expression analyses
- Characterization of alternative splicing
- Annotation
 - Goal is to identify genes and gene architecture
- *de novo* transcriptome assembly
 - no genome sequence necessary!

RNA-seq workflow

Design Experiment	 Set up the experiment to address your specific biological questions Meet with your bioinformatician and sequencing center!
RNA preparation	• Isolate RNA • Purify RNA
Prepare Libraries	 Convert the RNA to cDNA Add sequencing adapters
Sequence	• Sequence the cDNA using a sequencing platform
Analysis	 Quality control Align reads to the genome/assemble a transcriptome Downstream analysis based on your questions



Replication

- Number of replicates depends on various factors:
 - Cost, complexity of experimental design (how many factors are of interest), availability of samples
- Biological Replicates
 - Sequencing libraries from multiple independent biological samples
 - Very important in RNA-seq differential expression analysis studies
 - At least 3 biological replicates needed to more accurately calculate statistics such as p-values
- Technical Replication
 - Sequencing multiple libraries from the same biological sample
 - Allows estimation of non-biological variation
 - Not generally necessary in RNA-seq experiments
 - Technical variation is more of an issue only for lowly expressed transcripts



Pooling Samples in RNA-seq

- Can be beneficial if tissue is scare/enough RNA is tough to obtain
- Utilizes more samples, could increase power due to reduced biological variability
- Danger is of a pooling bias (a difference between the value measured in the pool and the mean of the values measured in the corresponding individual replicates)
- Can get a positive result due to only one sample in the pool
- Might miss small alterations that might disappear when only 1 sample has a different transcriptome profile than others in the pool
- Generally it is better to use one biological replicate per sample
- If you must pool, try to use the same amount of material per sample in the pool, use stringent FDR cutoffs, and many biological reps per pool

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Design Experimen

Experimental validation of methods for differential gene expression analysis and sample pooling in RNA-seq

Anto P. Rajkumar 🖾 , Per Qvist, Ross Lazarus, Francesco Lescai, Jia Ju, Mette Nyegaard, Ole Mors, Anders D. Børglum, Qibin Li and Jane H. Christensen

 BMC Genomics
 2015
 16:548
 DOI: 10.1186/s12864-015-1767-y
 ©
 Rajkumar et al. 2015

 Received:
 1 September 2014
 Accepted:
 10 July 2015
 Published:
 25 July 2015

Evaluated validity of two pooling strategies (3 or 8 biological replicates per pool; two pools per group). Found pooling bias and low positive predictive value of DE analysis in pooled samples.

Design Experiment

Single-end versus paired-end

- Reads = the sequenced portion of cDNA fragments
- Single-end= cDNA fragments are sequenced from only one end (1x100)
- Paired-end= cDNA fragments are sequenced from both ends (2x100)
- Paired-end is important for de novo transcriptome assembly and for identifying transcriptional isoforms
- Less important for differential gene expression if there is a good reference genome
- Don't use paired-end reads for sequencing small RNAs...
- Note on read-length: long reads are important for de novo transcript assembly and for identifying transcriptional isoforms, not required for differential gene expression if there is a good reference genome



Design Experiment

Sequencing Depth – How deep should I sequence?

- Depth= (read length)(number of reads) / (haploid genome length)
- Each library prep method suffers from specific biases and results in uneven coverage of individual transcripts → in order to get reads spanning the entire transcript more reads (deeper sequencing) is required
- Depends on experimental objectives
 - Differential gene expression? Get enough counts of each transcript such that accurate statistical inferences can be made
 - De novo transcriptome assembly? Maximize coverage of rare transcripts and transcriptional isoforms
 - Annotation?
 - Alternative splicing analysis?



