

ON M -DEPENDENCE AND EDGEWORTH
EXPANSIONS

by

Wei-Liem Loh
Purdue University

Technical Report #92-42

Department of Statistics
Purdue University

September 1992

ON M -DEPENDENCE AND EDGEWORTH EXPANSIONS¹

BY WEI-LIEM LOH

Purdue University

This paper contains two results. The first establishes, under mild assumptions, the validity of an Edgeworth expansion with remainder $o(N^{-1/2})$ for a U -statistic with a kernel h of degree two using observations from an m -dependent shift.

The second result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the distribution of a sum of m -dependent random variables to possess an Edgeworth expansion. This generalizes a result of Bickel and Robinson from the i.i.d. case to the m -dependent case.

1 Introduction

Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables and $f : R^{m+1} \rightarrow R$ be a measurable function. For $j \geq 1$, let $X_j = f(\xi_j, \dots, \xi_{j+m})$. The sequence X_1, X_2, \dots is said to be an m -dependent shift and an immediate consequence is that (X_1, \dots, X_r) and (X_s, X_{s+1}, \dots) are stochastically independent whenever $s - r > m$. Next let $h : R^2 \rightarrow R$ be a measurable function symmetric in its two arguments. We shall assume throughout this paper that for some $p > 5/3$,

$$(1) \quad E|h(X_1, X_j)|^p < \infty, \quad \forall 1 < j \leq m + 2.$$

Then $Eh(X_j, X_k)$ exists for all $j < k$. We write

$$h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k) = h(X_j, X_k) - Eh(X_j, X_k), \quad \forall j < k,$$

and for $N \geq 2$, a U -statistic of degree two is defined as

$$U_N = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^N h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k).$$

¹Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS 89-23071.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 62E20; Secondary 60F05.

Key words and phrases. Edgeworth expansions, U -statistics, m -dependence.

Also we define for $N > 6m + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x) &= E[h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k) | X_j = x], & \forall k - j > m, \\
\psi(x, y) &= h_{j,k}(x, y) - g(x) - g(y), & \forall k - j > m, \\
\hat{U}_N &= (N - 6m - 1) \sum_{j=1}^N g(X_j), \\
\Delta_N &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-3m-1} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N \psi(X_j, X_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{(j+3m) \wedge N} h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k) \\
(2) \quad &+ \sum_{j=1}^{3m} (3m - j + 1)g(X_j) + \sum_{j=N-3m+1}^N (3m + j - N)g(X_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Straightforward calculations show that $U_N = \hat{U}_N + \Delta_N$. We suppose that

$$(3) \quad \sigma_g^2 = E[g^2(X_1) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m g(X_1)g(X_{j+1})] > 0,$$

and

$$(4) \quad E|g(X_1)|^3 < \infty.$$

Let $\hat{\sigma}_N^2$ denote the variance of \hat{U}_N . Then by the stationarity of the X_j 's, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_N^2 &= (N - 6m - 1)^2 E[Ng^2(X_1) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m (N - j)g(X_1)g(X_{j+1})] \\
&= N^3 \sigma_g^2 + O(N^2),
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Next let

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_3 &= \sigma_g^{-3} E\{g^3(X_1) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^m [g^2(X_1)g(X_{j+1}) + g(X_1)g^2(X_{j+1})] \\
&\quad + 6 \sum_{j=2}^{m+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{j+m} g(X_1)g(X_j)g(X_k) \\
(5) \quad &+ 3 \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1} \sum_{k=3m+2}^{5m+2} \psi(X_{m+1}, X_{4m+2})g(X_j)g(X_k)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that if $E|h(X_j, X_k)|^3 < \infty$ whenever $j < k$, then $\kappa_3 N^{-1/2}$ is an asymptotic approximation [with error $O(N^{-3/2})$] for the third cumulant of $\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} U_N$. Define

$$(6) \quad F_N(x) = \Phi(x) - \phi(x) \frac{\kappa_3}{6} N^{-1/2} (x^2 - 1),$$

where ϕ and Φ denote the standard normal density and distribution function respectively.

One objective of this paper is to establish the validity of a single term Edgeworth expansion for $\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} U_N$ under mild conditions. In particular, we prove

Theorem 1 *Suppose (1), (3), (4) are satisfied and*

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} E|E[e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} g(X_j)} | \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \xi_{m+2}, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}]| < 1.$$

Then

$$\sup_x |P(\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} U_N \leq x) - F_N(x)| = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1 though simple to state, has a somewhat tedious proof and hence we shall defer the proof to the next section.

REMARK. Götze and Hipp (1983) showed that (7) holds if ξ_1 has a probability density f_{ξ_1} with respect to Lebesgue measure and $gf : \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuously differentiable such that there exist $y_1, \dots, y_{2m+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and an open subset $\Omega \supset \{y_1, \dots, y_{2m+1}\}$ satisfying $f_{\xi_1} > 0$ on Ω and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} gf(x_1, \dots, x_{1+m})|_{(x_1, \dots, x_{1+m})=(y_j, \dots, y_{j+m})} \neq 0.$$

REMARK. If the observations are independent and identically distributed [that is $m = 0$], (7) reduces to the well known Cramér's condition.

