HYPERBOLIC BRANCHING BROWNIAN MOTION

by

Steven P. Lalley and Tom Sellke Purdue University

Technical Report #95-43

Department of Statistics Purdue University

October 24, 1995

HYPERBOLIC BRANCHING BROWNIAN MOTION

by

Steven P. Lalley and Tom Sellke Purdue University

Abstract

Hyperbolic branching Brownian motion is a branching diffusion process in which individual particles follow independent Brownian paths in the hyperbolic plane H^2 , and undergo binary fission(s) at rate $\lambda > 0$. It is shown that there is a phase transition in λ : For $\lambda \leq 1/8$ the number of particles in any compact region of H^2 is eventually 0, w.p.1, but for $\lambda > 1/8$ the number of particles in any open set grows to ∞ w.p.1. In the subcritical case ($\lambda \leq 1/8$) the set Λ of all limit points in ∂H^2 (the boundary circle at ∞) of particle trails is a Cantor set, while in the supercritical case ($\lambda > 1/8$) the set Λ has full Lebesgue measure. For $\lambda \leq 1/8$ it is shown that w.p.1 the Hausdorff dimension of Λ is $\delta = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda})/2$.

HYPERBOLIC BRANCHING BROWNIAN MOTION

STEVEN P. LALLEY AND TOM SELLKE PURDUE UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT. Hyperbolic branching Brownian motion is a branching diffusion process in which individual particles follow independent Brownian paths in the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 , and undergo binary fission(s) at rate $\lambda>0$. It is shown that there is a phase transition in λ : For $\lambda\leq 1/8$ the number of particles in any compact region of \mathbb{H}^2 is eventually 0, w.p.1, but for $\lambda>1/8$ the number of particles in any open set grows to ∞ w.p.1. In the subcritical case ($\lambda\leq 1/8$) the set Λ of all limit points in $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$ (the boundary circle at ∞) of particle trails is a Cantor set, while in the supercritical case ($\lambda>1/8$) the set Λ has full Lebesgue measure. For $\lambda\leq 1/8$ it is shown that w.p.1 the Hausdorff dimension of Λ is $\delta=(1-\sqrt{1-8\lambda})/2$.

1. Introduction

Hyperbolic branching Brownian motion is a branching diffusion process in which individual particles execute (independent) Brownian motion(s) in the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 , and undergo binary fission(s) at exponentially distributed random times independent of the motions. The rate λ of fission is assumed to be constant. The model is no different from standard branching Brownian motion (see [1], ch. VI) except that the motion takes place in the hyperbolic plane instead of Euclidean space.

Hyperbolic branching Brownian motion, unlike branching Brownian motion in a Euclidean space, exhibits a phase transition in λ . For $\lambda < 1/8$, the process is *subcritical* in the following sense: with probability 1, for any compact subset K of the hyperbolic plane, the number of particles located in K is eventually 0. For $\lambda > 1/8$ the process is *supercritical*: for each nonempty open set U, the number of particles in U is, w.p.1, eventually positive. At the critical value $\lambda = 1/8$ the process dies out in compact sets. These facts follow from the exponential decay of the heat kernel, which may be written explicitly as

$$p_t(z,z') = \exp\{-\frac{t}{4}\} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}}\right) \int_{d(z,z')}^{\infty} \frac{r \exp\{-r^2/4t\}}{\sqrt{\cosh r - \cosh d(z,z')}} dr$$

where d(z, z') denotes the hyperbolic distance between z and z': see, e.g., [4], ch. 7. Elementary arguments (not using the heat kernel) will be given below.

Similar phenomena have been observed in a number of related growth models. Pemantle [6] found that for the contact process on a homogeneous tree, it is possible for the population to grow exponentially (in cardinality) but nevertheless to ultimately die out in every

Date. July 6, 1995.

Key words and phrases. Branching Brownian motion, Hausdorff dimension, hyperbolic space. Supported by NSF grant DMS-9307855.

compact region, if the infection rate is below a certain critical value. Results of Benjamini and Peres [3] imply that the same is true of branching random walk on a homogeneous tree or a hyperbolic space. The phenomenon is a manifestation of the exponential growth of volume as a function of radius in the phase space, which forces exponential decay of return probabilities for random walks (and Brownian motions) in these spaces.

The main objective of this paper is to show how the phase transition manifests itself in the behavior of the process "at infinity". The (directed) paths in \mathbb{H}^2 traced out by the particles of a branching Brownian motion form a random binary tree \mathcal{T} . (More precisely, there is a continuous embedding of the full binary tree into \mathbb{H}^2 whose nodes are located at the points of fission and whose branches follow the particles' paths.) In the supercritical regime, there are infinite (directed) paths in this tree that remain forever in bounded regions of \mathbb{H}^2 (Corollary 3 below); however, in the critical and subcritical regimes there are no such paths – all infinite directed paths in \mathcal{T} diverge to the boundary circle $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ at ∞ . Define Λ to be the set of all accumulation points of \mathcal{T} in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Observe that, with probability 1, Λ is a nonempty, compact subset of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1. For $0 < \lambda \le 1/8$ the Hausdorff dimension of Λ is, with probability 1, equal to

(1)
$$\delta = \delta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda} \right).$$

The upper bound $HD(\Lambda) \leq \delta$ will be proved in section 6, and the lower bound $HD(\Lambda) \geq \delta$ in section 7.

Note that it is irrelevant whether $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ is viewed as the real axis (the half-plane model of \mathbb{H}^2) or the unit circle (the disk model) because any (hyperbolic) isometry between the Poincaré half-plane and the Poincaré disk is a linear fractional transformation with a smooth extension to the boundary, and diffeomorphisms preserve Hausdorff dimensions. Observe that as $\lambda \uparrow 1/8$ the Hausdorff dimension increases continuously to 1/2, not to 1, as one might at first suspect. In the supercritical regime, the complement of Λ has Lebesgue measure 0 (see Proposition 10 below), so the Hausdorff dimension is discontinuous at the critical value $\lambda = 1/8$.

2. Hyperbolic Brownian Motion and BBM

2.1. The Hyperbolic Plane. There are several representations of the hyperbolic plane, the most useful for our purposes being the *Poincaré half-plane* and the *Poincaré disk* representations [2]. In the half-plane model, \mathbb{H}^2 is the complex manifold $\{z = x + iy : y > 0\}$ with the Poincaré metric ds = |dz|/y. In the disk model, \mathbb{H}^2 is the complex manifold $\{z = re^{i\theta} : 0 \le r < 1\}$ with the Poincaré metric $ds = 2|dz|/(1-r^2)$. The linear fractional transformation

(2)
$$\varphi(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}$$

maps the upper half-plane onto the disk and takes the Poincaré metric for the half-plane to the Poincaré metric for the disk. For later reference note that φ maps each horocyle $\Gamma_t = \{z : \Im(z) = e^{-t}\}$ to a circle $\varphi(\Gamma_t)$ inside the unit disk tangent to the unit circle at $\varphi(\infty) = 1$. The hyperbolic isometries of the Poincaré half-plane are precisely the linear fractional transformations represented by matrices from the group $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$; these include

- (1) translations $z \to z + r, r \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (2) homotheties $z \to \alpha z$, $\alpha > 0$,

- (3) hyperbolic rotations $z \to \varphi^{-1}(e^{i\theta}\varphi(z))$, and
- (4) the inversion $z \rightarrow -1/z$.

