An Efficient Method of Generating Infinite-Precision Exponential Random Variables

by

Herman Rubin¹ Purdue University

Technical Report #86-39

Department of Statistics Purdue University

1986

¹ Research supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-8401996.

An Efficient Method of Generating Infinite-Precision Exponential Random Variables

by

Herman Rubin

ABSTRACT

We give a method of generating random variables which, assuming we have perfectly random bits available, are exactly exponential with mean 1. The procedure produces the integer part and zeros out some of the bits in the fractional part, all other bits in the fractional part being independent random bits. The procedure uses an expected number of 4.383154 bits to obtain the integer part and the mask, whereas the information in these quantities is 3.647347 bits. Unfortunately, the architecture of computers makes it difficult to carry out the operations efficiently because of bookkeeping. The procedures are as easy to implement on microcomputers as on large computers, although certain instructions not found in high level languages may speed up the implementation on some machines. Vector machines which have good merge instructions (combining vectors by using a bit vector to decide from which vector to take the next element of that vector, not the element in the corresponding place) and other cute instructions, such as the CYBER 205, can vectorize the procedure.

Introduction. The procedure is based on the fact that the waiting times of a Poisson process with mean 1 are exponential with mean 1. Thus if we let N_i be independent Poisson random variables with mean 1, K is the number of initial 0's in the N sequence, and $J=N_{K+1}$, the exponential random variable is $E=K+\min(U_1,\ldots,U_J)$. The way that the minimum is formed is to find the first place where the uniform random variables differ, put a 0 in that place, and proceed using only the uniform random variables which are 0 there, until we get to one random variable only. Of course, we need only get the right distribution of the locations of the 0's, and this is what we do. We use the fact that, in generating Poisson random variables with mean 1, we find it convenient to also generate geometric random variables g4 with parameter 1/4 if $J \geq 3$, $J \neq 5$, and geometric random variables g16 with parameter g16 if g10. Very little of this extra information is not used in the process. Now let us give the procedures for obtaining the minimum of g1 uniform random variables by masking out bits in one uniform random variable. Initially we assume the mask bit g10 is in the place to the left of the binary point. We shall use g20 for a new geometric g21 random variable each time, and g30 for a new 50-50 test each time.

If j=1, exit.

If j = 2, shift b right G places; mask out b; exit.

If j = 3, shift b right g4 places; mask out b; B; j = 1, j = 2. (The last step can also be done as shift b right G-1 places; mask out b; exit. This is what we have programmed, as it uses less tests, but which procedure to use is optional.)

If j=4, we use both g4 and G. The probability that g4=i, G=k is $\frac{3}{4}\times 2^{-2i-k}$, so if i< k, this is just the probability that the first mask bit is i, the new j is 2, and we

have k-i as an independent geometric (1/2) random variable to continue masking, while if $i \geq k$, the first mask bit is k, the new j is equally likely to be 1 or 3, and if it is 3 we use i-k+1 as our new g4.

If j = 5, we may use g16 as the first mask bit. The probabilities for the new j are as 1:2:2:1, which can be obtained by the use of B and a 2:1 test. The most efficient use of bits to obtain one 2:1 test yields a g4 as a free byproduct.

If j=6, we may use $\min(G,g16)$ for the first mask bit. If they are unequal, the difference can again be used as a random variable of the same type, and we shall use that notation. If g16 > G, the new j is 5. If they are equal, B: j=2, j=4. If G>g16, let the new G be 4k-h, h=0,1,2,3. Now if we set the new j to be 1 if j=1,3 if j=1,3 and if j=1,3 if j=1,3 if j=1,3 and if j=1,3 i

If j=7, let $G=6k-h, 0 \le h < 6$. Then k is the first mask bit, if $h \in \{0,1,5\}$ we set the new j to be 3 or 4, and otherwise if m=g4-1 is 0 we set the new j to be 2 or 5 (neither of which uses g4), and otherwise the new j is 1 or 6, and if j=6, g4=m.

For $j \geq 8$, we have just used brute force.

We do not claim that these procedures are optimal, but the amount of improvement cannot be great unless random information is recycled. For example, if j=4 with probability $\frac{2}{7}$, for j=5 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, for j=6 with probability $\frac{27}{62}$, and for j=7 with probability $\frac{1}{18}$, there is an unused g4, and for j=6 with probability $\frac{28}{31}$, and for j=7 with probability $\frac{7}{9}$, g16 is never used. Certainly for $j\geq 7$, better procedures can be used both for generating j and for finding the minimum of j uniform random variables. However, the wastage in work is only about 0.02 bits per exponential random variable generated, so that it does not seem worthwhile to do anything about it.