In the case where $Eh^2(X_1, X_j) < \infty$ whenever $1 < j \leq m + 2$, the variance σ_N^2 of U_N exists and we have

Theorem 2 *Suppose that (3), (4) are satisfied,*

$$Eh^2(X_1, X_j) < \infty, \quad \forall 1 < j \leq m + 2,$$

and

$$\limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} E|E[e^{it \sum_{j=1}^{m+1} g(X_j)} | \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \xi_{m+2}, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}]| < 1.$$

Then

$$\sup_x |P(\sigma_N^{-1} U_N \leq x) - F_N(x)| = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. The proof of Theorem 2 is similar to that of Theorem 1 and hence is omitted. \square

There has been a great deal of research done on U -statistics based on independent and identically distributed observations. In this paragraph, we shall assume that the observations are independent and identically distributed. U -statistics were first discussed by Hoeffding (1948) who also showed the asymptotic normality of $\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} U_N$ under very weak conditions. The rate of convergence to normality was investigated in increasing generality and precision by Grams and Serfling (1973), Bickel (1974), Chan and Wierman (1977), Callaert and Janssen (1978) and Helmers and van Zwet (1982). In particular, Helmers and van Zwet showed that if $p > 5/3$ and (1) and (4) hold, then

$$(8) \quad \sup_x |P(\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} U_N \leq x) - \Phi(x)| = O(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. If furthermore we have $Eh^2(X_1, X_2) < \infty$, then $\hat{\sigma}_N$ can be replaced by σ_N in (8).

Berry-Esseen type bounds have been obtained by Yoshihara (1984) for U -statistics generated by absolutely regular processes, Rhee (1988) for U -statistics based on m -dependent observations and Zhao and Chen (1987) for finite population U -statistics.

Regarding the corresponding more involved problem of Edgeworth expansions, Callaert, Janssen and Veraverbeke (1980) and Bickel, Götze and van Zwet (1986) established for a U -statistic with independent and identically distributed observations, the validity of a one [and two] term Edgeworth expansion with remainder $o(N^{-1/2})$ [and $o(N^{-1})$] respectively.

With dependent observations, the only result that we are aware of is by Kocic and Weber (1990) who established the validity of a one term Edgeworth expansion for U -statistics based on samples from finite populations. Recently Loh (1991) has obtained conditions for the validity of a one term

Edgeworth expansion for U -statistics using weakly dependent observations. However the conditions given in that paper are stronger than those given here.

To state the second result of this paper, we begin by recalling the definition of m -dependence.

DEFINITION. A sequence Y_1, Y_2, \dots of random variables is m dependent, where m is a nonnegative integer, if for any two subsets $A, B \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots\}$ for which $\inf_{i \in A, j \in B} |i - j| > m$ holds, the sets of random variables $\{X_i : i \in A\}$ and $\{X_j : j \in B\}$ are independent.

From the above definition, we note that an independent sequence of random variables is 0-dependent. Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be a sequence of m -dependent random variables with $EY_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots$ We write

$$S_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n, \quad B_n^2 = ES_n^2, \\ M_{k,n} = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} E|Y_j|^k, \quad \sigma_{k,n} = \max_{3 \leq j \leq k+3} (nM_{j,n}/B_n^j)^{1/(j-2)}.$$

Let F_{S_n/B_n} denote the distribution function of S_n/B_n and $\Gamma_\nu(S_n)$ denote the ν th order cumulant of S_n .

Next, for any $G : R \rightarrow R$ and $\sigma > 0$, we define the first difference operator Δ_σ by

$$\Delta_\sigma G(x) = G(x + \sigma) - G(x),$$

and the k th difference operator Δ_σ^k as the k th iterate of this. Thus

$$\Delta_\sigma^k G(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} G(x + j\sigma).$$

The interpolating polynomial to $G(y)$ of degree k at the points $x, x + \sigma, \dots, x + k\sigma$ is

$$P_{k,\sigma}(y; x, G) = G(x) + \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma^{-j} (j!)^{-1} \Delta_\sigma^j G(x) \prod_{i=1}^j (y - x - (i-1)\sigma).$$

It is well known [see for example Bickel and Robinson (1982)] that if G has a bounded $(k+1)$ th derivative, then for all x and y ,

$$(9) \quad |G(y) - P_{k,\sigma}(y; x, G)| \leq C_0 (|y - x|^{k+1} + \sigma^{k+1}) \sup_z |G^{(k+1)}(z)|,$$

where C_0 is a positive constant depending only on k and $G^{(k+1)}(z) = d^{k+1}G(z)/dz^{k+1}$. Also in the remainder of this section, the symbol C is used generically as a positive constant independent of n .

