

TRANSVERSING THE SMALLEST POSSIBLE CORRIDOR
UNDER ENERGY AND VELOCITY CONSTRAINTS

by

M. C. Spruill*
Georgia Institute of Technology and
Purdue University

Mimeograph Series #81-52

Department of Statistics
Division of Mathematical Sciences

October, 1981

*School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332.
This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. MCS-8103444 and in part by
AFOSR Contract No. F49620-79-0122.

TRAVERSING THE SMALLEST POSSIBLE CORRIDOR
UNDER ENERGY AND VELOCITY CONSTRAINTS

by

M. C. Spruill*

ABSTRACT

For $\eta > 0$ a fixed constant minimize the functional

$$\tilde{\rho}_\eta(f) = \sup_{a < x < b} |f(x)|^2 + \eta \int_a^c (Lf(x))^2 dx$$

over f in the Sobolev space $W_m^2[a,c]$ which satisfy $f(c) = 1$, where $a < b < c$ and $L = D^m + a_{m-1}D^{m-1} + \dots + a_0I$ are fixed. There is always a solution to this problem and if the m -dimensional null space of L is spanned by a Chebyshev system on $[a,c]$ then the solution is unique and equioscillates on $[a,b]$. An explicit solution is given for $L = D^2$ and is shown to yield the solution to a control problem described below. A solution to the $\tilde{\rho}_\eta$ problem is conjectured.

Running Title: CORRIDOR PROBLEM

*School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. MCS-8103444 and in part by AFOSR Contract No. F49620-79-0122.

TRAVERSING THE SMALLEST POSSIBLE CORRIDOR
UNDER ENERGY AND VELOCITY CONSTRAINTS

by

M. C. Spruill*

1. Introduction. A particle of unit mass travels in the $x - y$ plane. Its coordinates at time t are $\underline{x}(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ where $x'(t) \equiv -1$ and $\underline{x}(0) = (c, 1)$. The initial velocity $y'(0)$ in the y direction and the acceleration y'' are subject to control. For those controls which have the property that the particle hits the line $x = a$, $a < c$, the energy expended is $E = \int_0^{c-a} (y''(t))^2 dt$. Denote the corridor $\{(x, y) : x \in [a, b], |y| \leq \gamma\}$ by $C(\gamma)$ where $b \in (a, c)$ is fixed. Given that $E \leq E_0$ is to be spent, what is the minimum $\gamma \geq 0$ for which the particle may be made to pass through the corridor $C(\gamma)$? The explicit solutions to the corridor problems C_{E_0} , $E_0 \in [0, \infty)$, are given in Theorem 4.1.

The solutions are found by solving another class of problems P_η for $\eta > 0$, which may be stated as follows. Given $\eta > 0$ minimize the functional

$$\rho_\eta(f) = \sup_{a < x < b} |f(x)|^2 + \eta \int_a^c (f''(x))^2 dx$$

over $f \in W_2^2[a, c]$ subject to $f(c) = 1$. The explicit solutions to these problems may be found in Theorem 3.2. They equioscillate on $[a, b]$ and are splines on the entire interval $[a, c]$ possessing two knots in $[a, b]$. The locations of the knots coincide with those at which the solution achieves its maximum

*School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant No. MCS-8103444 and in part by AFOSR Contract No. F49620-79-0122.

absolute value on $[a,b]$. The solutions to this class of problems may also be used in optimal robust regression. One assumes that observations on the random variables $y(x) = f(x) + \varepsilon$ may be taken in the interval $x \in [a,b]$. The value $f(c)$ is to be estimated under the assumption that f is almost a linear function in the sense that $\|f''\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{\eta}$. The estimator used was developed by Speckman in [2] and employs the solution to P_η . Some optimal experimental designs employing Speckman's estimator are studied in [4].