Required number of reads per sample in sequencing projects

1) Liu Y., et al., RNA-seq differential expression studies: more sequence or more replication? Bioinformatics 30(3):301-304 (2014) 2) Liu Y., et al., Evaluating the impact of sequencing depth on transcriptome profiling in human adipose. Plos One 8(6):e66883 (2013) 3) Bentley, D. R. et al. Accurate whole human genome sequencing using reversible terminator chemistry. Nature 456, 53–59 (2008) 4) Rozowsky, J.et al., PeakSeq enables systematic scoring of ChIP-seq experiments relative to controls. Nature Biotech. 27, 65-75 (2009).



Strand Specificity

- Strand-specific= you know whether the read originated from the + or – strand
- Important for *de novo* transcript assembly
- Important for identifying true anti-sense transcripts
- Less important for differential gene expression if there is a reference genome
- Knowledge of strandedness may help assign reads to genes adjacent to one another but on opposite strands

RNA-seq experimental design summary

- Very important step if done incorrectly no amount of statistical expertise can glean information out of your data!!!
- Biological replicates

Design

Experiment

- For differential expression I generally recommend at least 3 allows you to estimate variance and p-values
- Technical replicates
 - Generally not necessary in RNA-seq experiments
- Depth of sequencing
 - Depends on your experimental goals and organism!
- Length of reads
 - Longer reads = better alignments
 - Longer reads = more expensive
- Paired-end or single-end?
 - Paired-end = better alignment
 - Paired-end = more expensive
- Pooling Not ideal but sometimes necessary
- Strand-specific?
 - Definitely for antisense transcript identification and *de novo* transcriptome assembly
 - Not necessary for differential gene expression on an organism with a well-characterized reference genome



Experimental Design

Perfect World

- Reads as long a possible
- Paired-end
- Sequence as deeply as possible to detect novel transcripts (100-200M)
- As many replicates as possible
- Preferably run a small pilot experiment first to see how many replicates are needed given the effect size

Real World

- Determine what your goals are and what treatments you are interested in; plan accordingly
- For a simple differential gene expression experiment on a human you could get away with singleend, 75-100bp reads, with n=3 biological replicates, sequenced to ~30 million reads/sample (1 lane of sequencing for a simple control vs treatment 6 sample design)

Microarray versus RNA-Seq

RNA-seq

• Counts (discrete data)

Design Experiment

- Negative binomial distribution used in statistical analysis
- No genome sequence needed
- Can be used to characterize novel transcripts/splice forms
- Metric: Counts (quantitative)



Microarray

- Continuous data
- Normal distribution used in statistical analysis
- Genome must be sequenced
- Uses DNA hybridizations sequence info needed
- Metric: Relative intensities





Do I use Microarray or Sequencing?



- What expertise is available?
 - Is your lab already set up for microarrays? Does your bioinformatician prefer to analyze next gen data? What are people in your department familiar with? Is there someone who can help you troubleshoot problems?
- Cost \rightarrow microarrays are cheaper
- At what levels are the transcripts of interest likely to be expressed at?
 - Microarrays indicate relative rather than absolute expression
 - This can be problematic for accurate estimation of expression levels of very highly or lowly expressed transcripts
- Does your organism of interest have a well characterized genome?
- Data analysis: how confident are you in your ability to analyze the data?
 - Microarrays have been around for a lot longer and so microarray analysis has more user-friendly tools

RNA-seq workflow

Design Experiment	 Set up the experiment to address your specific biological questions Meet with your bioinformatician and sequencing center!!!
RNA	• Isolate RNA • Purify RNA
preparation	
Prepare Libraries	 Convert the RNA to cDNA Add sequencing adapters
Sequence	• Sequence the cDNA using a sequencing platform
	• Quality control
Analysis	 Align reads to the genome/assemble a transcriptome Downstream analysis based on your questions

RNA extraction, purification, and quality assessment

Figure 2.1 Example Agilent Bioanalyzer Electropherograms from three different total RNAs of varying integrity. Panel [A] represents a highly intact total RNA (RIN = 9.2), panel [B] represents a moderately intact total RNA (RIN = 6.2), and panel [C] represents a degraded total RNA sample (RIN = 3.2).