Theorem 3 *Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be a sequence of m -dependent random variables with $EY_j = 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose $\sigma_{k,n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) F_{S_n/B_n} possesses an Edgeworth expansion to k terms. More precisely,

$$\sup_x |F_{S_n/B_n}(x) - e_{k,n}(x)| \leq C\sigma_{k,n}^{k+1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e_{k,n}(x) &= \Phi(x) - \sum_{\nu=1}^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}B_n^\nu} e^{-x^2/2} \sum_{q=1}^{\nu} \frac{1}{q!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu_1+\dots+\nu_q=\nu, \nu_j \geq 1} H_{\nu+2q-1}(x) \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{\delta_{\nu_i+2,n}}{(\nu_i+2)!}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$H_\nu(x) = \nu! \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \nu/2 \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^i x^{\nu-2i}}{i!(\nu-2i)!2^i} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{\nu,n} = \frac{\Gamma_\nu(S_n)}{B_n^2}.$$

(b) For all x, y and n , there exists a constant C_1 , independent of x, y and n , such that

$$|F_{S_n/B_n}(y) - P_{k,\sigma_{k,n}}(y; x, F_{S_n/B_n})| \leq C_1(|y-x|^{k+1} + \sigma_{k,n}^{k+1}).$$

REMARK. H_ν , $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ are the Chebyshev-Hermite polynomials.

We now specialize Theorem 3 to the case of a stationary sequence of m -dependent random variables.

DEFINITION. A sequence Y_1, Y_2, \dots of random variables is said to be stationary if, for every pair t, j of natural numbers, the sequence Y_{t+1}, \dots, Y_{t+j} has the same distribution as Y_1, \dots, Y_j .

Corollary 1 *Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be a stationary sequence of m -dependent random variables with $EY_1 = 0$, $EY_1^2 = 1$ and $\lim B_n^2/n > 0$. If $E|Y_1|^{k+3} < \infty$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $\sup_x |F_{S_n/B_n}(x) - e_{k,n}(x)| \leq Cn^{-(k+1)/2}$.

(b) For all x, y and n , there exists a constant C_1 , independent of x, y and n , such that

$$|F_{S_n/B_n}(y) - P_{k,1/\sqrt{n}}(y; x, F_{S_n/B_n})| \leq C_1(|y-x|^{k+1} + n^{-(k+1)/2}).$$

We shall defer the proof of Theorem 3 to Section 3.

REMARK. We note that Theorem 3 generalizes a result of Bickel and Robinson (1982) from the i.i.d. case to the m -dependent case.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Without loss of generality, we assume that $5/3 < p \leq 2$. To prove Theorem 1, we shall study the characteristic function (c.f.) of $\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}U_N$. Let ϕ_N denote the c.f. of $\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}U_N$, that is

$$\phi_N(t) = E \exp(it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}U_N),$$

and for κ_3 , as in (5), let

$$\phi_N^*(t) = e^{-t^2/2} \left(1 - \frac{i\kappa_3}{6} N^{-1/2} t^3\right)$$

be the Fourier transform $\int \exp(itx) dF_N(x)$ of F_N in (6). By the smoothing lemma of Esseen [see for example, Feller (1971), p. 538], it suffices to show that

$$(10) \quad \int_{-N^{1/2} \log N}^{N^{1/2} \log N} \left| \frac{\phi_N(t) - \phi_N^*(t)}{t} \right| dt = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. However (10) is an immediate consequence of Propositions 1 and 2 whose statements and proofs are provided below. \square

Proposition 1 *Let $5/3 < p \leq 2$ and $0 < \varepsilon < (3p - 5)/(2p)$. Then*

$$\int_{-N^\varepsilon}^{N^\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\phi_N(t) - \phi_N^*(t)}{t} \right| dt = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. It is well known that

$$(11) \quad \left| e^{ix} - \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{(ix)^j}{j!} \right| \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2}{r!} |x|^{r+\theta}, \frac{|x|^{r+1}}{(r+1)!} \right\}, \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 1).$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_N(t) &= E e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\hat{U}_N} (1 + it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\Delta_N) + O(E|t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\Delta_N|^p) \\ &= E e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\hat{U}_N} (1 + it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\Delta_N) + O(|t|^p N^{2-3p/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality uses the fact that $E|\Delta_N|^p = O(N^2)$ [see for example Lemma 5-1 of Rhee (1988)]. Define for $1 \leq a < b \leq N$,

$$S_{a,b}^{(\nu)} = (N - 6m - 1) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N, |j-a| \wedge |j-b| > \nu m} g(X_j), \quad \forall \nu \geq 1,$$