For any two points z_1, z_2 of \mathbb{H}^2 there is an isometry of \mathbb{H}^2 taking z_1 to z_2 .

Hyperbolic circles are Euclidean circles, and vice versa. To see this, observe that it is enough to consider the hyperbolic circles in the disk model centered at z=0, since hyperbolic isometries, being linear fractional transformations, map Euclidean circles to Euclidean circles. That the hyperbolic circles in the disk model centered at z=0 are also the Euclidean circles centered at z=0 follows from the rotational invariance of the Poincaré metric. Finally, note that a hyperbolic circle of radius r has (hyperbolic) area $4\pi \sinh^2(r/2)$ and perimeter $2\pi \sinh r$ (see [2], Th. 7.2.2).

The boundary $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ of the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 may be identified with the unit circle |z|=1 (in the disk model) or with $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ (in the half-plane model). The topology of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ is that of the unit circle. Convergence of a sequence or a continuous path in \mathbb{H}^2 to a point of the boundary $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ means convergence relative to the usual Euclidean metric on the closed unit disk. (Although it will sometimes be more convenient to use the half-plane representation, it should be understood that in either representation the topology of $\mathbb{H}^2 \cup \partial \mathbb{H}^2$ is that induced by the Euclidean metric on the closed unit disk.)

2.2. Hyperbolic Brownian Motion. Brownian motion in the Poincaré half-plane started at z = i may be constructed in two different ways, (1) as a solution to a stochastic differential equation, (2) as a time-change of a two-dimensional (Euclidean) Brownian motion stopped at the x-axis. Brownian motion started at any other point of the Poincaré half-plane may be obtained by isometry: If φ is a hyperbolic isometry and if Z_t is a hyperbolic Brownian motion started at a point z, then $\varphi(Z_t)$ is a hyperbolic Brownian motion started at $\varphi(z)$.

In the first representation, hyperbolic Brownian motion $Z_t = (X_t, Y_t)$ with starting point z = i (written in the usual rectangular coordinates) may be defined as the solution of the stochastic differential equation(s)

$$dY_t = Y_t dY_t^E$$
$$dX_t = Y_t dX_t^E$$

subject to the initial condition $X_0 = 0, Y_0 = 1$, where (X_t^E, Y_t^E) is an ordinary (Euclidean) two-dimensional Brownian motion. This representation, together with Ito's formula, implies

Lemma 1. $\log Y_t$ is a standard Brownian motion with drift -1/2.

Standard results for one-dimensional Brownian motion with constant drift therefore imply

Corollary 1. Define $T = T(a) = \inf\{t : Y_t = e^{-a}\}\$ and $T = \infty$ if there is no such t. Then for every $a \neq 0$ and $\lambda \leq 1/8$,

(3)
$$Ee^{\lambda T} = \exp\{\frac{a}{2}(1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda})\}, \text{ for } a > 0;$$

(4)
$$Ee^{\lambda T}1\{T<\infty\} = \exp\{\frac{\bar{a}}{2}(1+\sqrt{1-8\lambda})\}, \text{ for } a<0.$$

For $a \neq 0$ and $\lambda > 1/8$ both expectations are ∞ .

In the half-plane model, hyperbolic Brownian motion $Z_t = (X_t, Y_t)$ started at (0, 1) may also be defined by the requirement that $Z_{\rho(t)}$ be a two-dimensional Euclidean Brownian

$$t = \int_0^{\rho(t)} Y_{\rho(s)}^{-2} \, ds.$$

Note that as $t \to \infty$, $\rho(t) \to \tau$, where $\tau < \infty$ is the first passage time to the x-axis y = 0. Consequently, as $t \to \infty$, Z_t converges to a unique (random) point Z_{∞} of the boundary $\mathbb{R} = \partial \mathbb{H}^2$ of the hyperbolic plane. Similarly, in the disk model hyperbolic Brownian motion $Z_t = (R_t, \Theta_t)$ started at (0,0) may be defined as a time change of Euclidean Brownian motion, with the time change depending only on the radial process. By the rotational invariance of Euclidean Brownian motion, the limit point Z_{∞} is uniformly distributed on the circle $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$.

2.3. Hyperbolic Branching Brownian Motion. The most natural construction of hyperbolic branching Brownian motion uses a countable collection of independent hyperbolic Brownian motion processes and an independent sequence Υ^n_t of rate λ Poisson processes. The initial particle follows the first Brownian motion, fissioning at the occurrence times of the Poisson process Υ^1 . The *n*th particle, born at a time t > 0 and location z, follows the *n*th Brownian motion, moved (by an isometry) to the starting point z and the starting time t, and fissions at the occurrence times of the Poisson process Υ^n , shifted by t. The number N_t of particles born by time t is a binary fission process. Observe that if an isometry φ of \mathbb{H}^2 is applied to the positions of all particles in a branching Brownian motion started at z, the resulting process is a branching Brownian motion started at $\varphi(z)$.

At any time $t \geq 0$ the state of the branching Brownian motion is determined by the total number N(t) of particles and their current locations $Z_t^1, Z_t^2, \cdots, Z_t^{N(t)}$. For $0 \leq t \leq \infty$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(N(s); Z_s^1, Z_s^2, \cdots, Z_s^{N(s)})_{0 \le s \le t}.$$

Observe that $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a filtration. Consequently, by Lévy's martingale convergence theorem, for every event $A\in\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$,

$$\mathbf{1}_A = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(A \mid \mathcal{F}_t).$$

3. Phase Transition

3.1. The Horocycle GW Processes. Fix an integer $n \neq 0$, and consider the following modification of branching Brownian motion started at z = i in the Poincaré half-plane. Let the process evolve in the usual way, but make the horocycle Γ_n an absorbing barrier. Thus, upon reaching Γ_n , a particle will be "frozen"-its motion will cease, and it will undergo no more fission. As $t \to \infty$, more particles will become stuck at Γ_n . Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the point process consisting of the locations (x-coordinates) of all stuck particles, and let M_n be the cardinality of \mathcal{P}_n (note that M_n might be ∞).

Observe that a version of hyperbolic branching Brownian motion may be constructed by attaching to each point (x, e^{-n}) , where $x \in \mathcal{P}_n$, its own branching Brownian motion (with time adjusted to account for the time the stuck particle at (x, e^{-n}) took to reach its position on Γ_n). These attached branching Brownian motions should be independent of each other and of the pre- Γ_n branching Brownian motion process. That this construction does in fact yield a version of hyperbolic branching Brownian motion is a routine consequence of the strong Markov property.