The other place where a better procedure could be generated is to use a more efficient means of generating Poisson random variables. The improvement possible here is about 0.25 bits per Poisson generated, or 0.39 per exponential random variable generated. Most of this inefficiency is in the fact that we only use the two least significant bits of the first geometric random variable in the process. The unused information is a g16, which has nearly 0.36 bits of information. These procedures are also difficult to implement. The rest of the inefficiency is in the fact that there are different paths to a given mask, and these are almost impossible to do much about.

In the procedure the Poisson random variables are not formed unless they are at least 8, but only become internal states of the process.

What we do is in each cycle is either to exit without generating anything or to generate indexpendent random variables X and Y in $\{U,0,1,\ldots\}$, where U means undefined. If the random variable is at least 3 and not 5, an geometric random variable g4 with p=1/4 is also generated, and if the random variable is at least 5, a g16 with p=1/16 is generated; these are essentially free, and may be regenerated in the process as needed. We never separate

0 and 1 until we finish the use of that particular Poisson variable. As a preliminary step we generate random variables S and T in the set $\{-1,1,3,4,5,\ldots\}$, $T \neq 5$, with $P(S=s,T=t)=2^{-s-t}/7.5$, and just abort the cycle with probability 1/320. It might pay in the case of this abort to generate S only with probability 11/12 in this case. That this can be useful is due to the fact that there is already a 2:1 division. We will call this the alternative method in what follows. We then use S(T) to generate X(Y), with $P(X=x,T=t)=2^{-t}/(7.5x!)$, and similarly in the other case. Thus the probability that X=m is $\frac{29}{80m!}$ and the probability that Y=m is $\frac{11}{30m!}$. The "t" blocks in "work" get the values of X and/or Y if they exceed 3, although a value less than 8 does not appear except as a state. Most of the inefficiency of the procedure is in the generation of random variables with probabilities proportional to 2^{-t} , $i=-1,1,3,4,6,7,\ldots$, but the author does not know of any ways to do this better which are not extremely complicated.

Procedure. We now give the detailed procedure for a sequential computer. On some computers, one may be able to guarantee that a certain number of geometric random variables with p = 1/2 are available and that enough bits are available for tests, assuming that the Poisson random variable process terminates by 7. If it does not, it may be necessary to check in the cases where we consider setting a variable to a value above 7, or in processing a value over 7, whether enough random information of the appropriate kind exists. On certain computers, such as the VAX and the CYBER 205, for which a right shift is a left shift by a negative amount, all geometric random variables should be negated in their construction. Of course, conditional transfers should be done differently on different machines. For each instruction, we give the expected number of times that instruction is taken per cycle, multiplied by 23040 to eliminate most fractions. The expected number of exponential random variables produced is $\frac{35}{48}(e-1)$, or 1.25291383, or 28867.1347 when multiplied. If we use the alternative method, the expected number of exponential random variables produced and the expected number of bits used for output purposes increase by 1/700, while the expected number of bits used in the preliminary process increases from 66000 to 66048. This decreases the expected number of bits used per exponential random variable generated by 0.001601; however, the amount of additional computation is less than would be expected, and this might pay. The expected number of times each operation in work is done is increased by 1/700 except the integers ending in "36," for which we must round up to the next integer. In the preliminary part, we indicate the additional computations.

We now produce some abbreviations to simplify the description.

done chg	if enough exponential random variables have been obtained, finish up check if there are enough geometric random variables available for the next cycle and refill if necessary
chb	check if enough random bits are available for the next cycle and refill if necessary
OUT	store the exponential random variable and initialize for the next
P1	add 1 to the integer part
O 1	OUT with probability 1/2 and P1 with probability 1/2
\mathbf{G}	at each use, a new geometric random variable with $p=1/2$
	(may be obtained as the distance to the next 1 in a random bit stream)
OUT2	clear the G'th bit in the fraction, store and initialize
\mathbf{B}	with probability $1/2$ do the first branch; otherwise do the second
	branch (if the second branch is omitted, fall through)
work	a subroutine to be transferred to (not called) to process values
	greater than 2
${f R}$	return to the start of the cycle
q	a mask of 1 in the bit to the left of the binary point

start of cycle

done chg chb

		** get S and T and set up **	
	by = G&3	** get the last 2 bits of G **	23040
	go to (aa,ab,ac,bc) if $by=(1,2,3,0)$		23040
aa:	O1;O1;R	** $S = T = -1$ **	12288
ab:	В		6144
	O1;OUT2;R	** $S = -1$, $T = 1$ **	3072
	OUT2;O1;R	** $S = 1, T = -1$ **	3072
bc:	u = G; if(u=1)		1536
	OUT2;OUT2;R	** $S = T = 1$ **	768
	else if($u=2$)		768
	u=G+2; work;OUT2:R	** S = u, T = 1 **	384
	else if $(u=5)R$		384
	else OUT2;work;R	** S = 1, T = u **	336
ac:	u=G+2; B		3072
	work;O1;R	** $S = u, T = -1 **$	1536
	if(u=5)goto cc		1536
	O1;work;R	** $S = -1$, $T = u$ **	1344
cc:	v=G+2;if(v=5)R		192
	u=G+2;work;u=v;work;R	** $S = u, T = v$ **	168