$$S_{a,b}^{(0)} = \hat{U}_N.$$

As $\hat{U}_N = S_{a,b}^{(0)}$, for all $a < b$, it follows from (12) and Lemma 2 [see Appendix] that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_N(t) - e^{-t^2/2} \left(1 - \frac{i\hat{\kappa}_3}{6} N^{-1/2} t^3\right) \\ &= Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \Delta_N e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \hat{U}_N} \\ (13) \quad & + O(|t|^p N^{2-3p/2}) + o[(|t|^2 + |t|^5) e^{-t^2/4} N^{-1/2}], \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $|t| \leq N^\varepsilon$. It remains to approximate the term $Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \Delta_N e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \hat{U}_N}$. Following a method of Tikhomirov (1980), we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{N-3m-1} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \psi(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \hat{U}_N} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-3m-1} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N E\{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \psi(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} S_{j,k}^{(1)}} \\ & \quad + it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \psi(X_j, X_k) \sum_{r=2}^4 \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} (S_{j,k}^{(l-1)} - S_{j,k}^{(l)})} - 1] e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} S_{j,k}^{(r)}} \\ & \quad + it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \psi(X_j, X_k) \prod_{l=1}^4 [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} (S_{j,k}^{(l-1)} - S_{j,k}^{(l)})} - 1] e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} S_{j,k}^{(4)}}\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N-3m-1} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N \sum_{r=2}^4 it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \{E\psi(X_j, X_k) \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} (S_{j,k}^{(l-1)} - S_{j,k}^{(l)})} - 1]\} \\ (14) \quad & \times [Ee^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} S_{j,k}^{(r)}}] + O(|t|^6 N^{-2}), \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in t . The last equality uses Lemma 4 and the independence of $S_{j,k}^{(r)}$ and $\psi(X_j, X_k)$ $\prod_{l=1}^{r-1} [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} (S_{j,k}^{(l-1)} - S_{j,k}^{(l)})} - 1]$. Furthermore using Lemmas 2, 3 and 4, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N-3m-1} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N \sum_{r=2}^4 it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \{E\psi(X_j, X_k)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \prod_{l=1}^{r-1} [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{j,k}^{(l-1)} - S_{j,k}^{(l)})} - 1] \{E e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S_{j,k}^{(r)}}\} \\
& = - \sum_{j=1}^{N-3m-1} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N it^3 e^{-t^2/2} \sigma_g^{-3} N^{-5/2} \\
& \quad \times [E \sum_{a=(j-m)\vee 1}^{j+m} \sum_{b=k-m}^{(k+m)\wedge N} \psi(X_j, X_k) g(X_a) g(X_b)] \\
(15) \quad & + o[|t| \mathcal{P}(|t|) e^{-t^2/4} N^{-1/2}]
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $|t| \leq N^\varepsilon$, where $\mathcal{P}(|t|)$ is a generic linear combination [not depending on N] of non-negative powers of $|t|$. Also for convenience of notation, \mathcal{P} may represent different linear combinations at different occurrences. Thus it follows from (14) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{N-3m-1} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N E it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \psi(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\hat{U}_N} \\
& = -e^{-t^2/2} \frac{i}{6} N^{-1/2} t^3 E [3\sigma_g^{-3} \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1} \sum_{k=3m+2}^{5m+2} \psi(X_{m+1}, X_{4m+2}) g(X_j) g(X_k)] \\
(16) \quad & + O(|t|^6 N^{-2}) + o[|t| \mathcal{P}(|t|) e^{-t^2/4} N^{-1/2}],
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $|t| \leq N^\varepsilon$. In a similar though less tedious way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{(j+3m)\wedge N} h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\hat{U}_N} \\
& = it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{(j+3m)\wedge N} E \{h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S_{j,k}^{(1)}} \\
& \quad + h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k) [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{j,k}^{(0)} - S_{j,k}^{(1)})} - 1] e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S_{j,k}^{(1)}}\} \\
(17) \quad & = O(|t|^2 N^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& E e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\hat{U}_N} it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{3m} (3m-j+1) g(X_j) \right. \\
(18) \quad & \left. + \sum_{j=N-3m+1}^N (3m+j-N) g(X_j) \right] = O(|t| N^{-3/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $|t|$. Thus it follows from (2), (16), (17) and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\Delta_N e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\hat{U}_N} \\ = & -e^{-t^2/2}\frac{i}{6}N^{-1/2}t^3 E[3\sigma_g^{-3} \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1} \sum_{k=3m+2}^{5m+2} \psi(X_{m+1}, X_{4m+2})g(X_j)g(X_k)] \\ & +O(|t|N^{-3/2} + |t|^2N^{-1} + |t|^6N^{-2}) + o[|t|\mathcal{P}(|t|)e^{-t^2/4}N^{-1/2}], \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $|t| \leq N^\varepsilon$. Hence we conclude from (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_N(t) - \phi_N^*(t) &= \phi_N(t) - e^{-t^2/2}\left(1 - \frac{i\kappa_3}{6}N^{-1/2}t^3\right) \\ &= O(|t|N^{-3/2} + |t|^2N^{-1} + |t|^6N^{-2} + |t|^pN^{2-3p/2}) \\ &\quad + o[|t|\mathcal{P}(|t|)e^{-t^2/4}N^{-1/2}], \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $|t| \leq N^\varepsilon$ and hence

$$\int_{-N^\varepsilon}^{N^\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\phi_N(t) - \phi_N^*(t)}{t} \right| dt = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1. \square

Next we observe from (7) that there exists a constant $0 < \gamma < 1$ such that

$$(19) \quad E|E[e^{it\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}g(X_j)}|\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \xi_{m+2}, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}]| \leq 1 - \gamma,$$

for all $|t| \geq 1/(2\sigma_g)$. Also it follows from Lemma 3.2 of Götze and Hipp (1983) that there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$(20) \quad E|E[e^{it\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}g(X_j)}|\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \xi_{m+2}, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}]| \leq e^{-\mu t^2},$$

for all $|t| \leq 3/(2\sigma_g)$.