Proposition 1. The sequence M_n , $n \geq 0$, is a Galton-Watson process whose offspring distribution is nondegenerate and has mean

(5)
$$\mu = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda}\right)\right\} = e^{\delta}$$

for $\lambda \leq 1/8$ and $\mu = \infty$ for $\lambda > 1/8$.

Proof. Consider the particles counted in M_{n+1} . Each particle ξ among these is a descendant of a particle $\zeta \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Now the process consisting of the descendants of ζ born after the time of ζ 's first visit to Γ_n is itself a branching Brownian motion, as noted above, with initial point located on Γ_n . This process is (in distribution) an isometric replica of the original branching Brownian motion; the isometry (multiplication by e^n followed by a real translation) takes each horocycle Γ_k to the horocycle Γ_{k-n} . Thus, the number M^{ζ} of descendants of ζ counted in M_{n+1} has the same distribution as M_1 . Moreover, the post- Γ_n processes engendered by different particles ζ counted in M_n are independent branching Brownian motions, so the random variables M^{ζ} , where ζ ranges over the particles counted in M_n , are conditionally independent. It follows that M_n is a GW process. The offspring distribution is clearly nondegenerate since with positive probability the initial particle may reach Γ_1 before fissioning.

That M_1 has the advertised expectation is a routine consequence of Corollary 1 above. \Box

The same argument proves

Proposition 2. The sequence M_n , $n \leq 0$, is a Galton-Watson process whose offspring distribution is nondegenerate and has mean

(6)
$$\mu = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda}\right)\right\} = e^{\delta - 1}$$

for $\lambda \leq 1/8$ and $\mu = \infty$ for $\lambda > 1/8$.

3.2. Critical and Subcritical Cases. When $\lambda < 1/8$ the GW process $\{M_n\}_{n \leq 0}$ is subcritical, and when $\lambda = 1/8$ it is critical, by (6) above. In either case extinction is certain: with probability 1, for all sufficiently large n, $M_{-n} = 0$. In particular, there is a (random) n_* such that no particle of the branching Brownian motion ever reaches the region above the horocycle Γ_{-n_*} .

Corollary 2. Assume that $\lambda \leq 1/8$. Then for every horocycle Γ_n the number of particles of the branching Brownian motion above Γ_n is eventually 0, with probability 1. Hence, for every compact subset K of \mathbb{H}^2 , the number of particles located in K is eventually 0, with probability 1.

Proof. For any pair of integers n_1, n_2 there exists $p = p(n_2 - n_1) > 0$ with the following property. For any time $t \geq 0$, on the event that a particle ζ of the branching Brownian motion is located on or above Γ_{n_1} at time t, the conditional probability that a post-t descendant of ζ will visit Γ_{n_2} , given the history \mathcal{F}_t of the BBM up to time t, is at least p. Consequently, on the event $V(n_1)$ that there are particles on or above the horocycle Γ_{n_1} at arbitrarily large times,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} P(\Gamma_{n_2} \text{ is never hit } | \mathcal{F}_t) \leq 1-p < 1.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{1}\{\Gamma_{n_2} \text{ never hit}\} = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(\Gamma_{n_2} \text{ is never hit } | \mathcal{F}_t),$$

by the martingale convergence theorem, it follows that on the event $V(n_1)$ it is certain that every Γ_{n_2} will be visited. But the event that every Γ_{n_2} is visited is the same as the event that $M_n > 0$ for all n < 0, and this happens with probability 0, since the GW process $\{M_n\}_{n \leq 0}$ is subcritical when $\lambda \leq 1/8$. Thus, for every n,

$$P(V(n)) = 0.$$

If K is a compact subset of \mathbb{H}^2 , then for some integer n, K lies entirely above Γ_n . The event that there are particles in K at arbitrarily large times is contained in the event V(n) that there are particles on or above Γ_n at arbitrarily large times; consequently, it has probability 0.

3.3. Supercritical Case. When $\lambda > 1/8$ both of the horocycle GW processes $\{M_n\}_{n \leq 0}$ and $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are supercritical. Thus, particles reach every horocycle Γ_n , n < 0, above i, and those particles all have descendants that eventually return to Γ_0 .

Corollary 3. Assume that $\lambda > 1/8$. With probability 1, there exist infinite paths in the tree \mathcal{T} that remain forever in compact regions of \mathbb{H}^2 .

Proof. Consider the modification of the branching Brownian motion in which particles are frozen upon reaching the horocycle Γ_{-1} . By Proposition 2, $EM_{-1} = \infty$. Consequently, for $k \geq 1$ sufficiently large, the expected number of particles in \mathcal{P}_{-1} whose trajectories did not reach Γ_k before freezing at Γ_{-1} is (strictly) greater than 1.

Let C_1 and C_2 be hyperbolic circles with the same center iy (in the half-plane representation) and with hyperbolic radii $\log y - 1$ and $\log y + k$, repectively. These circles are situated so that C_1 lies above and is tangent to Γ_{-1} and C_2 lies above and is tangent to Γ_k . For large y, these circles closely approximate the horocycles Γ_{-1} and Γ_k to which they are tangent. Consequently, by the result of the preceding paragraph and the Monotone Convergence Theorem, if y is sufficiently large, the expected number of particles in the branching Brownian motion that reach C_1 before C_2 is greater than 1. But branching Brownian motion is invariant (in law) under hyperbolic isometries, in particular hyperbolic rotations centered at iy, so, for branching Brownian motion started at any point on the circle C_3 centered at iy with radius $\log y$, the number of particles that reach C_1 before C_2 has the same distribution F as when the branching Brownian motion is started at i. Since the mean of F is greater than 1, any Galton-Watson process with offspring distribution F is supercritical, and so may explode with positive probability.

Such a GW process is embedded in the branching Brownian motion. Let C_a, C_b , and C_c be the circles centered at i with hyperbolic radii $\log y - 1$, $\log y$, and $\log y + k$, respectively. Follow the initial particle from its initial position i until its first hit of the circle C_b ; then track it and all of its subsequent offspring that return to C_a before hitting C_c ; after their return(s) to C_a follow these particles (ignoring their offspring) back to C_b ; etc. The number K_n of particles in the nth cycle of this process is the nth term of a GW process with offspring distribution F. On the event $K_n \to \infty$ (which has positive probability) there is an infinite path in $\mathcal T$ that remains forever inside the circle C_c .

It now follows that with probability 1 there is an infinite path in \mathcal{T} that remains forever in a compact region of \mathbb{H}^2 . If $K_n \to \infty$ there is certainly such a path. If $K_n \not\to \infty$, start from scratch with the post- C_c branching Brownian motion initiated by the first particle to reach C_c . If this process again fails to produce an infinite path that remains bounded, proceed to the next available particle, etc. By the SLLN, one of these processes will produce an infinite bounded path.

Corollary 4. Assume that $\lambda > 1/8$. Then with probability 1, for every nonempty open subset U of \mathbb{H}^2 , there are particles in U at indefinitely large times.