If we use the alternative method, if u = 5 under bc we go to alta instead of aborting, and if v = 5 under cc we go to altb. The additional code is

alta:	O1;R	** S = -1 **	48
altb:	u=G;if(u=1)		24
	O2;R	** S = 1 **	12
	else if $(u=2)R$	** abort **	12
	else work;R	** S ≥ 3 **	6

We now have given the complete procedure except for the processing (work) of the values of S or T which are at least 3. We now proceed to do this. Initially, u is the value of S or T, and we shall use z for the value of X or Y. The computation separates into first obtaining the Poisson random variable and the additional g's, occasionally aborting ("return"). The second part does the shifting and masking; it never aborts. We now present the construction of the Poisson information.

work:	b = q	** initialize the bit to	3936
		insert in the mask**	
	if(u=3)		3936
	g4 = 1; goto f3	** $z = 3$ **	2100
	if(u even)		1836
	В		1400
*	g4 = u/2; goto f3	** $z = 3$ **	700
	g4 = u/2 -1; goto f4	** z = 4 **	700
	if(u=5)return	•	436
	$if(u \bmod 4 = 3)$		175
t5:	g16=(u-3)/4; goto f5	** $z = 5$ **	140
t6g:	g16=(u-5)/4; u=G; g4=(u+1)/2; if(u odd)	** $z > 5$ **	35
t6:	goto f6;	** $z = 6$ **	23.3333
t7g:	$\ensuremath{\text{else u=G; w=2;i=0; while(i$		11.6667
	w=w+w;if(w>7)w=w-7		11.6667
	if(w < 4)return		11.6667
	B: goto f7		6.6667
t8g:	z=8;		3.3333
thg:	$chg;u=G;w=1;i=0; while(i< u)\{$		3.7444
	w=w+w;if(w>z)w=w-z		3.7444
	$w=w+w; if(w \le z) return$		3.7444
	В		.9504
	goto fh	** $z > 7$ **	.4752
	z=z+1; goto thg	** try 1 more **	.4752

Now let us mask out the output. If the current number being minimized is k, the location of the "change" bit is geometric 2^{1-k} places to the right of the current mask bit. For k=2, 3, 5, and 7 we do this in a more-or-less straighforward manner. We then use the

binomial coefficients to get the next step. For k=4 and k=6 we use a more complicated procedure. For k>7 we just look at k random bits until a number other than 0 or k occurs. The expected numbers are irrational because we are taking into account the fact that a given value of k can also arise due to the reduction of k until 1 is reached. The detailed instructions for shifting and masking are:

f4: u=G;c=b>>u;mask c;		730.5858
if (u>g4)goto f42;		
В	** next k is 1 or 3 **	417.4776
goto f1;	** next $k = 1$ **	208.7388
g4=g4+1;		208.7388
f3: b>>g4;mask b;b<<1;		3065.0225
f2: b << G; mask b;		3118.9221
f1: OUT;return;		3667.1347
f42: b>>g4;mask b;goto f1;	** next k is 2 **	313.1082
f5: $b >> g16; mask b; u=G; B$	•	142.9446
f512: if(u odd)goto f2	** next k is 1 or 2 **	71.4723
else goto f1		23.8241
f534:g4=(u+1)/2;if(u odd)goto f3;	** next k is 3 or 4 **	71.4723
else goto f4		23.824 1
f6: $u=G;if (g16>u)goto f65;$		23.5742
else $b>>g16;mask b;if(g16 =u)$		22.8137
{B		11.4069
goto f4;		5.7034
goto $f2;$ }		5.7034
else $u=u-g16$;		11.4069
go to $(f3,f6a,f1,f1)$ if $(u \mod 4)=(1,2,3,0)$		
f6a B		3.0418
goto f3;		1.5209
g16=(u+2)/4;goto f5		1.5209
f65: $\{c=b>>u; mask c; goto f5\}$.7605

f7: $u=G;b>>(u+5)/6;u=(u+4)\mod 6;$	3.3504
if $(u<3)goto f7a$	
В	1.8613
goto f3	.9307
goto f4	.9307
f7a: $g4=g4-1$; if $(g4\neq0)$ goto f716;	1.4891
В	1.1168
goto f2;	.5584
goto f5;	.5584
f716: B	.3723
goto f1;	.1861
goto f6;	.1861
fh: $b>>1$; chb; $i=\#$ of 1's in k bits;	.4728
if $(i=0 \text{ or } i=k)$ goto fh;	
** number of bits looked at **	3.8388
k=i; mask b; goto $(f1,f2,f3,f4,f5,f6,f7,fh)$ for i= $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, >7)$.4632