• RIN= RNA integrity number

RNA preparation

- Generally, RIN scores >8 are good, depending on the organism
- Important to use high RIN score samples, particularly when sequencing small RNAs to be sure you aren't simply selecting degraded RNAs

RNA-seq workflow

Design Experiment	 Set up the experiment to address your specific biological questions Meet with your bioinformatician and sequencing center!!!
RNA	• Isolate RNA • Purify RNA
preparation	
Prepare Libraries	 Convert the RNA to cDNA Add sequencing adapters
Sequence	• Sequence the cDNA using a sequencing platform
	• Quality control
Analysis	 Align reads to the genome/assemble a transcriptome Downstream analysis based on your questions

Target Enrichment

- It is necessary to select which RNAs you sequence
- Total RNA generally consists of >80% rRNA (Raz et al. ,2011)
 - If rRNA not removed, most reads would be from rRNA
- Size selection what size RNAs do you want to select? Small RNAs?
- Poly A selection= method of isolating Poly(A+) transcripts, usually using oligo-dT affinity
- Ribodepletion = depletes Ribosomoal RNAs using sequence-specific biotin-labeled probes

Library Prep

Prepare Libraries

- Before a sample can be sequenced, it must be prepared into a sample library from total RNA.
- A library is a collection of fragments that represent sample input
- Different methods exist, each with different biases

RNA-seq workflow

Design Experiment	 Set up the experiment to address your specific biological questions Meet with your bioinformatician and sequencing center!!!
RNA	• Isolate RNA • Purify RNA
preparation	
Prepare Libraries	 Convert the RNA to cDNA Add sequencing adapters
Sequence	• Sequence the cDNA using a sequencing platform
	• Quality control
Analysis	 Align reads to the genome/assemble a transcriptome Downstream analysis based on your questions

Next Generation Sequencing Platforms

GS FLX 454 (ROCHE)

5500xl SOLiD (ABI)

Ion TORRENT

https://youtu.be/HMyCqWhwB8E

RNA-seq workflow

Design Experiment	 Set up the experiment to address your specific biological questions Meet with your bioinformatician and sequencing center!!!
RNA	• Isolate RNA • Purify RNA
preparation	
Prepare Libraries	 Convert the RNA to cDNA Add sequencing adapters
Sequence	• Sequence the cDNA using a sequencing platform
	• Quality control
Analysis	 Align reads to the genome/assemble a transcriptome Downstream analysis based on your questions

Standard Differential Expression Analysis

Analysis

File formats - FASTQ files – what we get back Analysis from the sequencing center

- This is usually the format your data is in when sequencing is complete
- Text files
 - Contains both sequence and base quality information
- Phred score = $Q = -10\log_{10}P$
 - P is base-calling error probability
- Integer scores converted to ASCII characters
- Example:

@ILLUMINA:188:C03MYACXX:4:1101:3001:1999 1:N:0:CGATGT

1=DDFFFHHHHHJJDGHHHJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJJCHEJJJJJJJJJJJFGGGGGGJJFFBEFDC>@@BB?A9@3;@(553>@>C(59:?

Analysis

Quality Control – Per Base Sequence Quality

Analysis

Quality Control – Per Sequence Quality Scores

File formats: FASTA files

- Text file with sequences (amino acid or nucleotides)
- First line per sequence begins with > and information about sequence
- Example:

>comp2_c0_seq1

Analysis

File formats: BAM and SAM files

- SAM file is a tab-delimited text file that contains sequence alignment information
- This is what you get after aligning reads to the genome
- BAM files are simply the binary version (compressed and indexed version) of SAM files → they are smaller

• Exam	p	le:
--------	---	-----

Header lines (begin with "@")