Proof. Let B_r be the ball of radius r centered at the initial point i of the B. B. M., and let A_r be the event that there are particles in B_r at all times t > 0. By the preceding corollary, $P(A_r)$ can be made as close to 1 as desired by making r large.

For any fixed open set U and any fixed r > 0, there exists p > 0 so that for any $z \in B_r$, the probability that a hyperbolic Brownian motion started at z will visit U at time 1 is at least p. On the event A_r , there is a particle of the branching Brownian motion inside B_r at every time $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and conditional on its location z its subsequent movement is a hyperbolic Brownian motion started at z. Consequently, for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ and each $n \ge m$,

$$P(U \text{ visited after time } m \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \geq p\mathbf{1}_{A_r}.$$

(Recall that \mathcal{F}_t is the σ - algebra generated by the history of the branching Brownian motion up to time t.) But by Lévy's martingale convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(U \text{ visited after time } m \mid \mathcal{F}_n) = \mathbf{1}\{U \text{ visited after time } m\},$$

so $A_r \subset \{U \text{ visited after time } m\}$. Since $P(A_r) \to 1$ as $r \to \infty$, this proves that the event $\{U \text{ visited after time } m\}$ has probability 1 for every m.

3.4. The Horocycle GW Process in the Critical Case.

Proposition 3. Assume that $\lambda = 1/8$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n/e^{n/2} = 0$.

Remarks: (1) This will be of central importance in determining the Hausdorff dimension of Λ in the critical case $\lambda = 1/8$. (2) The critical case differs from the subcritical case in this regard: it can be shown that when $\lambda < 1/8$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} M_n/e^{n\delta} > 0$ with probability 1.

Proof. Consider first the backward horocycle GW process $\{M_n\}_{n\leq 0}$. The mean offspring number is $\mu=\exp\{-1/2\}$, so $\{M_n\}_{n\leq 0}$ is subcritical. By a standard result in the theory of subcritical GW processes, there is a constant C>0 such that

(7)
$$P\{M_{-n} \neq 0\} \sim 2Ce^{-n/2}$$

as $n \to \infty$. The event $M_{-n} \neq 0$ is the same as the event that a particle of the branching Brownian motion hits the horocycle Γ_{-n} . By the invariance of B. B. M. under isometry, this event has the same probability as the event that a branching Brownian motion initiated by a single particle on the horocycle Γ_n has a particle reach the horocycle Γ_0 eventually.

For $n \geq 1$ let τ_n be the time that the horocycle Γ_n is first visited by a particle of the branching Brownian motion. Since all particle trails lead to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$, $\tau_n < \infty$ a.s. Moreover, $\tau_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, with probability 1, because for each $t < \infty$ the number of particles born before time t is finite, and their paths up to time t are bounded away from $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Consequently, by Corollary 2,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{\Gamma_0 \text{ not hit after time } \tau_n\} = 1.$$

But by (7), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_{\varepsilon} < \infty$ such that for all $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$,

$$P\{\Gamma_0 \text{ not hit after time } \tau_n\}$$

$$\leq P\{\text{no descendant of a particle in } \mathcal{P}_n \text{ reaches } \Gamma_0\}$$

$$\leq E(1 - Ce^{-n/2})^{M_n}$$

$$\leq 1 - (1 - e^{C\varepsilon})P\{M_n > e^{n/2}\varepsilon\}.$$

Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\{M_n > e^{n/2}\varepsilon\} = 0.$$

This implies that the a.s. limit of $M_n/e^{n/2}$, which exists because $\{M_n/e^{n/2}\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a nonnegative martingale, must be 0.

4. Diameter of the Limit Set

Recall that \mathcal{T} is the random binary tree embedded in \mathbb{H}^2 whose nodes are located at the points of fission of the branching Brownian motion and whose (directed) edges follow the paths of particles between successive fissions. Define Λ to be the set of all points in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ that are accumulation points of \mathcal{T} , and define

$$D = \operatorname{diameter}(\Lambda)$$
.

(The diameter refers to the usual metric on \mathbb{R} .) The following estimate on the tail of D will be our primary tool for establishing the topological and dimensional properties of Λ in the subcritical and critical regimes.

Proposition 4. For each $\lambda \leq 1/8$ there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that for all sufficiently large t,

$$P\{D \geq t\} \leq Ct^{\delta-1}.$$

Proof. Recall that D is the diameter of Λ as measured using the usual Euclidean distance on $\partial \mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R}$. On the event $D \geq t$ it must be the case that some point of Λ has absolute value at least t/2; consequently, it is enough to prove that for a suitable constant $C < \infty$,

(8)
$$P(\Lambda \not\subseteq [-t,t]) \le Ct^{\delta-1}$$

for all sufficiently large t.

Recall that branching Brownian motion in the Poincaré disk may be obtained from branching Brownian motion in the half-plane by applying the isometry φ defined by (2). The mapping φ takes \mathbb{R} onto the circle |z|=1, with $\varphi(\infty)=1, \varphi(i)=0, \varphi(0)=-1$; the complement $[-t,t]^c$ of [-t,t] is mapped onto an open arc of the unit circle centered at 1 with arclength $\sim 4/t$ (as $t\to\infty$). Consequently, the probability in (8) is the same as the probability that the limit set Λ_D of branching Brownian motion in the Poincaré disk intersects an open arc A_t of arclength $\sim 4/t$ centered at z=1.

Let C_1, C_2, C_3 be Euclidean circles of radius 2/t interior and tangent to the unit circle at $1, z_1, z_2$, respectively, where z_1, z_2 are the endpoints of the arc A_t . Any continuous path from z = 0 that enters a neighborhood of the arc A_t must first intersect at least one of the circles C_i . Thus, $\Lambda_D \cap A_t \neq \emptyset$ only if a particle of the branching Brownian motion hits one of the circles C_i . Because branching Brownian motion in the disk is rotationally invariant (in law), the probability that a particle hits C_i is the same as the probability that a particle

hits C_1 ; hence, the probability that a particle hits one of the circles C_i is no larger than 3 times the probability that a particle hits C_1 .

Now return to the Poincaré half-plane via the mapping φ^{-1} : this maps the circle C_1 onto the horocycle

$$\Gamma = \{z = x + iy \mid y = t/2 - 1\}.$$

Consequently, the preceding paragraph implies that

$$P(\Lambda \not\subseteq [-t, t]) \leq 3P\{\text{a particle hits }\Gamma\}.$$

The latter probability may be bounded with the aid of the upper horocycle GW process $\{M_n\}_{n\leq 0}$ introduced in sec. 3. A particle of the branching Brownian motion reaches the horocycle $\Gamma_n = \{y = e^{-n}\}$ iff $M_n > 0$. By Proposition 2, $\{M_n\}_{n\leq 0}$ is a subcritical Galton-Watson process with mean offspring number $\mu = \exp\{1 - \delta\}$. Thus, by the Markov inequality, $P\{M_n > 0\} \leq EM_n = \mu^n$. The estimate (8) follows easily from this: for $n = -\lceil \log(t/2 - 1) \rceil$,

$$P\{\Lambda \not\subseteq [-t, t]\} \le 3P\{M_n > 0\} \le 3e^{1-\delta} \left(\frac{t}{2} - 1\right)^{\delta - 1}$$

Remark: Similar arguments give an inequality in the reverse direction: there exists a constant $C' < \infty$ such that $P\{D > t\} \ge C' t^{\delta - 1}$.