The optimal design problem motivates the study of the minimization of a more general functional than ρ_η . For the fixed linear differential operator $L = D^m + a_{m-1}D^{m-1} + \dots + a_0I$, where $a_j \in C^j[a,c]$, $j=0, \dots, m-1$, defined on $W_m^2[a,c]$ define

$$\tilde{\rho}_\eta(f) = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|^2 + \eta \int_a^c [Lf(x)]^2 dx.$$

The function minimizing $\tilde{\rho}_\eta$ subject to $f \in W_m^2[a,c]$ and $f(c) = 1$ will be termed a solution to \tilde{P}_η . In the statistical context it could be used in extrapolation of a function which is almost a solution to $Lf = 0$ in the sense that $\int_a^c (Lf(s))^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{\eta}$. It is shown below that \tilde{P}_η always has a solution and that if the m -dimensional null space of L is spanned by a Chebyshev system on $[a,c]$ then the solution is unique. In particular, the solutions gotten in Theorem 3.2 must be unique since $L = D^2$.

We offer the following.

Conjecture: If L has a null space spanned by a Chebyshev system then there exist m points $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ contained in $[a,b]$ such that the unique solution to \tilde{P}_η is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \delta_0(x) = [s^2(\eta) + \eta z^2(\eta)]^{-1} [\eta z(\eta) \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{i+q} \phi_{x_i}(x) + \int_a^c h_x(s) h_c(s) ds],$$

where $\{\phi_{x_i}\}_{i=1}^m$ are the Lagrange interpolation polynomials for the null space of L on $[a,c]$ to the points x_i , i.e.; $\phi_{x_i}(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$, q is either zero or one,

$$h_x(s) = G(x,s) - \sum_{i=1}^m \phi_{x_i}(x)G(x_i,s),$$

$G(x,s)$ solves $LG(\cdot,s) = 0$ on $[s,c]$ subject to $\frac{d^i}{dx^i} G(x,s) \Big|_{x=s} = \delta_{i,m-1}$, $i=0, \dots, m-1$,

$$s^2(\eta) = \|h_c\|_2^2 \text{ (on } [a,c]), \text{ and } z(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^m |\phi_{x_i}(c)|.$$

The functional ρ_η is not differentiable as the following example shows. Let $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $c = 2$, $f_0(t) = -1 + 2t$, and $h_n(t) = t/n$ for $n \geq 1$ and $t \in [0,2]$. Then $\rho_\eta(f_0+h_n) - \rho_\eta(f_0) = 2/n + 1/n^2$, $\rho_\eta(f_0-h_n) - \rho_\eta(f_0) \equiv 0$, $\|h_n\|^2 = 14/3n^2$, and if a bounded linear functional Λ were to exist satisfying $|\rho_\eta(f_0+h) - \rho_\eta(f_0) - \Lambda h| = o(\|h\|)$ it would entail both $\Lambda h_n \rightarrow 2$ by consideration of h_n and $\Lambda h_n \rightarrow 0$ by consideration of $-h_n$. The methods employed in the solution to P_η are adapted from the literature on the theory of optimal design of experiments as found for example in [3].

2. Solving \tilde{P}_η . Suppose that f_1, \dots, f_m is a T-system on $[a,c]$ and $b \in (a,c)$ as above. In general the subspace $\{\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j f_j : \alpha' d = 0\}$, where d is a fixed arbitrary vector, does not have the Chebyshev property.

Lemma 2.1. The collection of functions $\mathfrak{F} = \{\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j f_j : \beta' f(c) = 0\}$ has the Chebyshev property on $[a,b]$. In particular at least one of $f_j(c)$,

$j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, say $f_1(c)$, must be non-zero and the system $\{g_i\}_{i=2}^m$ is a

T-system for \mathfrak{F} , where

$$(2.1) \quad g_i(x) = f_i(x) - \frac{f_i(c)}{f_1(c)} f_1(x).$$

Proof: It is easily checked that the span of $\{g_i\}_{i=2}^m$ is \exists if $f_1(c) \neq 0$.

Let $a \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_{m-1} \leq b$ and form the determinants

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} g_2(\tau_1) \dots g_2(\tau_{m-1}) \\ \vdots \\ g_m(\tau_1) \dots g_m(\tau_{m-1}) \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(\tau_1) \dots f_1(c) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(\tau_1) \dots f_m(c) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Since $A = (-1)^m f_1(c) D$ and the determinants A do not change sign the determinants D must not change sign. \square

Theorem 2.1. The problem \tilde{P}_η has a solution $f_0 \in W_m^2[a, c]$. If the null space of L has the Chebyshev property then there exist points $a \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m \leq b$ and $q = 0$ or 1 such that

$$f_0(x_i) = (-1)^{i+q} \sup_{a < x < b} |f_0(x)|$$

and the solution is unique if $\eta > 0$.