650 SN:chrM LN:16299				
eso SN: chrUn, rondon LN: 5988358				
650 SN: chrX_LN: 166658296				
eso SN:chrX random LN:1785875				
050 SN:chrY LN:15902555				
050 SN:chrY_condon_LN:58682461				
HWI-EAS838:6:1:23:122#0 4 *		0 0 TAG	CCTTGATGTTTACCTATTGTATCAAAGGGC 0JYMXLTPK0P0XYBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	L
B		1.20 C 20 C 20 C		
HWI-EA5838:6:1:25:283#0 8 chr14	27002726 0 33M	N • 8 8	AGAGACCCAGGAAATTGAAGTCAGAGCAGTTAG aboo Z XIPWA88888888888888	
BBBBBBBBB XT:A:R NM:1:1 X8:1:1	3 X1:1:0 XM:1:1 XD:1:0 XG:	1:0 MD:Z:10T22		
HWI-EA5838:6:1:26:64940 0 chr9	27884899 37 33M	H * 8 8	CCTTTCTTTTGTCTACTCCTTTCCTCTTGGTAT gbbggbbbbbb ``gZ\`g\gg[]	
_OWoo'YXS XT:A:U NM:1:8 X8:1:1	1 X1:1:0 XM:1:0 XD:1:0 XG:	:1:0 MD:Z:33		
HWI-EA5838:6:1:38:918#8 16 chr17	95265601 8 33M	н • е е	GTGTTTATCAGTCCCAAGGCCACTAGAGGCTTG BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	
0000'0' XT:A:R NM:1:2 X0:1:1	s X1:1:0 XM:1:2 XD:1:0 XG:	:1:0 MD:Z:3G8T20		
HWI-EA5038:6:1:32:150700 16	chr13 \$7585488 37	33M • 0	0 CGGAGCTGGTGGTAGACATTGTGTGCCGCCTAG \Z]W_[']2HDAAZAT	
"bbob_DW_]bb_W_b XT:A:U MM:1:0	8 X0:1:1 X1:1:8 XM:1:0 XO:	:1:0 XG:1:0 MD:Z:33		
HWI-EA5838:6:1:32:298#8 4 *	0 0	0 0 TAT	AATAAAAATGACATTTTATTAAATACGCCT 'Agog_\]A[S8BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	
8	Real Remains			
HWT-EAS838:6:1:32:193840 0	chr7 65636851 37	334 • 0	0 TITATATTTCTCCCCCTTATCATTCCATTTTTTTTTTTT	
ZHMHXWZEVFO][BB88 XT:A:U NM:1:1	1 X0:i:1 X1:i:0 XM:i:1 XO:	:1:0 XG:1:0 MD:Z:3161		
HWT-EAS038:6:1:32:861#0 4 *	0 0	0 0 TGC	ATTCTAAGTTGGTTTAATATAAATCAACAT]bUSJGKHWaK_\BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	
8				section
HWI-EAS038:6:1:32:1814#0 0	chr2 98586748 0	33M • Ø	O CCACTTGACGACTTCAAAAATGACGAAATCACT WARAX`]Z]o]XZ]oZ	
W)PYVV\YRWESUZSST XT:A:R NM:1:1	1 X0:i:12 X1:i:44 XM:i:1 X0:	:1:0 XG:1:0 MD:Z:14G18		
HWI-EA5038:6:1:34:2002#0 0	chr10 97252488 37	33M * 0	<pre>@ CCTAGATTCCTTAGGGTATAAAAGGAGGAGAGGCa'_ba'_0a'aV['a</pre>	
OHDTA_BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	1 X0:1:1 X1:1:0 XM:1:1 XO:	:1:0 XG:1:0 MD:Z:29T3		
HWI-EAS838:6:1:37:667#8 8 chrX	98652654 37 33M	н • 8 8	CAAGTCCAAAAAATTCCTTGAAAAATTTCACAAT Y'_TOMPTAA_[PUNDJQLQQYW]	
BBBBBBBBB XT:A:U NM:i:1 X0:i:1	I X1:1:0 XM:1:1 XD:1:0 XG:	:1:0 MD:Z:19C13		
HWI-EAS038:6:1:37:1236#0 4	. 0 0 .		ATGATTTCTTGTTGTGTATCACTATTCTAGGGG _Q\LYBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB	
888888888				
HWI-EAS038:6:1:37:262#0 16 chr2	3386587 23 33M ·	0 0 TCT/	AGTACCCACATGGTGCAAGGAGAGAACCAA BB]Z[LFTXX]TZYQRXHJUOISU/X]_[U0]	
	a section would be set in an			J