5. Topological Consequences

5.1. Critical and Subcritical Cases $\lambda \le 1/8$. Assume that $\lambda \le 1/8$.

Proposition 5. With probability 1, Λ is a totally disconnected closed subset of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ with Lebesgue measure 0.

Proof. That Λ is closed follows from its definition as the set of accumulation points of \mathcal{T} in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Proposition 4 implies that w.p.1, the point $\infty \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2$ is not an element of Λ . Now recall that branching Brownian motion is invariant (in law) under hyperbolic rotations about the initial point. Since the point at ∞ may be mapped to any other point of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ by such a rotation, it follows that for every fixed $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2$,

$$\xi \notin \Lambda \ a.s.$$

Fubini's theorem therefore implies that, w.p.1, Λ has Lebesgue measure 0. Moreover, for any countable dense subset D of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$, $D \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ almost surely, so Λ is totally disconnected. \square

Define a path in \mathcal{T} to be a continuous function $\gamma: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathcal{T}$ such that the arcs of γ between successive nodes follow the Brownian trajectories at their natural speed. It follows from Corollary 2 that, under the assumption $\lambda \leq 1/8$, infinite paths γ in \mathcal{T} must eventually exit any compact subset of \mathbb{H}^2 and therefore converge (as $t \to \infty$) to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$.

Proposition 6. With probability 1, every infinite continuous path $\gamma(t)$ in the tree \mathcal{T} converges to a unique point of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$.

Proof. Since w.p.1 every continuous path in \mathcal{T} eventually exits every compact subset of \mathbb{H}^2 , by Corollary 2, it suffices to show that with probability 1 no continuous path in \mathcal{T} has more than one accumulation point in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Suppose that γ is a continuous path in \mathbb{H}^2 that eventually exits every compact subset of \mathbb{H}^2 and has two distinct accumulation points ξ_1, ξ_2 in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$. Then γ accumulates at every point on one of the two arcs of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$

connecting ξ_1 and ξ_2 . But this contradicts Proposition 5, which asserts that w.p.1 Λ is totally disconnected.

Proposition 7. With probability 1, for every $\xi \in \Lambda$ there is an infinite path γ in the tree \mathcal{T} that converges to ξ .

Proof. If $\xi \in \Lambda$ then there is a sequence z_n of points in (the image of) \mathcal{T} that converge to ξ . For each n there is a finite path γ_n in \mathcal{T} that terminates at z_n . Let D_m be the hyperbolic disk of radius m centered at the initial point of the branching Brownian motion. Since $z_n \to \partial \mathbb{H}^2$, for every m all but finitely many of the paths γ_n must exit D_m a first time. But there are only finitely many finite paths in \mathcal{T} that stay in D_m and terminate on the boundary of D_m , in view of Corollary 2; consequently, for each m there is a finite path β_m in \mathcal{T} that stays in D_m and terminates on the boundary of D_m such that infinitely many of the paths γ_n begin with the segment β_m . Moreover, these may be chosen so that for each m, β_{m+1} is an extension of β_m . Thus, there is an infinite path in \mathcal{T} that extends all of the finite paths β_m . By Proposition 6, β converges to a unique point $\zeta \in \Lambda$.

That $\zeta = \xi$ follows from Proposition 5. If ζ were distinct from ξ , then for every neighborhood U of ζ and every neighborhood V of ξ there would be a path in T beginning in U and ending in V (just take the appropriate terminal segments of the paths γ_n). These paths would have to accumulate on one of the boundary arcs connecting ζ and ξ . But this is impossible, because Λ is totally disconnected.

Proposition 8. With probability 1, Λ is a perfect set, i.e., every point $\xi \in \Lambda$ is an accumulation point of $\Lambda - \{\xi\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\xi \in \Lambda$ is an isolated point of Λ . Then there is an infinite path γ in \mathcal{T} that converges to ξ . There are infinitely many points of fission along this path, because w.p.1 there are no particles that fail to fission in an infinite time interval.

From every fission emerge two particles, each of which initiates its own branching Brownian motion from the point of fission, independent of the other. The particles themselves follow (conditionally) independent Brownian paths to (random) points of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$, and the distribution(s) of these exit points are absolutely continuous. Let ζ_1, ζ_2, \ldots be the termination points of the trajectories of particles $1, 2, \ldots$ Then w.p.1, $\zeta_n \neq \zeta_m$ for all $n \neq m, \zeta, \xi \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2$.

Now take a sequence of fissions along γ leading to ξ , and let ξ_n be the termination points of the trajectories of the particles born at these fission points. By the previous paragraph, the points ξ_n are distinct, and they are certainly elements of Λ . The points ξ_n must converge to ξ , because otherwise there would be a sequence of trajectories in \mathcal{T} accumulating along a nonempty open arc of $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$, contradicting Proposition 5.

5.2. Supercritical Case $\lambda > 1/8$. Assume now that $\lambda > 1/8$. Then the GW process $\{M_n\}_{n\leq 0}$ is supercritical, with probability of extinction 0. Consequently, every horocycle is visited by particles of the branching Brownian motion. It follows that ∞ is a cluster point of the tree \mathcal{T} . The following is a stronger assertion.

Proposition 9. With probability 1 there is a path in \mathcal{T} that converges to ∞ .

Proof. Recall that M_{-1} is the number of particles "frozen" upon reaching Γ_{-1} . Since $EM_{-1} = \infty$, the monotone convergence theorem implies that there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $EM_{-1}^{(k)} > 1$, where $M_{-1}^{(k)}$ is the number of particles counted in M_{-1} that reach Γ_{-1} without first having visited Γ_k . Consequently, a GW process with offspring distribution $\mathcal{L}(M_{-1}^{(k)})$ is supercritical. Such a process is contained in $\{M_n\}_{n\leq 0}$: beginning with M_{-1} ,

throw away all particles and their descendants that visit Γ_k before being frozen at Γ_{-1} ; then at each subsequent Γ_{-n} , throw away all particles (not already thrown away) and their descendants that visit Γ_{-n+k} before being frozen at Γ_{-n} (and after being "unfrozen" from Γ_{-n+1}).

The GW process so constructed is supercritical, but may reach extinction. However, each of the particles in the branching Brownian motion begets its own branching Brownian motion, and embedded in each of these is a copy of the GW process built above. By the ergodic theorem, at least one of these will explode. Now any path γ that follows a sequence of nodes corresponding to the particles in such an exploding GW process must converge to ∞ , because after reaching each horocycle Γ_n , n < 0, it never again drops below Γ_{n+k} . \square

Proposition 10. Define Λ_0 to be the set of points in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ to which paths in \mathcal{T} converge. With probability 1, the complement of Λ_0 in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ has Lebesgue measure 0.