Proof: If $\eta = 0$ clearly $\tilde{\rho}_\eta(f_0) = 0$ and there are many solutions. If $\eta > 0$ let $f_n \in W_m^2[a, c]$ be such that $\tilde{\rho}_\eta(f_n) \downarrow \inf \tilde{\rho}_\eta(f)$. The sequence Lf_n satisfies $\|Lf_n\|_2^2 \leq \tilde{\rho}_\eta(f_n)$. Since $\|h\|_2 \leq k$ is weakly sequentially compact in $L_2[a, c]$ there is an element $u \in L_2[a, c]$ and a subsequence n' such that $Lf_{n'} \xrightarrow{w} u$. We may write, for $a \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \dots < \tau_m \leq b$,

$$f_{n'}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_{n'}(\tau_i) \phi_{\tau_i}(x) + \int_a^c h_x(s) Lf_{n'}(s) ds.$$

The integrals $\int_a^c h_x(s) Lf_{n'}(s) ds$ converge to $\int_a^c h_x(s) u(s) ds$ for all $x \in [a, c]$.

Since $\sup_{a < x < b} |f_{n'}(x)| \leq \tilde{\rho}_\eta(f_n)$ all of the m sequences $\{f_{n'}(\tau_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ are bounded. Appealing again to sequential compactness of \mathbb{R}^1 we may assume that the

sequence n' has been chosen to satisfy $f_{n'}(\tau_i) \rightarrow \alpha_i$ also. Define the function $f_0 \in W_m^2[a,c]$ by

$$f_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \phi_{\tau_i}(x) + \int_a^c h_x(s)u(s)ds.$$

Since $\|u\|_2 \leq \liminf \|Lf_n\|_2$ it is clear that $\tilde{\rho}_n(f_0) \leq \inf \tilde{\rho}_n(f)$. Since $f_n(x) \rightarrow f_0(x)$ for all x , $f_0(c) = 1$. The first assertion of the theorem has been proven.

For the following arguments we shall employ the notation of [1] in counting the zeros of a continuous function on $[a,b]$. If $x_0 \in (a,b)$ is an isolated zero of f and f does not change sign at x_0 then x_0 is termed a non-nodal zero. All other zeros, including zeros at the endpoints are nodal zeros. For any such function $\tilde{Z}(f)$ is the number of zeros in $[a,b]$ counting one for each nodal zero and two for each non-nodal zero. Suppose that g is a continuous function on $[a,b]$ and there are points $a \leq x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_m \leq b$ and $q \in \{0,1\}$ such that $g(x_i) = (-1)^{i+q} \|g\|_\infty$, $i=1, \dots, m$. If there exists a point $x_0 \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and a continuous function h such that $h(x_0) = g(x_0)$ then $\|h\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$ entails $\tilde{Z}(g-h) \geq m - 1$. Consider the collection of functions on $[a,c]$ $\{\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j f_j : \alpha' f(c) = 0\}$. Their restriction to $[a,b]$ is a Chebyshev system spanned by the Chebyshev system g_2, \dots, g_m which may be defined, if $f_1(c) \neq 0$, except for the sign of one of them, from (2.1). By Bernstein's theorem (see [1]) there are constants β_1, \dots, β_m such that $\beta' f(c) = 0$, and the function $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j f_j = g_0$ is the minimax approximant to f_0 on $[a,b]$. Therefore there exist m points $a \leq x_1 < \dots < x_m \leq b$ and a $q \in \{0,1\}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad (g_0(x_i) - f_0(x_i))(-1)^{i+q} = \|g_0 - f_0\|_\infty,$$

where $\|g_0 - f_0\|_\infty = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |g_0(x) - f_0(x)|$. Also

$$\|g_0 - f_0\|_\infty = \inf\{\|\sum \beta_j f_j - f_0\|_\infty : \beta' f(c) = 0\}.$$

Since $Lg_0 = 0$ on $[a, c]$ and $\beta' f(c) = 0$ entails $(f_0 - g_0)(c) = 1$ we must have $\|f_0\|_\infty = \|f_0 - g_0\|_\infty$. Furthermore there must be a point $x \in [a, b]$ at which $f_0(x) = f_0(x) - g_0(x) = \pm \|f_0\|_\infty$. We conclude $\tilde{Z}(f_0 - (f_0 - g_0)) \geq m - 1$ on $[a, b]$. However if $f_0 - (f_0 - g_0) = g_0$ is a non-trivial polynomial in the system g_2, \dots, g_m we must have by Theorem 4.2 of [1] $\tilde{Z}(g_0) \leq m - 2$. We conclude that g_0 , the best approximant from \mathfrak{F} on $[a, b]$ is zero. Consequently f_0 itself equioscillates in the sense of (2.2).

