# Why don't we agree? Studying influenza with RNA interference 

## Michael Newton UW Madison



From a project on influenza biology with:

Qiuling He<br>Lin Hao<br>Mark Craven<br>Paul Ahlquist

Thanks Christina Kendziorski...

What human genes does influenza virus co-opt during its life cycle?

## a bit about flu



## Experimental Method: RNAi

RNA interference is a process within living cells that moderates the activity of their genes.

Fire and Mello, 2006, Nobel Prize

## a bit about RNAi



Kim and Rossi Nature Reviews Genetics 8, 173-184 (March 2007) | doi:10.1038/ nrg2006

Or, more simply, ...

## RNA interference $=$
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## RNA interference $=$

genome-wide...



## cell
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## cell


phenotype of interest changes

## explain phenotype

## Issues

Involvement: gene may not affect phenotype


## Efficiency: knockdown may not be complete



## Accessibility: something blocks the phenotype


a. no expression in these particular cells

## Accessibility: something blocks the phenotype


b. Redundency/masking

## Accessibility: something blocks the phenotype



## c.cytotoxicity

## Off target effects



## Measurement error

## Measurement error


false positive

## Measurement error

## Measurement error


false negative

## one siRNA

knock down
no knock down

## one siRNA 0



## one siRNA 0

measurement



## Meta analysis of four recent studies

## nature 2008

2009

## nature

 2010Drosophila RNAi screen identifies host genes important for influenza virus replication
Linhui Hao ${ }^{1,2 *}$, Akira Sakurai ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} \dagger$, Tokiko Watanabe ${ }^{3}$, Ericka Sorensen ${ }^{1}$, Chairul A. Nidom ${ }^{5,6}$, Michael A. Newton ${ }^{4}$, Paul Ahlquist ${ }^{1,2}$ \& Yoshihiro Kawaoka ${ }^{3,7,8,9}$

The IFITM Proteins Mediate Cellular Resistance to Influenza A H1N1 Virus, West Nile Virus, and Dengue Virus
Abraham L. Brass, ${ }^{1,249 . *}$ 1-Chuoh Huang, ${ }^{5.9}$ Yair Benita, ${ }^{3.20}$ Sinu P. John, ${ }^{2,10}$ Manoj N. Krishnan, ${ }^{6}$ Eric M. Feeley, ${ }^{1}$ Bethany J. Ryan, ${ }^{1}$ Jessica L. Weyer, ${ }^{5}$ Louise van der Weyden, ${ }^{8}$ Erol Fikrig, ${ }^{6,7}$ David J. Adams, ${ }^{8}$ Ramnk J. Xavier, ${ }^{2,3}$ Michael Farzan, ${ }^{\text {s, }}$, and Stephen J. Elledge ${ }^{4 *}$

Genome-wide RNAi screen identifies human host
factors crucial for influenza virus replication
Alexander Karlas ${ }^{1 *}$, Nikolaus Machuy ${ }^{1 *}$, Yujin Shin ${ }^{1}$, Klaus-Peter Pleissner ${ }^{2}$, Anita Artarini ${ }^{1}$, Dagmar Heuer ${ }^{1}$, Daniel Becker ${ }^{1}$, Hany Khalil ${ }^{1}$, Lesley A. Ogilvie ${ }^{1}$, Simone Hess ${ }^{1} \dagger$, André P. Mäurer ${ }^{1}$, Elke Müller ${ }^{1} \dagger$, Thorsten Wolff ${ }^{3}$, Thomas Rudel ${ }^{1} \dagger$ \& Thomas F. Meyer ${ }^{1}$