Proposition 2 *Let ε be as in Proposition 1. Then*

$$\int_{N^\varepsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N} \left| \frac{\phi_N(t) - \phi_N^*(t)}{t} \right| dt = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Let n be a positive integer such that for sufficiently large N

$$(21) \quad \lfloor \frac{n-2m}{2(m+1)} \rfloor - 3 = \lceil -\frac{\log N}{\log(1-\gamma)} \rceil.$$

if $N^{1/2} \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N$, and $n = Kt^{-2}N \log N$ if $N^\varepsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2}$ where K is some constant to be chosen later. Define

$$S(n) = (N - 6m - 1) \sum_{j=1}^n g(X_j),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_N(n) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n \wedge (N-3m-1)} \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N \psi(X_j, X_k) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n \wedge (N-1)} \sum_{k=j+1}^{(j+3m) \wedge N} h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k) + \sum_{j=1}^{3m} (3m-j+1)g(X_j). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\phi_N(t)| \\ &= |E e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - \Delta_N(n))} [1 + it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\Delta_N(n)]| + O(|t|^p n N^{1-3p/2}) \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in t , since $E|\Delta_N(n)|^p = O(nN)$ [see Rhee (1988)].

We shall now approximate the first term of the r.h.s. of (22). For simplicity we let $\mathcal{A}_{j,k,n}$ denote the σ -field generated by the random variables ξ_l , $l \in [j, j+m] \cup [k, k+m] \cup [n+1, \infty)$. We observe from Lemma 5 that K can be chosen such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|E it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - \Delta_N(n))}| \\ &= |E it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - S(n) - \Delta_N(n))} E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S(n)} | \mathcal{A}_{j,k,n}]| \\ &\leq |t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1} E|\psi(X_j, X_k)| N^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(23) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=3m+j+1}^N E it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_j, X_k) e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - \Delta_N(n))} \right| = O(|t| n N^{-3/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $N^\varepsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N$.

In a similar way, we have

$$(24) \quad |Ee^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - \Delta_N(n))}| = O(N^{-1}),$$

$$(25) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=j+1}^{j+3m} Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}h_{j,k}(X_j, X_k)e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - \Delta_N(n))} \right| = O(|t|nN^{-5/2}),$$

and

$$(26) \quad \left| \sum_{j=1}^{3m} Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(3m - j + 1)g(X_j)e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - \Delta_N(n))} \right| = O(|t|N^{-5/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $N^\epsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N$. From (23), (25) and (26), we get

$$(27) \quad |Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\Delta_N(n)e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(U_N - \Delta_N(n))}| = O(|t|nN^{-3/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $N^\epsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N$. Now it follows from (22), (24) and (27) that

$$|\phi_N(t)| = O(N^{-1} + |t|nN^{-3/2} + |t|^p nN^{1-3p/2}),$$

and from the definition of n , we have

$$(28) \quad \int_{N^\epsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N} |\phi_N(t)/t| dt = o(N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. □

3 Proof of Theorem 3

First we shall state a key result due to Heinrich (1984) page 14. We refer the reader to his paper for a sketch of the proof.

Lemma 1 *Let Y_1, Y_2, \dots be a sequence of m -dependent random variables with $EY_j = 0$ and $E|Y_j|^{k+3} < \infty$ whenever $j = 1, 2, \dots$, for some $k \geq 0$. Then there exists positive constants B_1 and B_2 , depending only on k and m , such that for all $|t| \leq B_1\sigma_{k,n}^{-1}$, we have*

$$|F_{S_n/B_n}^*(t) - e_{k,n}^*(t)| \leq B_2\sigma_{k,n}^{k+1}(|t|^{k+3} + |t|^{3(k+2)}) \exp(-t^2/6),$$

where F_{S_n/B_n}^* and $e_{k,n}^*$ denote the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of F_{S_n/B_n} and $e_{k,n}$ respectively.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.

The proof closely parallels that given by Bickel and Robinson (1982) for the i.i.d. case. However we need to make the following changes in their proof to adapt it to the m -dependent case. First replace their equation (7) by that of Lemma 1. Also we observe from Heinrich (1985) that

$$|\delta_{\nu,n}| \leq CnM_{\nu,n}/B_n^2,$$

and hence

$$\left| \prod_{i=1}^q \delta_{\nu_i+2,n}/B_n^{\nu_i} \right| \leq C \prod_{i=1}^q nM_{\nu_i+2,n}/B_n^{\nu_i+2} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $\sigma_{k,n} \rightarrow 0$. Thus we conclude that $\sup_x |e_{k,n}^{(k+1)}(x)| \leq C$ and it follows from (9) that

$$|e_{k,n}(y) - P_{k,\sigma_{k,n}}(y; x, e_{k,n})| \leq C_2(|y-x|^{k+1} + \sigma_{k,n}^{k+1}),$$

where C_2 is some positive constant independent of x , y and n . \square

PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.