We now verify the uniqueness of the solution when the null space of L is spanned by a T-system $\{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$. Because the norm on the Hilbert space $L_2[a, c]$ is strictly convex, if h_0 and h_1 are two solutions to the \tilde{P}_η problem then $\tilde{\rho}_\eta(\alpha h_0 + (1-\alpha)h_1) < \tilde{\rho}_\eta(h_0)$ unless $kLh_1 = Lh_0$, a.e. on $[a, c]$ for some constant k . Since $\|\alpha h_0 + (1-\alpha)h_1\|_\infty^2 \leq \alpha \|h_0\|_\infty^2 + (1-\alpha) \|h_1\|_\infty^2$, where $\|h\|_\infty = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |h(x)|$, we have $\tilde{\rho}_\eta(\alpha h_0 + (1-\alpha)h_1) \leq \psi(\alpha)$ where the function ψ is defined by

$$\psi(\alpha) = \alpha \|h_0\|_\infty^2 + (1-\alpha) \|h_1\|_\infty^2 + \eta(k + \alpha(1-k))^2 \|Lh_0\|_2^2.$$

Note that $\psi(0) = \psi(1) = \tilde{\rho}_\eta(h_0)$ and $\psi''(\alpha) = 2\eta(1-k)^2 \|Lh_0\|_2^2$. Thus, whether $\|Lh_0\| = 0$ or $\|Lh_0\| > 0$ we must have $\|h_0\|_\infty = \|h_1\|_\infty$. Again it must be the case that h_0 and h_1 share a common extreme value at one of their points of equioscillation. Therefore $\tilde{Z}(h_0 - h_1) \geq m - 1$. If $Lh_0 = 0$ then $h_0 - h_1 \in \mathfrak{F}$ and of necessity $\tilde{Z} \leq m - 2$ unless $h_0 = h_1$. If $\|Lh_0\| > 0$ then $k = 1$ so that again, $h_0 - h_1$ is in $\mathfrak{F} = \{\sum \beta_j f_j : \beta' f(c) = 0\}$ and $\tilde{Z} \leq m - 2$. We conclude that in any case $h_0 = h_1$ proving that the solution is unique. \square

3. Exact solution to P_η . A requirement of our method of proof is the following theorem. Let \mathcal{X} be an index set, $\mathfrak{H}_0, \mathfrak{H}_1$, and \mathfrak{H}_2 be three Hilbert spaces,

$\{m_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$ be a collection of bounded linear mappings from \mathfrak{H}_0 into \mathfrak{H}_1 , and T be a bounded linear mapping from \mathfrak{H}_0 into \mathfrak{H}_2 . Let h^* be a given non-zero bounded linear functional on \mathfrak{H}_0 and set $U = \{h \in \mathfrak{H}_0 : h^*(h) = 1\}$. Equip \mathcal{X} with a topology for which every point of \mathcal{X} is a Borel measurable set and let Ξ denote the collection of all Borel probability measures ξ on \mathcal{X} whose supports $S(\xi)$ are finite sets.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose there is a point $h_0 \in U$, a measure $\xi_0 \in \Xi$, and $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

- i) $S(\xi_0) \subset \{x : \|m_x(h_0)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1} = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|m_x(h_0)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}\}$,
- ii) $\int (m_x^* m_x h_0 + T^* T h_0) d\xi_0(x) = \alpha h^*$, and
- iii) $\int (\|m_x h\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2) d\xi_0(x) = 0$ implies $h = 0$.

Then among all $h \in U$ h_0 minimizes $\sup_{\mathcal{X}} (\|m_x(h)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2)$.