Terminology

- Counts = (X_i) the number of reads that align to a particular feature i (gene, isoform, miRNA...)
- Library size= (N) number of reads sequenced
- FPKM = Fragments per kilobase of exon per million mapped reads
 - Takes length of gene (I_i) into account
 - FPKM_i= $(X_i/I_i^*N)^*10^9$
- CPM = Counts Per Million mapped reads
 - $CPM_i = X_i / N^* 10^6$
- FDR = False Discovery Rate (the rate of Type I errors false positives); a 10% FDR means that 10% of your differentially expressed genes are likely to be false positives
 - we must adjust for multiple testing in RNA-seq statistical analyses to control the FDR

Caveats

- If you have zero counts it does not necessarily mean that a gene is not expressed at all
 - Especially in single-cell RNA-seq
- RNA and protein expression profiles do not always correlate well
 - Correlations vary wildly between RNA and protein expression
 - Depends on category of gene
 - Correlation coefficient distributions were found to be bimodal between gene expression and protein data (one group of gene products had a mean correlation of 0.71; the another had a mean correlation of 0.28)
 - Shankavaram et. al, 2007

Analysis

Many tools exist for differential expression

- Cufflinks
 - Tests both isoform and gene differential expression
 - Corrects for differences in sequencing depth and transcript length
 - Allows comparison of genes across samples and between different genes within the same sample
- DESeq2
 - Tests gene differential expression
 - Corrects for differences in transcript pool and extreme outliers to allow better across-sample comparability
 - Allows comparison of genes across samples
 - More conservative
- edgeR
 - Tests gene differential expression
 - Corrects for differences in transcript pool and extreme outliers to allow better across-sample comparability
 - Allows comparison of genes across samples
 - Less conservative

Analysis Downstream analysis: What to do with your gene list

- Annotate DEG
- Find biological processes that are enriched amongst the DEGs
- Pathway analysis
- Clustering analyses
- Biological Validation

Analysis

GO terms

- GO Consortium (Gene Ontology Consortium) seeks to provide consistent descriptions of gene products across databases
 - Started as a collaboration between FlyBase (Drosophila), Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD), and the Mouse Genome Database (MGD)
 - Now incorporates many more databases
- Comprised of 3 structured ontologies that describe gene products in terms of associated:
 - Biological processes (operations or sets of molecular events with a defined beginning and end)
 - Cellular components (the parts of a cell or its extracellular environment)
 - Molecular functions (activities of a gene product at a molecular/biochemical level – such as "catalysis" or "binding")

Analysis

GO term enrichment analysis

- Which GO terms are enriched in my list of interesting genes?
 - Find GO terms that are over-represented or under-represented using annotations for your gene set
- Fisher's Exact Test/Hypergeometric test often used
 - Compare GO terms mapping to gene list with GO terms mapping to a background/reference gene list (such as all the genes in the yeast genome)
- Numerous tools exist for GO term enrichment analysis (the following require no computational background and have GUIs):
 - AmiGO
 - OBO-edit
 - AgriGO
 - BLAST2GO
 - DAVID
 - BiNGO

Pathway Analysis

Identify pathways with a significant number of differentially expressed genes this gives information on potential pathways that are affected by the treatment

Analysis

Acknowledgements

• Purdue University Center for Cancer Research

- Purdue Bioinformatics Core (bioinformatics@purdue.edu)
- Dr. Jyothi Thimmapuram
- Purdue Genomics Core Facility
- C3B (Collaborative Core for Cancer Bioinformatics)
- Walther Grant

Thank you!

Any questions?