

## Answer Keys to Homework#9

### Problem 1

(a) For the restricted model, we have the following EMS table.

Term	F	F	R	R	EMS
	a	b	c	n	
	i	j	k	l	
$\tau_i$	0	b	c	n	$\sigma^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + \frac{bcn \sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i^2}{a-1}$
$\beta_j$	a	0	c	n	$\sigma^2 + an\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + \frac{acn \sum_{j=1}^a \beta_j^2}{b-1}$
$\gamma_k$	a	b	1	n	$\sigma^2 + abn\sigma_{\gamma}^2$
$(\tau\beta)_{ij}$	0	0	c	n	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2 + \frac{cn \sum_{i=1}^a (\tau\beta)_{ij}^2}{(a-1)(b-1)}$
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik}$	0	b	1	n	$\sigma^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2$
$(\beta\gamma)_{jk}$	a	0	1	n	$\sigma^2 + an\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2$
$(\tau\beta\gamma)_{ijk}$	0	0	1	n	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2$
$\epsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	$\sigma^2$

The F-tests for the main effects are

Test ' $H_0$ : all  $\tau_i$  are zero' vs. ' $H_1$ : at least one of  $\tau_i$  is not zero' with  $F_\tau = MSA/MSAC$ , which follows  $F_{a-1, (a-1)(c-1)}$  under the null hypothesis.

Test ' $H_0$ : all  $\beta_j$  are zero' vs. ' $H_1$ : at least one of  $\beta_j$  is not zero' with  $F_\beta = MSB/MSBC$ , which follows  $F_{b-1, (b-1)(c-1)}$  under the null hypothesis.

Test ' $H_0$ : all  $\gamma_k$  are zero' vs. ' $H_1$ : at least one of  $\gamma_k$  is not zero' with  $F_\gamma = MSC/MSE$ , which follows  $F_{c-1, abc(n-1)}$  under the null hypothesis.

(b) For the unrestricted model, we have the following EMS table.

Term	F	F	R	R	EMS
	a	b	c	n	
	i	j	k	l	
$\tau_i$	0	b	c	n	$\sigma^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2 + \frac{bcn \sum_{i=1}^a \tau_i^2}{a-1}$
$\beta_j$	a	0	c	n	$\sigma^2 + an\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2 + \frac{acn \sum_{j=1}^a \beta_j^2}{b-1}$
$\gamma_k$	a	b	1	n	$\sigma^2 + abn\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + an\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2$
$(\tau\beta)_{ij}$	0	0	c	n	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2 + \frac{cn \sum_{i=1}^a (\tau\beta)_{ij}^2}{(a-1)(b-1)}$
$(\tau\gamma)_{ik}$	1	b	1	n	$\sigma^2 + bn\sigma_{\tau\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2$
$(\beta\gamma)_{jk}$	a	1	1	n	$\sigma^2 + an\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2$
$(\tau\beta\gamma)_{ijk}$	1	1	1	n	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\tau\beta\gamma}^2$
$\epsilon_{(ijk)l}$	1	1	1	1	$\sigma^2$

The F-tests for the main effects are

Test ' $H_0$ : all  $\tau_i$  are zero' vs. ' $H_1$ : at least one of  $\tau_i$  is not zero' with  $F_\tau = MSA/MSAC$ , which follows  $F_{a-1, (a-1)(c-1)}$  under the null hypothesis.

Test ' $H_0$ : all  $\beta_j$  are zero' vs. ' $H_1$ : at least one of  $\beta_j$  is not zero' with  $F_\beta = MSB/MSBC$ , which follows  $F_{b-1, (b-1)(c-1)}$  under the null hypothesis.

Test ' $H_0$ : all  $\gamma_k$  are zero' vs. ' $H_1$ : at least one of  $\gamma_k$  is not zero' with  $F_\gamma = (MSC+MSABC)/(MSAC+$

$MSBC$ ) (you may have a different approximate F-test here), which follows  $F_{p,q}$  under the null hypothesis with

$$p = \frac{(MSC + MSABC)^2}{MSC^2/(c-1) + MSABC^2/((a-1)(b-1)(c-1))}$$

$$q = \frac{(MSAC + MSBC)^2}{MSAC^2/((a-1)(c-1)) + MSBC^2/((b-1)(c-1))}$$

### Problem 2

(a) The reason is: (i) “batch” has four levels at each level of “process”; (ii) under the same level of “process”, the levels of “batch” are comparable; (iii) under a level of “process”, the levels of “batch” can be arbitrarily numbered (i.e., the levels of “batch” from different levels of “process” are not comparable).

(b) Ignored.

### Problem 3

Note that certain variances terms are expected to be zero and thus dropped from the model. The EMS and F test are

Source	EMS	Test
A	$bc\sigma_A^2 + c\sigma_{AB}^2 + \sigma^2$	$MS_A/MS_{AB}$
B	$ac\sigma_B^2 + c\sigma_{AB}^2 + a\sigma_{BC}^2 + \sigma^2$	$(MS_B+MS_E)/(MS_{AB}+MS_{BC})$
C	$ab\sigma_C^2 + a\sigma_{BC}^2 + \sigma^2$	$MS_C/MS_{BC}$
AB	$c\sigma_{AB}^2 + \sigma^2$	$MS_{AB}/MS_E$
BC	$a\sigma_{BC}^2 + \sigma^2$	$MS_{BC}/MS_E$