Since $\lim B_n^2/n > 0$, it follows from the definition of $\sigma_{k,n}$ that $0 < \lim \sigma_{k,n}\sqrt{n} < \infty$. Now the proof proceeds as in Theorem 3 with $\sigma_{k,n}$ replaced by $n^{-1/2}$. \square

4 Appendix

Lemma 2 *Suppose that (3), (4) are satisfied and r is a fixed nonnegative integer. Then*

$$Ee^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S_{a,b}^{(r)}} = e^{-t^2/2}\left(1 - \frac{i\hat{\kappa}_3}{6}N^{-1/2}t^3\right) + o\left[(|t|^2 + |t|^5)e^{-t^2/4}N^{-1/2}\right],$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ and $|t| \leq N^\varepsilon$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\kappa}_3 &= \sigma_g^{-3} E\{g^3(X_1) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^m [g^2(X_1)g(X_{j+1}) + g(X_1)g^2(X_{j+1})] \\ &\quad + 6 \sum_{j=2}^{m+1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{j+m} g(X_1)g(X_j)g(X_k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let $\hat{\sigma}_{a,b}^{(r)}$ denote the standard deviation of $S_{a,b}^{(r)}$. We observe that the third cumulant of $(\hat{\sigma}_{a,b}^{(r)})^{-1}S_{a,b}^{(r)}$ is asymptotically $\hat{\kappa}_3 N^{-1/2}$ with error $O(N^{-3/2})$ uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$. Hence it follows from Heinrich (1982) p.513 that

$$Ee^{it(\hat{\sigma}_{a,b}^{(r)})^{-1}S_{a,b}^{(r)}} = e^{-t^2/2}\left(1 - \frac{i\hat{\kappa}_3}{6}N^{-1/2}t^3\right) + o[(|t|^2 + |t|^5)e^{-t^2/4}N^{-1/2}],$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ and $|t| \leq N^{\varepsilon+\delta}$, where δ is a small positive constant. We remark that Heinrich stated his result only for the case of a sum of 1-dependent random variables. However the extension to m -dependence is straightforward. Since $1 - (\hat{\sigma}_{a,b}^{(r)}/\hat{\sigma}_N)^2 = O(N^{-1})$ uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ee^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S_{a,b}^{(r)}} &= Ee^{it(\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\hat{\sigma}_{a,b}^{(r)})(\hat{\sigma}_{a,b}^{(r)})^{-1}S_{a,b}^{(r)}} \\ &= e^{-t^2/2}\left(1 - \frac{i\hat{\kappa}_3}{6}N^{-1/2}t^3\right) + o[(|t|^2 + |t|^5)e^{-t^2/4}N^{-1/2}], \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ and $|t| \leq N^\varepsilon$. \square

Lemma 3 *Let $5/3 < p \leq 2$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ with $b - a > 3m$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)\{\exp[it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(0)} - S_{a,b}^{(1)})] - 1\} \\ &= -it^3\sigma_g^{-3}N^{-5/2}E\sum_{j=(a-m)\vee 1}^{a+m}\sum_{k=b-m}^{(b+m)\wedge N}\psi(X_a, X_b)g(X_j)g(X_k) \\ &\quad + O(|t|^3N^{-7/2} + |t|^{2+3/q}N^{-2-3/(2q)}), \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in a, b and t .

PROOF. We observe that

$$S_{a,b}^{(0)} - S_{a,b}^{(1)} = (N - 6m - 1)\left[\sum_{j=(a-m)\vee 1}^{a+m}g(X_j) + \sum_{k=b-m}^{(b+m)\wedge N}g(X_k)\right].$$

For $1 \leq c \leq N$, we define

$$(29) \quad R_c = it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(N - 6m - 1)\sum_{j=(c-m)\vee 1}^{(c+m)\wedge N}g(X_j).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)\{\exp[it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(0)} - S_{a,b}^{(1)})] - 1\} \\
&= Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)[(e^{R_a} - 1 - R_a)(e^{R_b} - 1 - R_b) \\
(30) \quad & + R_a(e^{R_b} - 1 - R_b) + R_b(e^{R_a} - 1 - R_a) + R_a R_b].
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality uses the observation that