Human host factors required for influenza virus replication

Renate König ${ }^{1 *}$, Silke Stertz ${ }^{4 *}$, Yingyao Zhou ${ }^{7}$, Atsushi Inoue ${ }^{1}$, H. -Heinrich Hoffmann ${ }^{4}$, Suchita Bhattacharyya ${ }^{2}$ Judith G. Alamares ${ }^{4}$, Donna M. Tscherne ${ }^{4}$, Mila B. Ortigoza ${ }^{4}$, Yuhong Liang ${ }^{4}$, Qinshan Gao ${ }^{4}$, Shane E. Andrews ${ }^{3}$, Sourav Bandyopadhyay ${ }^{8}$, Paul De Jesus ${ }^{1}$, Buu P. Tu ${ }^{7}$, Lars Pache ${ }^{1}$, Crystal Shih ${ }^{1}$, Anthony Orth ${ }^{7}$, Ghislain Bonamy ${ }^{7}$, Loren Miraglia ${ }^{7}$, Trey Ideker ${ }^{8}$, Adolfo Garcia-Sastre ${ }^{4,56}$, John A. T. Young ${ }^{2}$, Peter Palese ${ }^{4,5}$, Megan L. Shaw ${ }^{4 *}$ \& Sumit K. Chanda ${ }^{1 *}$
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## results (gene lists) from 4 two-stage RNAi studies

I. detection<br>2. confirmation

e.g., one gene

| Study |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DL-1 | U2OS | A549 $_{\text {DE }}$ | A549 ${ }_{\text {us }}$ |
| Detection Screen | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Conflrmation Screen | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pattern Code | 11 | 10 | 00 | 00 |

## Detection and Confirmation Patterns



## Agreement among studies is low.

Among the 614 genes confirmed by at least one study:


## Is the limited overlap due more to false positive or false negative factors?

6.7\% average pairwise overlap of confirmed gene lists is significantly higher than expected by chance

## Modeling approach

$P_{\pi}=\operatorname{Prob}$ (gene shows detection/confirmation pattern $\pi$ )
$n_{\pi}=\#($ gene shows detection/confirmation pattern $\pi)$

## Likelihood

$$
L=\prod_{\pi} P_{\pi}^{n_{\pi}}
$$

| $\pi$ | DL-1 | U2OS | A549 ${ }_{\text {d }}$ | A549 ${ }_{u}$ | $n_{\pi}$ | $P_{\pi}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,016 |  |
| 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |  |
| 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |  |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| 6 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 4 |  |
| $\cdot$ |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 79 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 3 |  |
| 80 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 0 |  |
| 81 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 1 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | G = 22000 |  |

## Data and latent variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{g, s}=1[\text { gene } g \text { detected in study } s] \\
& C_{g, s}=1[\text { gene } g \text { confirmed in study } s]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{g}=1[g \text { involved in flu }] \\
& A_{g, s}=1[g \text { accessible in } s]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
T_{g, s}=\#\{\text { involved, accessible off targets, study } s, \text { target } g\}
$$

## Detection screen

pool of 4 distinct siRNA's per target gene

one phenotype call $\quad D_{g, s}$

## Confirmation screen




$C_{g, s, 4}$

$$
C_{g, s}=1\left[\sum_{k} C_{g, s, k} \geq 2\right]
$$

Figure 2. Plate diagram for statistical model


## on target knock down model

$$
U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4} \sim_{i i d} \operatorname{Uniform}(0,1)
$$
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## on target knock down model

$$
U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4} \sim_{i i d} \operatorname{Uniform}(0,1)
$$


target gene
on target knock down model

$$
U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}, U_{4} \sim_{i i d} \operatorname{Uniform}(0,1)
$$



$$
\prod_{j} U_{j}<\omega
$$

effect if

## knock down

threshold parameter

$$
\text { Prob: } 1-G_{4}[\log (1 / \omega)]
$$

## off target knock down model

$t=\#\{$ involved, accessible off targets $\}$
$U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{t} \sim_{i . i . d .} \operatorname{Uniform}(0,1)$

$$
\text { e.g. } t=3
$$

$U_{1}<\omega$
$U_{2}<\omega$
$U_{3}<\omega$
knock down effect if any $\quad U_{j}<\omega$