Proof: Let $N(\xi) = \{h \in \mathfrak{H}_0 : \int (\|m_x(h)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2) d\xi(x) > 0\}$ and

$$d(h^*, \xi) = \sup_{h \in N(\xi)} \frac{[h^*(h)]^2}{\int (\|m_x(h)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2) d\xi(x)}.$$

Clearly

$$(3.1) \quad d(h^*, \xi) \geq \left[\inf_{h \in N(\xi) \cap U} \int (\|m_x(h)\|_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathfrak{H}_2}^2) d\xi(x) \right]^{-1}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{N \cap U} \int (\|m_x h\|^2 + \|Th\|^2) d\xi &\leq \inf_{N \cap U} \sup_{\mathcal{X}} (\|m_x h\|^2 + \|Th\|^2) \\ &\leq \sup_{\mathcal{X}} \|m_x h_0\|^2 + \|Th_0\|^2 = S \end{aligned}$$

we have $d(h^*, \xi) \geq \frac{1}{S}$ for all $\xi \in \Xi$.

Using ii) we have for ξ_0

$$\begin{aligned} d(h^*, \xi_0) &= \sup_{N(\xi_0)} \frac{\alpha^{-2} (f[(m_x h, m_x h_0)_{\mathbb{H}_1} + (Th, Th_0)_{\mathbb{H}_2}] d\xi_0(x))^2}{f(\|m_x h\|_{\mathbb{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2) d\xi_0(x)} \\ &\leq \sup_{N(\xi_0)} \frac{S\alpha^{-2} [f(\sqrt{\|m_x(h)\|_{\mathbb{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2}) d\xi_0(x)]^2}{f(\|m_x h\|_{\mathbb{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2) d\xi_0(x)} \\ &\leq S\alpha^{-2} = S(S^{-2}) = \frac{1}{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by (3.1) $d(h^*, \xi_0) = \frac{1}{S} \geq [\inf_{U \cap N(\xi_0)} \sup_{\mathcal{X}} (\|m_x h\|_{\mathbb{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2)]^{-1}$ we

have $\inf_{U \cap N(\xi_0)} \sup_{\mathcal{X}} (\|m_x h\|_{\mathbb{H}_1}^2 + \|Th\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2) \geq \sup_{\mathcal{X}} (\|m_x(h_0)\|_{\mathbb{H}_1}^2 + \|Th_0\|_{\mathbb{H}_2}^2)$.

By iii) $N(\xi_0) = \mathbb{H}_0 - \{0\}$ and we have proven the theorem. \square

We shall prove below that there are points $x_1 < x_2$ in $[a, b]$ such that the representation (1.1) holds when $L = D^2$. In the general case their locations in $[a, b]$ will depend upon η . For this particular case we show that $x_2(\eta) \equiv b$ and the location of $x_1(\eta)$ is determined as follows. Let

$$\eta_0 = \frac{(b-a)^2}{24} \left[\frac{1}{b-a} + \frac{1}{2(c-b)} \right]^{-1}.$$

For $\eta \geq \eta_0$, $x_1(\eta) = a$. For $0 < \eta < \eta_0$, $x_1(\eta)$ is the unique real solution to

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{(b-x)^2}{24 \eta} - \frac{1}{2(c-b)} = \frac{1}{b-x}$$

in $[a, b]$. By $x_1(\eta)$ below we shall mean the function which has just been defined. In the case of $L = D^2$, $\phi_{x_1}(x) = \frac{x-x_2}{x_1-x_2}$, and $\phi_{x_2}(x) = \frac{x-x_1}{x_2-x_1}$.

Theorem 3.2. With $x_1(\eta)$ as above $x_2(\eta) \equiv b$ and $q = 0$ the conjectured solution (1.1), with $L = D^2$, solves P_η .

Proof: Note that $f_0(c) = 1$. Fix n and let ξ_0 be the probability measure on $[a, b]$ satisfying $\xi_0(x_1) = \frac{|\phi_{x_1}(c)|}{|\phi_{x_1}(c)| + |\phi_{x_2}(c)|}$ and $\xi_0(x_2) = 1 - \xi_0(x_1)$. Every function f in $W_2^2[a, c]$ may be written

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^2 f(x_i) \phi_{x_i}(x) + \int_a^c h_x(s) Lf(s) ds.$$