$$E\psi(X_a, X_b) = E[\psi(X_a, X_b)|R_a] = E[\psi(X_a, X_b)|R_b] = 0.$$

Next we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)R_a R_b \\
&= -it^3\hat{\sigma}_N^{-3}(N - 6m - 1)^2 E \sum_{j=(a-m)\vee 1}^{a+m} \sum_{k=b-m}^{(b+m)\wedge N} g(X_j)g(X_k)\psi(X_a, X_b) \\
&= -it^3\sigma_g^{-3}N^{-5/2}E \sum_{j=(a-m)\vee 1}^{a+m} \sum_{k=b-m}^{(b+m)\wedge N} g(X_j)g(X_k)\psi(X_a, X_b) \\
(31) \quad & + O(|t|^3N^{-7/2}),
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in a, b and t . Furthermore it follows from (11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E|t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)[(e^{R_a} - 1 - R_a)(e^{R_b} - 1 - R_b) \\
& + R_a(e^{R_b} - 1 - R_b) + R_b(e^{R_a} - 1 - R_a)]| \\
&\leq 6E|t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)R_a R_b^{3/q}| + 2E|t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)R_b R_a^{3/q}| \\
&\leq 6|t|\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}[E|\psi(X_a, X_b)|^p]^{1/p}[(E|R_a|^q)^{1/q}(E|R_b|^3)^{1/q} \\
& + (E|R_b|^q)^{1/q}(E|R_a|^3)^{1/q}] \\
(32) \quad & = O(|t|^{2+3/q}N^{-2-3/(2q)}),
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in a, b and t . Lemma 3 now follows from (30), (31) and (32). \square

Lemma 4 *Let r be a fixed positive integer, $5/3 < p \leq 2$ and $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ with $b - a > 3m$. Then*

$$|Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b) \prod_{l=1}^r [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1]| = O(|t|^3N^{-5/2}|tN^{-1/2}|^{r-1}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b) \prod_{l=1}^r [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1] e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S_{a,b}^{(r)}}| \\ &= O(|t|^3 N^{-5/2} |tN^{-1/2}|^{r-1}), \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in a, b and t .

PROOF. Let R_a and R_b be defined as in (29). We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & |Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b) \prod_{l=1}^r [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1]| \\ &= |Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)| [(e^{R_a} - 1 - R_a)(e^{R_b} - 1 - R_b) \\ &\quad + R_a(e^{R_b} - 1 - R_b) \\ &\quad + R_b(e^{R_a} - 1 - R_a) + R_a R_b] \prod_{l=2}^r [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1]| \\ (33) \quad &\leq 9E|t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b)R_a R_b \prod_{l=2}^r [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1]|. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality uses (11). By Hölder's inequality, the r.h.s. of (33) is less than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 9|t|\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\{E|\psi(X_a, X_b) \prod' [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1]|^p\}^{1/p} \\ (34) \quad & \times \{E|R_a R_b \prod'' [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1]|^q\}^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, \prod' denotes the product over all even integers l , $2 \leq l \leq r$ and \prod'' denotes the product over all odd integers l , $3 \leq l \leq r$. By virtue of m -dependence, the r.h.s. of (34) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & 9|t|\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}[E|\psi(X_a, X_b)|^p]^{1/p} (E|R_a R_b|^q)^{1/q} \\ & \times \prod_{l=2}^r [E|e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1|^3]^{1/3} \\ & \leq 9|t|\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}[E|\psi(X_a, X_b)|^p]^{1/p} (E|R_a R_b|^q)^{1/q} \\ (35) \quad & \times \prod_{l=2}^r [E|t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})|^3]^{1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$[E|t\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})|^3]^{1/3} = O(|t|N^{-1/2}),$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$, $2 \leq l \leq r$ and t , it follows from (35) that

$$|Eit\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}\psi(X_a, X_b) \prod_{l=1}^r [e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(S_{a,b}^{(l-1)} - S_{a,b}^{(l)})} - 1]| = O(|t|^3 N^{-5/2} |tN^{-1/2}|^{r-1}).$$

This proves the first statement of Lemma 4. The proof of the second statement is similar and is omitted. \square

Lemma 5 *Let $1 \leq a < b \leq N$. Then with the notation of Proposition 2, there exists a constant K such that*

$$|E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S(n)}|\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}]| \leq N^{-1},$$

for sufficiently large N uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ and $N^\varepsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N$.

PROOF. We observe that

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} & E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S(n)}|\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}] \\ &= E\left[\int e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(N-6m-1)\sum_{j=1}^n g(X_j)} \prod_l^* dF(\xi_{l(m+1)})|\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $F(\xi_{l(m+1)})$ denotes the distribution function of the random variable $\xi_{l(m+1)}$ and \prod_l^* denotes the product over all positive odd integers l satisfying $l(m+1) \notin [a-m, a+2m] \cup [b-m, b+2m] \cup [n+1-m, \infty)$. Thus the absolute value of the r.h.s. of (36) is bounded by

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} & E\left[\prod_l^* \left| \int e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(N-6m-1)\sum_{j=l(m+1)-m}^{l(m+1)} g(X_j)} dF(\xi_{l(m+1)}) \right| |\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}\right] \\ &= \prod_l^* E\left[\left| \int e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(N-6m-1)\sum_{j=l(m+1)-m}^{l(m+1)} g(X_j)} dF(\xi_{l(m+1)}) \right| |\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}\right] \\ &= \{E|E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}(N-6m-1)\sum_{j=1}^{m+1} g(X_j)}|\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m, \xi_{m+2}, \dots, \xi_{2m+1}]\}^{k_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where k_0 equals the number of terms in the product \prod_l^* . The second [last] equality uses the independence [stationarity] of the ξ_j 's respectively. Now we consider two cases.