Proof. Here it is convenient to work in the disk model. Since hyperbolic branching Brownian motion is rotationally invariant (in law), Proposition 9 implies that for every $\xi \in \partial \mathbb{H}^2$, with probability one, there is a path in \mathcal{T} that converges to ξ . Fubini's theorem therefore implies that with probability 1 the set Λ_0 has full Lebesgue measure.

Corollary 5. With probability 1, $\Lambda = \partial \mathbb{H}^2$.

Proof. Clearly, $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$. By the preceding proposition, with probability 1, the complement of Λ_0 is a set of Lebesgue measure 0; hence, with probability 1, the complement of Λ has Lebesgue measure 0. Since Λ is necessarily closed, it follows that with probability 1 its complement is empty.

6. Hausdorff Dimension: The Upper Bound

Assume that $\lambda \leq 1/8$. To show that the Hausdorff dimension of Λ is no larger than the constant δ defined by (1) it suffices to exhibit, for each small $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $n \geq 1$, a covering C_n of Λ by arcs J_{nk} such that w.p.1

(9)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{M_n} |J_{nk}|^{\delta+\varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. (For any arc J, |J| is its length.) It is sufficient to show convergence in probability, because this implies the existence of an almost surely convergent subsequence.

6.1. Coverings of Λ . We will work in the Poincaré half-plane model. Fix an integer $n \geq 1$ and consider again the modification of the branching Brownian motion process in which particles are "frozen" upon reaching Γ_n (sec. 3). Recall that to construct a version of the entire branching Brownian motion process (with no freezing), one attaches to each particle in \mathcal{P}_n its own branching Brownian motion, each independent of the rest and of the pre- Γ_n process. For each of these attached branching Brownian motions there will be a limit set Λ_{nk} , and clearly

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^{M_n} \Lambda_{nk}.$$

Conditional on M_n , the random sets Λ_{nk} , $1 \le k \le M_n$, are independent and identically distributed. Moreover, conditional on M_n , the random sets Λ_{nk} are independent scaled replicas (in distribution) of Λ , with scale factor e^{-n} . (This is because the branching Brownian motion engendered by a particle in \mathcal{P}_n is an isometric replica of the whole branching

Brownian motion, via an isometry gotten by composing translations with the homothety $z \to e^n z$.)

Define J_{nk} to be the smallest closed interval in $\partial \mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{R}$ that contains Λ_{nk} . Then for each integer $n \geq 1$, the collection

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \{J_{nk}\}_{1 \le k \le M_n}$$

is a covering of Λ by intervals. We will prove that for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, (9) holds for this sequence of coverings. This is equivalent to proving

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M_n} e^{-n\delta - n\varepsilon} D_{nk}^{\delta + \varepsilon} \longrightarrow 0 \ a.s.,$$

where $D_{nk} = \exp\{n\delta + n\varepsilon\}|J_{nk}|$ is the scaled diameter of Λ_{nk} .

Conditional on M_n , the random variables D_{nk} , for $1 \le k \le M_n$, are independent and identically distributed, each with the same distribution as

$$D = \operatorname{diameter}(\Lambda).$$

6.2. Subcritical Case. Assume now that $\lambda < 1/8$. In this case, $\delta < 1/2$ and $1 - \delta > 1/2$, and so for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta + \varepsilon < 1 - \delta$. The tail probability estimate given in Proposition 4 therefore implies that for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$ED^{\delta+\varepsilon}<\infty$$
.

Recall that $|J_{nk}| = e^{-n}D_{nk}$, and that conditional on M_n , the random variables D_{nk} are independent and identically distributed, with the same distribution as D. Proposition 1 implies that $EM_n = e^{n\delta}$. Consequently,

$$E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M_n} |J_{nk}|^{\delta+\varepsilon}\right) = e^{-n\delta-n\varepsilon} E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{M_n} D_{nk}^{\delta+\varepsilon}\right) = e^{-n\varepsilon} E D^{\delta+\varepsilon}.$$

As $n \to \infty$, this quantity converges to zero. Hence, the convergence (9) holds in probability.

6.3. Critical Case. Assume that $\lambda = 1/8$. Then $\delta = 1 - \delta = \frac{1}{2}$, so the argument used in the subcritical case breaks down. The tail probability estimate given in Proposition 4 must now be used in a more delicate manner.

Lemma 2. There is a constant $C < \infty$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \ge 1$,

$$E\left\{e^{-n}D\mathbf{1}\{e^{-n}D\leq 1\}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\leq C\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon}\right)\exp\{-\frac{n}{2}\}+\exp\{-\frac{n}{2}-n\varepsilon\}.$$

Proof. Let $C < \infty$ be the constant in Proposition 4. Then

$$\begin{split} E\left\{e^{-n}D\mathbf{1}\{e^{-n}D\leq 1\}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} &\leq \exp\{-\frac{n}{2}-n\varepsilon\} \int_0^{e^{n/2+n\varepsilon}} P\{D^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}>t\} \, dt \\ &\leq \exp\{-\frac{n}{2}-n\varepsilon\} \int_1^{e^{n/2+n\varepsilon}} Ct^{-\frac{1}{1+2\varepsilon}} \, dt + \exp\{-\frac{n}{2}-n\varepsilon\} \\ &\leq C\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon}\right) \exp\{-\frac{n}{2}\} + \exp\{-\frac{n}{2}-n\varepsilon\}. \end{split}$$

To prove that (9) holds in probability it suffices to prove

Lemma 3. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\rho > 0$,

(10)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{M_n} |J_{nk}|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} > \rho \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. For any $\alpha > 0$,

$$P\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{M_n} |J_{nk}|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} > \rho\right\} \le P\{M_n > \alpha e^{n/2}\}$$

$$+ P(\max_k |J_{nk}| \ge 1 \mid M_n \le \alpha e^{n/2})$$

$$+ P\left(\sum_k |J_{nk}|^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} \mathbf{1}\{|J_{nk}| < 1\} > \rho \mid M_n \le \alpha e^{n/2}\right)$$

Proposition 3 implies that for every $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\{M_n > \alpha e^{n/2}\} = 0.$$

Conditional on M_n , the random variables $D_{nk} = e^n |J_{nk}|$ are independent and identically distributed, with the same distribution as D, so Proposition 4 implies that

$$P(\max_{k} |J_{nk}| \ge 1 | M_n \le \alpha e^{n/2}) \le \alpha e^{n/2} P\{D \ge e^n\} \le C\alpha,$$

which is small when $\alpha > 0$ is small. Finally, by the Markov inequality and Lemma 2,

$$P(\sum_{k=1}^{M_n} |J_{nk}|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \mathbf{1}\{|J_{nk}| < 1\} > \rho | M_n \le \alpha e^{n/2})$$

$$\le \rho^{-1} E\left(\sum_k |J_{nk}|^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \mathbf{1}\{|J_{nk}| < 1\} | M_n \le \alpha e^{n/2}\right)$$

$$\le \rho^{-1} \alpha e^{n/2} E\left(e^{-n} D \mathbf{1}\{e^{-n} D \le 1\}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}$$

$$\le \rho^{-1} \alpha \left(C\left(\frac{1 + 2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon}\right) + e^{-n\varepsilon}\right)$$

which is also small when $\alpha > 0$ is small.

