

STAT 525 FALL 2018

Chapter 4

Miscellaneous Topics

Professor Min Zhang

Simultaneous Inference

- Consider a collection of CIs / hypothesis tests
- Each interval has $(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence level
- What about the overall confidence level?
 - Level of confidence that all constructed intervals contain their true parameter values
 - Often much lower than individual $(1 - \alpha)\%$ level
- Will discuss methods that adjust individual confidence levels
- Recall confidence band \rightarrow widened individual intervals

Joint Estimation of β_0 and β_1

- Will focus here on rectangular region formed by individual CIs
- If estimates were independent
 - Overall confidence level of rectangle is $(1 - \alpha)^2$
 - Could set equal to 0.95 and solve for α
- Estimates (b_0, b_1) are not independent so how do we handle this?
- Given normal error terms, can show (b_0, b_1) multivariate normal
- Can show natural (i.e., smallest) confidence region defined by an ellipse (STAT 524)

Bonferroni Correction

- Let A_1 denote event that CI excludes β_0
- Let A_2 denote event that CI excludes β_1
- By construction $\Pr(A_1) = \Pr(A_2) = \alpha$
- What is the probability that both events don't occur?

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\bar{A}_1 \cap \bar{A}_2) &= 1 - \Pr(A_1 \cup A_2) \\ \Pr(A_1 \cup A_2) &= \Pr(A_1) + \Pr(A_2) - \Pr(A_1 \cap A_2) \\ &\leq \Pr(A_1) + \Pr(A_2) \\ &\downarrow \\ \Pr(\bar{A}_1 \cap \bar{A}_2) &\geq 1 - (\Pr(A_1) + \Pr(A_2))\end{aligned}$$

- If $\Pr(A_1) + \Pr(A_2) = .05$, then $\Pr(\bar{A}_1 \cap \bar{A}_2) \geq 0.95$

- Want to have family confidence level $1 - \alpha$
- Consider g tests or CIs each using α^*

$$\Pr \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^g \bar{A}_i \right) \geq 1 - g\alpha^*$$

- Use level $1 - \alpha/g$ for each test ($\alpha^* = \alpha/g$)
- Provides lower bound for confidence level
- Increasingly conservative as g increases
- True confidence level often much higher than $1 - \alpha$ so larger family-wise α used

Mean Response CIs

- Could apply Bonferroni correction

- Want to know $E(Y|X)$ for g X 's
- Construct CIs using $\alpha^* = \alpha/g$
- Reasonable approach when g small

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm B \times s(\hat{Y}_h) \text{ where } B = t(1 - \alpha/(2g), n - 2)$$

- Previously discussed Working-Hotelling

- Uses F distribution instead of t distribution
- Coefficient W does not change as g increases

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm W \times s(\hat{Y}_h) \text{ where } W^2 = 2F(1 - \alpha, 2, n - 2)$$

Prediction Intervals

- Could apply Bonferroni correction

- Want to know $Y_{h(new)}$ for g X 's
- Construct PIs using $\alpha^* = \alpha/g$
- Reasonable approach when g small

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm B \times s(\text{pred}) \text{ where } B = t(1 - \alpha/(2g), n - 2)$$

- Can also use Scheffé procedure

- Uses F distribution instead of t distribution
- Coefficient S increases as g increases

$$\hat{Y}_h \pm S \times s(\text{pred}) \text{ where } S^2 = gF(1 - \alpha, g, n - 2)$$

Regression through the Origin

- Many instances where the line is known to go through the origin
- Statistical model is

$$Y_i = \beta_1 X_i + \varepsilon_i \text{ where } \varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- Can show $b_1 = \sum X_i Y_i / \sum X_i^2$
 - Can fit using option NOINT for MODEL in PROC REG
 - Degrees of freedoms for some statistics are changed!
 - Problems with R^2 and other statistics
- If the line does go through the origin, little is lost fitting a line with both intercept and slope
 - **Note:** If there is truly no intercept, no adjustment is necessary for the family of tests

Measurement Error

- Measurement Error in Y
 - Generally not a problem if the measurement error is random with mean zero
 - Error term in model represents unexplained variation which is often a combination of many factors not considered
- Measurement Error in X
 - Does cause problems
 - Often results in biased estimators
 - Tends to reduce strength of association
 - Berkson model: special case where predictor variable is set at a target level, see p. 167-168.

Inverse Predictions

- Given Y_h , predict corresponding X , \hat{X}_h
- Given fitted equation this is

$$\hat{X}_h = \frac{Y_h - b_0}{b_1} \quad b_1 \neq 0$$

- This is the MLE (i.e., function of b_0 , b_1)
- Approximate CI can be constructed using inverse mapping of CI for new observation Y_h

$$\hat{Y}_h - t(1 - \alpha/2, n - 2)s\{pred\} \leq Y_h \leq \hat{Y}_h + t(1 - \alpha/2, n - 2)s\{pred\})$$

$$b_0 + b_1 X_h - t(1 - \alpha/2, n - 2)s\{pred\} \leq Y_h \leq b_0 + b_1 X_h + t(1 - \alpha/2, n - 2)s\{pred\})$$

$$Y_h - t(1 - \alpha/2, n - 2)s\{pred\} \leq b_0 + b_1 X_h \leq Y_h + t(1 - \alpha/2, n - 2)s\{pred\})$$

$$\implies \hat{X}_h \pm t(1 - \alpha/2, n - 2)s\{pred\}/b_1$$

Chapter Review

- Simultaneous Inference / Multiplicity
- Regression through the origin
- Measurement Error
- Inverse predictions