We have, setting $\mathfrak{H}_0 = W_2^2[a, c]$, $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathfrak{H}_2 = L_2[a, c]$, h^* the evaluation functional in $W_2^2[a, c]$ at c , the mappings $m_x(h) = h(x)$, $Tf = \sqrt{n} f''$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (m_x^* m_x f_0 + T^* T f_0) d\xi_0(x)(f) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_0(x_i) f(x_i) f_0(x_i) + n \int_a^c f_0''(s) f''(s) ds \\ &= [s^2(n) + nz^2(n)]^{-1} \left\{ \frac{nz(n)}{z(n)} \sum_{i=1}^2 |\phi_{x_i}(c)| (-1)^i f(x_i) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + n \int_a^c h_c(s) f''(s) ds \right\} \\ &= n [s^2(n) + nz^2(n)]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^2 \phi_{x_i}(c) f(x_i) + \int_a^c h_c(s) f''(s) ds \right] \\ &= n [s^2(n) + nz^2(n)]^{-1} f(c). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ii) of Theorem 3.1 holds with $\alpha = n [s^2(n) + nz^2(n)]^{-1}$ and $h_0 = f_0$. The condition iii) is also satisfied by ξ_0 .

In order to verify i) notice that

$$-f_0(x_1) = f_0(x_2) = nz(n) [s^2(n) + nz^2(n)]^{-1}$$

and by straightforward but tedious computation, for $x \in [a, b]$

$$f_0'(x) = \frac{4n(c - (\frac{x_1 - b}{2}))}{(b - x_1)^2} - \frac{(b - x_1)(c - b)}{6} + \int_a^x h_c(s) ds,$$

where

$$\int_a^x h_c(s) ds = \begin{cases} 0 \leq x \leq x_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} (x-x_1)^2 \frac{(c-b)}{b-x_1} & x_1 \leq x \leq b . \end{cases}$$

With $x_1(\eta)$ as defined above one can check that for $0 < \eta < \eta_0$, $f'_0(x) = 0$ for $x \in [a, x_1]$ and $f'_0(x) > 0$ for $x \in (x_1, b)$. For $\eta \geq \eta_0$, $f'_0(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [a, b]$.

Therefore i) holds and the theorem has been proven. \square

4. Solution to the control problems C_E . The corridor problem C_E may be solved by minimizing $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|$ over $f \in W_2^2$ subject to $f(c) = 1$ and

$$\int_a^c (f''(t))^2 dt = \|f''\|_2^2 \leq E. \text{ If } f_0 \text{ does this the trajectory of the solution}$$

$x(t)$ to C_E satisfies $x(t) = (c-t, f_0(c-t))$, for $t \in [0, c-a]$.

Lemma 4.1. If $E \geq 3(c-b)^{-3}$ then there is a solution f_0 satisfying $\|f_0\|_\infty = 0$ and $\|f_0''\|_2^2 = 3(c-b)^{-3}$. If $E < 3(c-b)^{-3}$ the problem C_E has a unique solution f_0 . The solution f_0 must satisfy $\|f_0''\|_2^2 = E$.

Proof: Every function f in $W_2^2[a, c]$ may be written

$$f(x) = f(b) + f'(b)(x-b) + \int_b^x \int_b^s f''(t) dt ds.$$

If we take $f(b) = f'(b) \equiv f''(t) \equiv 0$ on $[a, b]$ then

$$1 = f(c) = \int_b^c \int_b^s f''(t) dt ds = \int_b^c (c-t) f''(t) dt$$

and by Schwarz's inequality the latter integral is no larger than

$\|f''\|_2 \sqrt{\frac{(c-b)^3}{3}}$. Therefore if f is to satisfy $\|f\|_\infty = 0$ in addition to the other conditions, then $\|f''\|_2^2 \geq 3(c-b)^{-3}$ with equality if and only if

$f''(t) = k(c-t)$ on $[b, c]$. The first assertion has been verified.

The verification that a solution exists is similar to the proof of the existence of a solution to \tilde{P}_η and will not be repeated here.