7. Hausdorff Dimension: The Lower Bound

7.1. Frostman's Lemma. Assume that $\lambda \leq 1/8$. To show that the Hausdorff dimension of Λ is no smaller than the constant δ defined by (1), we will use the following variation of a well known criterion due to Frostman.

Lemma 4. (Frostman) Let A be a compact subset of a Euclidean space. If there exists a probability measure ν with support contained in A such that for ν -a.e. x,

$$I_t(\nu, x) = \int |x - y|^{-t} d\nu(y) < \infty$$

then the Hausdorff dimension of A is at least t.

Proof. The usual Frostman Lemma states that if there is a probability measure ν_* such that

$$I_t(\nu_*) = \int I_t(\nu_*, x) \, d\nu_*(x) < \infty$$

then the Hausdorff dimension of A is at least t: see, e.g., [5], Corollary 6.6. Suppose, then, that ν is a probability measure for which $I_t(\nu, x) < \infty$ for ν - a.e. x. Choose $a < \infty$ so large that $\nu(B_a) > 0$, where $B_a = \{x : I_t(\nu, x) < a\}$, and define a probability measure ν_* by $\nu_*(A) = \nu(A \cap B_a)/\nu(B_a)$. Then

$$\int \int |x-y|^{-t}\,d\nu_*(x)d\nu_*(y) \leq \frac{1}{\nu(B_a)^2} \int_{B_a} \int_{B_a} |x-y|^{-t}\,d\nu(x)d\nu(y) \leq \frac{a}{\nu(B_a)^2}.$$

7.2. Construction of Measures ν_m on Λ . The use of Frostman's Lemma requires suitable probability measures on the set Λ . We will define a sequence of probability measures ν_m on Λ , each the exit measure of a certain random walk on the tree of the branching Brownian motion. It is most convenient to work in the half-plane representation. Recall that \mathcal{P}_n is the set of (x-coordinates of) particles "frozen" on the horocycle Γ_n in the modification of the branching Brownian motion introduced in sec. 3. Fix $m \geq 1$, and fix a realization of the entire branching Brownian motion. Conditional on this realization, construct random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots as follows. First, choose an element X_1 of \mathcal{P}_m at random. Conditional on X_1 , choose an element X_2 at random from among those elements of \mathcal{P}_{2m} representing particles descendant from the particle at (X_1, e^{-m}) . Conditional on X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k , choose an element X_{k+1} at random from among those elements of \mathcal{P}_{km+m} representing particles descendant from the particle at (X_k, e^{-km}) .

Lemma 5. With probability 1, $\lim_{k\to\infty} X_k = \xi$ exists, and $(\xi,0) \in \Lambda$.

Proof. By construction, for each k the particle at location (X_k, e^{-km}) is a $(\text{post-}\Gamma_{km-m})$ descendant of the particle at (X_{k-1}, e^{-km+m}) . Thus, for each k there is a finite path γ_k in \mathcal{T} terminating at (X_k, e^{-km}) , and for each k the path γ_{k+1} is an extension of γ_k . The paths γ_k , $k < \infty$, may be knitted together to form an *infinite* path γ in \mathcal{T} . By Proposition 6, γ converges to a unique point $(\xi, 0) \in \Lambda$. Since the points (X_k, e^{-km}) converge to $\partial \mathbb{H}^2$ and are all on γ , it must be that $X_m \to \xi$.

Define ν_m to be the conditional distribution of ξ given the realization of the branching Brownian motion. Call the sequence X_k a \mathcal{P}_m random walk on the tree \mathcal{T} , and ξ the *exit* point of the random walk.

Observe that the construction just outlined does not require that the initial point of the branching Brownian motion be at z=i: If \mathcal{T}' is the tree of a BBM started at any point $z=x+ie^{-nm}$ of a horocycle $\Gamma_{nm}, n=0,1,2,\cdots$, a \mathcal{P}_m- random walk on \mathcal{T}' will converge w.p.1 to an exit point $(\xi,0)\in\Lambda$. Note, however, that the unconditional distribution of ξ depends on the initial point z. In fact, if ξ and ξ' are the exit points of \mathcal{P}_m- random walks on the trees of branching Brownian motions started at z=i and $z=x+ie^{-nm}$, respectively, then ξ' has the same distribution as $e^{nm}\xi+x$.

Lemma 6. The unconditional distribution of ξ has a bounded density with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R} = \partial \mathbb{H}^2$ (in the upper half-plane representation of \mathbb{H}).

Proof. Assume that the branching Brownian motion is defined on a probability space sufficiently large to accommodate an independent random variable U with density 2x for 0 < x < 1. Let C_r and B_r be the hyperbolic circle and disk, respectively, of radius r centered at i. Consider two modifications of branching Brownian motion started at i: in the first, particles are "frozen" upon reaching the circle C_1 ; in the second, particles are "frozen" upon reaching the circle C_U . Since $U \le 1$, the mean number κ of particles created in the

first modification is no smaller than the mean number of particles created in the second modification. Let \mathcal{Q} be the point process consisting of the positions on C_U of all particles created in the second modification. Then the intensity measure of \mathcal{Q} has a density relative to Lebesgue measure on the ball B_1 that is bounded by κ – this follows from the rotational symmetry of B.B.M. and the choice of density of U.

Now consider the modified branching Brownian motion in \mathbb{H}^2 defined in section 3, in which particles are frozen upon reaching the horocycle Γ_m . A version of this process may be obtained from the second modification of the previous paragraph by attaching to each particle in \mathcal{Q} its own branching Brownian motion (independent of the others, and of the pre- C_U process), with time shifted to account for the time it took to reach C_U , and freezing particles when they hit Γ_m . Consider the intensity measure μ_m of the point process \mathcal{P}_m of particles frozen at Γ_m : Since each of these particles is an offspring of one of the particles in \mathcal{Q} ,

$$\mu_m = \int_{B_1} \mu_m^z \, dI_{\mathcal{Q}}(z) \le \kappa \int_{B_1} \mu_m^z \, dz,$$

where dz denotes Lebesgue measure on the ball B_1 , I_Q the intensity measure of Q, and μ_m^z the intensity measure of the point process of frozen particles in Γ_m descended from a single ancestor located originally at z. Observe that (a) if z = x + iy and z' = x' + iy then $\mu_m^{z'}$ is the x' - x translate of μ_m^z ; and (b) the total mass of μ_m^z is bounded above by $E|\mathcal{P}_{m+1}| = e^{m\delta + \delta}$, for every $z \in B_1$. It therefore follows by an easy argument from the integral representation of μ_m above that μ_m has a bounded density $h(x) = d\mu_m/dx$ relative to Lebesgue measure on the horocycle Γ_m .