Prob: $1-(1-\omega)^{t}$

detection screen: $\quad D_{g, s} \mid A_{g, s}=a, I_{g}=i, T_{g, s}=t$


## confirmation screen

$+0$

$C_{g, s, 1}$

$C_{g, s, 2}$

$C_{g, s, 3}$
$C_{g, s, 4}$

$$
C_{g, s}=1\left[\sum_{k} C_{g, s, k} \geq 2\right]
$$

confirmation screen: $\quad C_{g, s, k} \mid A_{g, s}=a, I_{g}=i, T_{g, s}=t$


## On the number of off targets per siRNA

- very limited data
- libraries overlap among 4 studies


## one target gene

K siRNA's available to all studies (on average)
involved
off targets


$$
T_{g}
$$

## one target gene

study s uses 4 siRNA's
siRNA's
involved, accessible

off targets

$$
T_{g, s}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{g}=\#\{\text { involved off-targets, target } g\} \\
& T_{g} \sim \text { Poisson }(K \theta \nu) \\
& T_{g, s} \left\lvert\, T_{g}=t \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(t, \frac{4 \gamma_{s}}{K}\right) \quad\right. \text { detection } \\
& T_{g, s, k} \left\lvert\, T_{g, s}=t \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(t, \frac{1}{4}\right) \quad\right. \text { confirmation }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Parameters

$\theta=$ proportion of genome involved in influenza virus replication
$\alpha=$ false positive measurement error
$\beta_{s}=$ false negative measurement error, study $s$
$\gamma_{s}=$ rate at which genes are accessible, study $s$
$\omega=$ knockdown efficiency per siRNA
$\nu=$ average number of off-targets per siRNA.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{g} & \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}(\theta) \\
A_{g, s} & \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}\left(\gamma_{s}\right) \\
T_{g} & \sim \operatorname{Poisson}(K \theta \nu) \\
T_{g, s} \mid\left[T_{g}=t\right] & \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(t, 4 \gamma_{s} / K\right) \\
D_{g, s} \mid\left[I_{g}=i, A_{g, s}=a, T_{g, s}=t\right] & \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}\left[1-\beta_{s}+\left(\alpha+\beta_{s}-1\right)\left[G_{4,1}(-\log \omega)\right]^{a i}(1-\omega)^{t}\right] \\
C_{g, s, k} \mid\left[I_{g}=i, A_{g, s}=a, T_{g, s}=t\right] & \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}\left[1-\beta_{s}+\left(\alpha+\beta_{s}-1\right)(1-\omega)^{a i}(1-\omega / 4)^{t}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculating pattern probabilities: $\quad P_{\pi}=\sum_{i, a} P_{\pi}(i, a)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\pi}(i, a) & =P\left(\pi \mid I_{g}=i,\left\{A_{g, s}\right\}_{s=1}^{4}=a\right) . \\
P_{\pi}(i, a) & =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} P\left(T_{g}=t\right) P\left(\pi \mid I_{g}=i,\left\{A_{g, s}\right\}_{s=1}^{4}=a, T_{g}=t\right) \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Po}(t) \prod_{s=1}^{4} P\left(\pi_{s} \mid I_{g}=i, A_{g, s}=a_{s}, T_{g}=t\right) \quad \pi=\bigcap_{s} \pi_{s} . \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Po}(t) \prod_{s=1}^{4} \sum_{u=0}^{t} B_{s}(t, u) P\left(\pi_{s} \mid I_{g}=i, A_{g, s}=a_{s}, T_{g, s}=u\right) \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Po}(t) \prod_{s=1}^{4} \sum_{u=0}^{t} B_{s}(t, u) Q_{s, i, u s, u}
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q_{s, i, a_{s}, u}=P\left(\pi_{s} \mid I_{g}=i, A_{g, s}=a_{s}, T_{g, s}=u\right)$

Lemma:

$$
Q_{s, i, a_{s}, u}=\sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{4} b_{s, p, q}\left(\xi_{1}^{p} \xi_{2}^{q}\right)^{u} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\xi_{1} & =1-\omega \\
\xi_{2}=1-\frac{\omega}{4}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{u=0}^{t} B_{s}(t, u) Q_{s, i, a_{s}, u}=\sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{4} b_{s, p, q} \sum_{u=0}^{t}\left(\xi_{1}^{p} \xi_{2}^{q}\right)^{u} B_{s}(t, u) \\
&=\sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{4} b_{s, p, q}\left(1-\frac{4 \gamma_{s}}{K}+\frac{4 \gamma_{s}}{K}\left(\xi_{1}^{p} \xi_{2}^{q}\right)\right)^{t} \\
&=\sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{4} b_{s, p, q} e_{s, p, q}^{t} \\
& e_{s, p, q}=1-\frac{4 \gamma_{s}}{K}+\frac{4 \gamma_{s}}{K}\left(\xi_{1}^{p} \xi_{2}^{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\pi}(i, a)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P} O(t) \prod_{s=1}^{4} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{4} b_{s, p, q} e_{s, p, q}^{t} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{O}(t) \sum_{p_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{2}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{3}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{4}=0}^{1} \sum_{q_{1}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{2}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{3}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{4}=0}^{4}\left(\prod_{s=1}^{4} b_{s, p_{s}, q_{s}}\right)\left(\prod_{s=1}^{4} e_{s, p_{s}, q_{s}}\right)^{t} \\
& =\sum_{p_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{2}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{3}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{4}=0}^{1} \sum_{q_{1}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{2}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{3}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{4}=0}^{4}\left(\prod_{s=1}^{4} b_{s, p_{s}, q_{s}}\right) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{PO}(t)\left(\prod_{s=1}^{4} e_{s, p_{s}, q_{s}}\right)^{t} \\
& =\sum_{p_{1}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{2}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{3}=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{4}=0}^{1} \sum_{q_{1}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{2}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{3}=0}^{4} \sum_{q_{4}=0}^{4}\left(\prod_{s=1}^{4} b_{s, p_{s}, q_{s}}\right) \exp \left\{-K \theta \nu\left(1-\prod_{s} e_{s, p_{s}, q_{s}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Model fitting

- 12 parameters
- numerical (nlminb in R) (point estimation)
- extensive code testing
- MCMC (Bayes under flat prior)
(induced parameters and prediction)






## MCMC looks good


$\beta$ : DL-1

$\alpha$

$\beta$ : U2OS

(1)

$\beta$ : A549DE

v

$\beta$ : A549US


## Comfirmed vs Detected

## Posterior predictive checks look good



## Cross validation

Table S3-5: Predicted number of extra genes confirmed by a $4^{t h}$ study based on modeling the other three studies.

| Leave Out | Predicted Additional | 95\% Prediction Interval | Observed Additional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DL-1 | 133 | $(76,207)$ | 136 |
| U2OS | 128 | $(75,199)$ | 114 |
| A549US | 144 | $(89,212)$ | 188 |
| A549DE | 156 | $(80,284)$ | 131 |

## Point estimates

|  | MLE | MEAN |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\theta$ | 0.128 | 0.128 |
| $\alpha$ | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| $\beta_{1}$ | 0.083 | 0.164 |
| $\beta_{2}$ | 0.340 | 0.400 |
| $\beta_{3}$ | 0.312 | 0.375 |
| $\beta_{4}$ | 0.038 | 0.125 |
| $\gamma_{1}$ | 0.063 | 0.072 |
| $\gamma_{2}$ | 0.094 | 0.107 |
| $\gamma_{3}$ | 0.113 | 0.127 |
| $\gamma_{4}$ | 0.084 | 0.095 |
| $\omega$ | 0.809 | 0.902 |
| $\nu$ | 0.000 | 0.006 |

Density of False Discovery Rate (FDR) and False Non-Discovery Rate (FNDR)


Density of False Positive Rate (FP) and False Negative Rate (FN)


## Predicted number of confirmed genes



## What about low estimated off target rate ??



## very hard to extend to Negative Binomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\pi}(i, a)=\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Po}(t) \prod_{s=1}^{4} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{4} b_{s, p, q} e_{s, p, p} t^{t} \\
& =\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Po}(t) \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{p=0}^{1} \sum_{p_{p=0}=0}^{1} \sum_{q=0}^{4} \sum_{p_{z=0}}^{4} \sum_{q=0}^{4} \sum_{q=0}^{4}\left(\prod_{o=1}^{4} b_{s, p_{p} q_{s}}\right)\left(\prod_{s=1}^{4} e_{s_{p, p_{s}}}\right)^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sensitivity analysis

- large K <==> independent studies
- simpler likelihood
- separate implementation with Negative Binomial gives essentially the same fits


## Profile analysis





## Summary

1. gene-level agreement among studies
2. functional category analysis
3. protein interaction analysis