We next verify that if $E < 3(c-b)^{-3}$ then every solution must satisfy $\|f_0''\|_2^2 = E$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\|f_0''\|_2^2 = \alpha < E$. Consider for $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ the functions g_ε satisfying $g_\varepsilon(s) = (1-\varepsilon)f_0(s)$ for $s \in [a,b]$ and $g_\varepsilon(s) = k(c-s)$ for $s \in [b,c]$ where k satisfies

$$1 = (1-\varepsilon)[f_0(b)+f_0'(b)(c-b)] + k \frac{(c-b)^3}{3}.$$

Using the fact that $f_0(s) = k_2(c-s)$, $s \in [b,c]$ and

$$1 = f_0(b) + f_0'(b)(c-b) + k_2 \frac{(c-b)^3}{3}$$

it can be verified that

$$\|g_\varepsilon''\|_2^2 \leq \|f_0''\|_2^2 + K\varepsilon = \alpha + K\varepsilon.$$

For ε_0 sufficiently small we have $\|g_{\varepsilon_0}''\|_2^2 < E$ and

$$\|g_{\varepsilon_0}\|_\infty = (1-\varepsilon_0)\|f_0\|_\infty < \|f_0\|_\infty$$

contradicting the assumption that f_0 solves C_E .

An argument similar to that used in Theorem 2.1 may now be used to prove that the solutions equioscillate and are unique. \square

Let g_η solve P_η and define the function $\varphi(\eta) = \|g_\eta''\|_2^2$. Straightforward but tedious calculation reveals that

$$\varphi(\eta) = \left[\left(\frac{(c-b)^2(c-a)}{3} \right) \left(1 + 3\eta \frac{(c-a)}{(c-b)(b-a)^2} \right)^2 \right]^{-1}, \quad \eta \geq \eta_0$$

and

$$\varphi(\eta) = \left[\left(\frac{(c-b)^2(c-x_1)}{3} \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{b-x_1}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{c-x_1} + \frac{1}{c-b} \right) \right)^2 \right]^{-1}, \quad 0 < \eta < \eta_0.$$

Clearly $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\eta) = 0$ and from (3.2) $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \varphi(\eta) = \frac{3}{(c-b)^3}$. Also $\varphi'(\eta) < 0$.

Theorem 4.1. If $E > 0$ is a given positive number then the function f_E minimizing $\sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|$ among all functions $f \in W_2^2[a, c]$ for which $f(c) = 1$ and $\int_a^c (f''(x))^2 dt \leq E$ yielding the solution $x_E(t) = (c-t, f_E(c-t))$, $t \in [0, c-a]$, to the corridor problem C_E may be found as follows.

- i) If $E \geq 3(c-b)^{-3}$ then there are many solutions. The one using the least energy satisfies $\|f\|_\infty = 0$ and $f''(x) = \frac{3(c-x)}{(c-b)^3}$.
- ii) If $E < 3(c-b)^{-3}$ there is a solution to $\varphi(\eta) = E$ and the unique solution to C_E is the solution to $P_{\varphi^{-1}(E)}$.

Proof: The assertion i) has already been proven in Lemma 4.1. The range of φ is $(0, 3(c-b)^{-3})$ and φ^{-1} exists by the remarks preceding the theorem. Let $\eta = \varphi^{-1}(E)$. By lemma 4.1 C_E has a unique solution, call it g_0 , and furthermore $\|g_0''\|_2^2 = E$. By theorem 3.2 P_η has the unique solution f_0 . By definition of the function φ , $\|f_0''\|_2^2 = E$. Our claim is that $f_0 = g_0$. Since f_0 solves P_η we have $\rho_\eta(g_0) \geq \rho_\eta(f_0)$. This implies that $\|g_0\|_\infty \geq \|f_0\|_\infty$ and therefore that f_0 also solves C_E . The unicity of the solution shows that $f_0 = g_0$ as asserted. \square

If $E = 0$ f_0 has a graph which is a straight line passing through $(\frac{a+b}{2}, 0)$ and $(c, 1)$.

5. Acknowledgment. Portions of this work were done during a sabbatical year spent in the Department of Statistics at Purdue University.

References

- [1] Karlin, S. and Studden, W. J. Tchebyshev systems: with applications in analysis and statistics. Wiley, Interscience, New York, 1966.
- [2] Speckman, P. Minimax estimates of linear functionals in a Hilbert space. To appear in Ann. Statist.
- [3] Spruill, M. C. Optimal designs for second order processes with general linear means. Ann. Statist. 8 (1980) pp 652-663.
- [4] Spruill, M. Carl. Optimal designs using Speckman's minimax linear estimator. Purdue Mimeo Series 81-49.