Finally, consider the exit random variable ξ for a \mathcal{P}_m -random walk X_k . The first step X_1 is to a point of \mathcal{P}_m , chosen at random; conditional on $X_1 = x$, the distribution of ξ is the same as the unconditional distribution of $e^{-m}(\xi - x)$. Thus, the distribution of ξ may be bounded above by summing the conditional distributions over all $x \in \mathcal{P}_m$, then integrating against the distribution of \mathcal{P}_m . Consequently, for any Borel subset B of \mathbb{R} ,

$$P\{\xi \in B\} \le \int P\{e^{-m}(\xi - x) \in B\}h(x) dx.$$

This shows that ξ has a density bounded by $\max_{x} h(x)$.

Corollary 6. Let ξ, ξ' be the exit points of independent \mathcal{P}_m -random walks $(X_k)_{k\geq 0}, (X_k')_{k\geq 0}$ on the (same) tree \mathcal{T} of a branching Brownian motion started at z=i. For $i=1,2,\cdots$, let $N_i=N_i^m$ denote the number of descendants in \mathcal{P}_{mi} of the particle with x-coordinate X_{i-1} in \mathcal{P}_{mi-m} , and define \mathcal{G} to be the σ -algebra generated by the random variables X_k and N_i . For $k\geq 0$, define events

$$A_k = \{X_k = X_k'\},$$

$$B_k = A_k \cap A_{k+1}^c.$$

Then there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that for all $k \ge 0$ and all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\xi - \xi'| < \varepsilon \,|\, \mathcal{G} \vee B_k) \le Ce^{km}\varepsilon.$$

Here $\mathcal{G} \vee B_k$ denotes the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{G} and B_k .

Proof. On the event B_k the random walks X_j, X'_j follow the same path through \mathcal{T} for the first k steps, then proceed through the (different) subtrees attached at the distinct points $X_{k+1}, X'_{k+1} \in \mathcal{P}_{km+m}$. Now the event B_k and the random variables X_{k+1}, X'_{k+1} depend only

on the pre- \mathcal{P}_{km+m} section of \mathcal{T} , and conditional on the pre- \mathcal{P}_{km+m} process, the subtrees attached at *distinct* points x, x' of \mathcal{P}_{km+m} are conditionally independent B.B.M. trees. Therefore, conditional on $\mathcal{G} \vee B_k$, the exit random variable ξ' , scaled by e^{km} , has the same law as (a translate of) the exit random variable for a branching Brownian motion started at i. The result now follows from the preceding lemma.

7.3. Energy of ν_m . By Frostman's Lemma, to prove that the Hausdorff dimension of Λ is almost surely at least δ it suffices to prove

Lemma 7. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists an integer $m \ge 1$ such that with probability 1, for $\nu_m - a.e.$ x,

$$I_{\delta-\varepsilon}(\nu_m,x)<\infty.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{F}_{∞} be the σ -algebra generated by the entire history of the branching Brownian motion, and let ξ and ξ' be conditionally independent given \mathcal{F}_{∞} , each with conditional distribution ν_m . It suffices to show that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta/3)$, if m is sufficiently large then

(11)
$$E(|\xi - \xi'|^{-\delta + 3\varepsilon} | \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \vee \xi) < \infty \text{ a.s.}$$

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots and X'_1, X'_2, \ldots be conditionally independent \mathcal{P}_m -random walks on \mathcal{T} . Define \mathcal{H} to be the σ -algebra generated by the branching Brownian motion and the random walk $(X_k)_{k\geq 1}$, and define \mathcal{G} to be the σ -algebra generated by the random walk $(X_k)_{k\geq 1}$ and the random variables $(N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ defined in Corollary 6. Note that $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}$, and that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \vee \xi$ (because for any given value of ξ there is only one path through \mathcal{T} that converges to ξ , so the steps of the random walk X_k are determined by ξ). Let the events A_k, B_k be as in Corollary 6; then

$$P(A_k | \mathcal{H}) = 1 / \prod_{i=1}^k N_i = P(A_k | \mathcal{G}),$$

the last because the random variables N_i are measurable relative to \mathcal{G} . Unconditionally, $(N_i)_{i\geq 1}$ are independent and identically distributed, each with the same distribution as M_m . By the SLLN,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k N_i \right)^{1/k} = \exp\{E \log M_m\}$$

almost surely, and by Lemma 8 below,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-1} E \log M_m = \delta.$$

Consequently, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite, nonnegative, \mathcal{G} -measurable random variable $\kappa = \kappa_{\varepsilon}$ such that for every $k \geq 1$, with probability 1,

$$P(A_k | \mathcal{G}) \le \kappa \exp\{km(\delta - \varepsilon)\}.$$

Now let $\Delta_n = \{ |\xi - \xi'| < e^{-nm} \}$. By Corollary 6, for any $n \ge 1$,

$$P(\Delta_n \mid \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E(P(\Delta_n \mid \mathcal{G} \vee B_k) \mathbf{1}_{B_k} \mid \mathcal{G})$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} E(P(\Delta_n \mid \mathcal{G} \vee B_k) \mathbf{1}_{A_k} \mid \mathcal{G})$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} Ce^{-(n-k)m} P(A_k \mid \mathcal{G})$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} (Ce^{-(n-k)m}) (\kappa e^{-km(\delta - \varepsilon)})$$

$$\leq C' \kappa e^{-nm(\delta - 2\varepsilon)}.$$

Thus, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$E(|\xi - \xi'|^{-\delta + 3\varepsilon} | \mathcal{G}) < \infty.$$

Since $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \vee \xi$, (11) follows.

Lemma 8. Let Z_n be a Galton-Watson process whose offspring distribution has mean $e^{\delta} > 1$ and is supported by $\{1, 2, \ldots\}$ (i.e., there is no extinction). Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} E \log Z_n = \delta.$$

Proof. By Jensen's inequality, $E \log Z_n \leq n\delta$ for every n. Thus, it suffices to show that $\lim \inf n^{-1} E \log Z_n \geq \delta$. Routine arguments using the generating function φ of the offspring distribution (or alternatively the Seneta-Heyde theorem) show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$Z_n/e^{n\delta-n\varepsilon}\to\infty$$

in probability. Consequently, for all sufficiently large n,

$$n^{-1}E\log Z_n \ge \delta - \varepsilon.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Athreya, K., and Ney, P. (1972) Branching Processes. Springer-Verlag.
- [2] Beardon, A. (1985) The Geometry of Discrete Groups. Springer-Verlag.
- [3] Benjamini, I., and Peres, Y. (1994) Markov chains indexed by trees. Annals of Probab. 22 219-243.
- [4] Buser, P. (1992) Geometry and Spectra of Compact Riemann Surfaces. Birkhäuser.
- [5] Falconer, K. J. (1985) The Geometry of Fractal Sets. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [6] Pemantle, R. (1992) The contact process on trees. Ann. Probab. 20 2089–2116.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES BLDG., PURDUE UNIVERSITY E-mail address: lalley@stat.purdue.edu tsellke@stat.purdue.edu