CASE I. Suppose that $N^{1/2} \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N$. Then for sufficiently large N , n satisfies

$$\lfloor \frac{n-2m}{2(m+1)} \rfloor - 3 = \lceil -\frac{\log N}{\log(1-\gamma)} \rceil.$$

Since

$$k_0 \geq \lfloor \frac{n-2m}{2(m+1)} \rfloor - 3,$$

and it follows from (19) and (37) that

$$|E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S(n)}|\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}]| \leq (1-\gamma)^{\lfloor (n-2m)/[2(m+1)] \rfloor - 3},$$

whenever $(N-6m-1)\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}|t| \geq 1/(2\sigma_g)$. Thus we conclude that

$$|E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S(n)}|\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}]| \leq N^{-1},$$

for sufficiently large N uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ and $N^{1/2} \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2} \log N$.

CASE II. Suppose that $N^\varepsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2}$. Then for sufficiently large N , $n = Kt^{-2}N \log N$. We observe from (20) and (37) that

$$|E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S(n)}|\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}]| \leq e^{-\mu k_0 t^2 (N-6m-1)^2 \hat{\sigma}_N^{-2}},$$

whenever $(N-6m-1)\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}|t| \leq 3/(2\sigma_g)$. Now it can be easily seen that K can be chosen so that

$$|E[e^{it\hat{\sigma}_N^{-1}S(n)}|\mathcal{A}_{a,b,n}]| \leq 1/N,$$

for sufficiently large N uniformly over $1 \leq a < b \leq N$ and $N^\varepsilon \leq |t| \leq N^{1/2}$.
□

References

- [1] BICKEL, P. J. (1974). Edgeworth expansions in nonparametric statistics. *Ann. Statist.* **2** 1-20.
- [2] BICKEL, P.J. and ROBINSON, J. (1982). Edgeworth expansions and smoothness. *Ann. Probab.* **10** 500-503.
- [3] BICKEL, P. J., GÖTZE, F. and VAN ZWET, W. R. (1986). The Edgeworth expansion for U -statistics of degree two. *Ann. Statist.* **14** 1463-1484.
- [4] CALLAERT, H. and JANSSEN, P. (1978). The Berry-Esseen theorem for U -statistics. *Ann. Statist.* **6** 417-421.
- [5] CALLAERT, H., JANSSEN, P. and VERAVERBEKE, N. (1980). An Edgeworth expansion for U -statistics. *Ann. Statist.* **8** 299-312.
- [6] CHAN, Y.-K. and WIERMAN, J. (1977). On the Berry-Esseen theorem for U -statistics. *Ann. Probab.* **5** 136-139.
- [7] FELLER, W. (1971). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications* **2**, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York.
- [8] GÖTZE, F. and HIPPEL, C. (1983). Asymptotic expansions for sums of weakly dependent random vectors. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete.* **64** 211-239.
- [9] GRAMS, W. F. and SERFLING, R. J. (1973). Convergence rates for U -statistics and related statistics. *Ann. Statist.* **1** 153-160.
- [10] HEINRICH, L. (1982). A method for the derivation of limit theorems for sums of m -dependent random variables. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete.* **60** 501-515.
- [11] HEINRICH, L. (1984). Non-uniform estimates and asymptotic expansions of the remainder in the central limit theorem for m -dependent random variables. *Math. Nachr.* **115** 7-20.
- [12] HEINRICH, L. (1985). Some remarks on asymptotic expansions in the central limit theorem for m -dependent random variables. *Math. Nachr.* **122** 151-155.

- [13] HELMERS, R. and VAN ZWET, W. R. (1982). The Berry-Esseen bound for U -statistics. *Statistical Decision Theory and Related Topics, III* (S. S. Gupta and J. O. Berger, eds.) 1 497-512. Academic Press, New York.
- [14] HOEFFDING, W. (1948). A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distributions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **19** 293-325.
- [15] KOKIC, P. N. and WEBER, N. C. (1990). An Edgeworth expansion for U -statistics based on samples from finite populations. *Ann. Probab.* **18** 390-404.
- [16] LOH, W. L. (1991). An Edgeworth expansion for U -statistics with weakly dependent observations. Manuscript.
- [17] RHEE, W. T. (1988). On asymptotic normality for m -dependent U -statistics. *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.* **11** 187-200.
- [18] TIKHOMIROV, A. N. (1980). On the convergence rate in the central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **25** 790-809.
- [19] YOSHIHARA, K. (1984). The Berry-Esseen theorems for U -statistics generated by absolutely regular processes. *Yokohama Math. J.* **32** 89-111.
- [20] ZHAO, L. and CHEN, X. (1987). Berry-Esseen bounds for finite-population U -statistics. *Sci. Sinica Ser. A* **30** 113-127.