## Time Series Data

A time series is a sequence of observations taken sequentially in time. A discrete time series is usually sampled or accumulated from a continuous series. Examples in the text include

- Chemical process concentration reading: every 2 hours.
- IBM common stock closing prices: daily, May 17, 1961 November 2, 1962.
- International airline passengers: monthly totals, January 1949 - December 1960.

A time series can be deterministic or stochastic. We will be concerned with the analysis of stochastic time series.

## Time Series in R

R is an open-source programming environment for data analysis and graphics. R is packaged nicely for all major platforms including Unix, Windows, and Mac. Check http://cran.r-project.org.

Among the most useful R commands are help.start(), which loads the on-line documentations, and $q()$, which quits $R$.

A numeric vector can be made into an ts object by the ts function. The series can be plotted by plot. The time stamps can be moved by lag. The difference at lag 1 can be obtained through diff.

```
air<-ts(scan("airline-pass"),start=1949,frequency=12)
plot(air); plot.default(air)
lag(air); lag(air,12)
diff(air)
```


## Times Series Analysis

Successive observations in a time series tend to be correlated. The modeling of time dependency and the forecasting of future are important topics of time series analysis.

```
chem<-scan("chem-conc"); plot(ts(chem))
plot(chem[-197], chem[-1])
```

A dynamic system transfers a input series into an output series. The understanding of the system dynamics is also an important topic of time series analysis.

```
chem2<-3*chem [-1]-2*chem [-197]
chem3<-chem2+rnorm(chem2)
plot(ts(chem2)); plot(ts(chem3))
plot(cbind(ts(chem),ts(chem3)))
```


## Probability: Basic Concepts

The behavior of a r.v. $X$ is characterized by its distribution function $F(x)=P(X \leq x)$. The first two moments are

$$
\mu_{x}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d F(x), \quad \sigma_{x}^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(x-\mu_{x}\right)^{2} d F(x)
$$

where the integral is in the Riemann-Stieltjes sense. For continuous r.v., $d F(x)=f(x) d x$, where $f(x)$ is the density.

The joint distribution of two r.v.'s $(X, Y)$ is given by

$$
F(x, y)=P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)
$$

When the joint density $f(x, y)=\partial^{2} F(x, y) / \partial x \partial y$ exists, the conditional density of $(Y \mid X=x)$ is given by $f(y \mid x)=f(x, y) / f_{x}(x)$, where $f_{x}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) d y$ is the marginal density of $X . X$ and $Y$ are independent if $F(x, y)=F_{x}(x) F_{y}(y)$, or $f(x, y)=f_{x}(x) f_{y}(y)$.

## Matrix Algebra: Block Matrix

Block matrices add and multiply just as ordinary matrices, so long as the dimensions match. For example,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\
\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{b}}=\binom{\mathbf{A a}+\mathbf{B b}}{\mathbf{C a}+\mathbf{D b}}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}\end{array}\right)$ be positive definite, where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}$ are square, and write $\mathbf{E}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}$. One has

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \mathbf{E}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} & -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \mathbf{E}^{-1} \\
-\mathbf{E}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{E}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
\\
=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{I} & -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\
\mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{O} \\
\mathbf{O} & \mathbf{E}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \\
-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{I}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Multivariate Normal Distribution

A $n$-dimensional normal distribution $N(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ has a density

$$
f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n / 2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1 / 2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}
$$

One has $E[\mathbf{X}]=\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and $\operatorname{cov}[\mathbf{X}]=\operatorname{cov}\left[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^{T}\right]=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.
Partition $\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}=\binom{\mathbf{x}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}}{\mathbf{x}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}}=\binom{\mathbf{z}_{1}}{\mathbf{z}_{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}\end{array}\right)$. Using results on the previous slide, one has $|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|=\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}\right||E|$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) & =\mathbf{z}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{z}_{1} \\
& +\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{z}_{1}\right)^{T} \mathbf{E}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{z}_{2}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{z}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the conditional density $f\left(\mathbf{x}_{2} \mid \mathbf{x}_{1}\right)$ has mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\right)$ and covariance $\mathbf{E}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}-\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} . \mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ are independent if and only if $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}=\mathbf{O}$.

## Linear Models

Linear regression and ANOVA are collectively known as linear models, which have the standard form

$$
\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}
$$

where $E[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]=\mathbf{0}$. Assuming $\operatorname{cov}[\mathbf{Y}]=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}$, one minimizes the least squares criterion $(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})^{T}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ to obtain

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{Y} ; \quad \hat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{H Y}
$$

The hat matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is idempotent, $\mathbf{H}^{2}=\mathbf{H}$.
Assuming $\operatorname{cov}[\mathbf{Y}]=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{W}^{-1}$, one minimizes the weighted least squares criterion $(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})^{T} \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})$ to obtain

$$
\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{W}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Y}
$$

## Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Consider a parametric density function $f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$. Plugging in the observations $\mathbf{X}, L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{X})=f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ as a function of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is called the likelihood function. The $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ that maximizes $L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{X})$ is called a maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Write $l(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{X})=\log L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{X})$ the log likelihood. $I(\boldsymbol{\theta})=-\partial^{2} l / \partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^{T}$ is the (observed) information matrix. For large samples, it usually holds that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \stackrel{\text { asy. }}{\sim} N\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}, I^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}$ generated the data.

Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ be the MLE in the parameter space $\Theta$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{0}$ be the MLE in $\Theta_{0} \subset \Theta$. If $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{0} \in \Theta_{0}$, It usually holds that

$$
2\left\{l(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mid \mathbf{X})-l\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{0} \mid \mathbf{X}\right)\right\} \stackrel{\text { asy. }}{\sim} \chi_{\nu}^{2},
$$

where $\nu=\operatorname{dim}(\Theta)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\Theta_{0}\right)$. This yiels the likelihood ratio tests.

