

Asymptotic Expansions for Distributions of the Roots
of Two Matrices from Classical and Complex
Gaussian Populations*

by

Hung C. Li and K. C. S. Pillai

Department of Statistics
Division of Mathematical Sciences

Mimeograph Series No. 198

August 1969

* This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. GP-7663 and No. GP-11473.

Asymptotic Expansions for Distributions of the Roots
of Two Matrices from Classical and Complex
Gaussian Populations*

by

Hung C. Li and K. C. S. Pillai

Purdue University

1. Introduction and Summary

The distribution of the characteristic (ch.) roots of a sample covariance matrix \underline{S} (one-sample case) or the matrix $\underline{S}_1 \underline{S}_2^{-1}$ (two-sample case, see Section 3) depends on a definite integral over the group of orthogonal (in the complex case replaced by unitary) matrices. This integral, either in the one-sample case or the two-sample case, involves the ch. roots of both the population and sample matrices. Usually the integral in either case is expressed as a hypergeometric series involving zonal polynomials [4], [7]. Unfortunately, these series converge slowly unless the ch. roots of the argument matrices lie in very limited ranges. Furthermore, the computation of these series are not so easy and not convenient for further development. In the one-sample real case, Anderson [1] has obtained an asymptotic expansion for the distribution of the ch. roots of the sample covariance matrix. In the two-sample case, however, the situation is more complicated. Chang [2] has obtained an asymptotic expansion for the first term. In Sections 3 and 4 of this paper, we extend Chang's results obtaining the second term and also derive a general formula which includes the formulae of Anderson [1], James [8], Chang [2]

* This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. GP-7663 and No. GP-11473.

and Roy [13] as limiting or special cases. In Sections 5 and 6 we obtain asymptotic expansions in the one-sample and two-sample cases in the complex Gaussian population. Finally, Section 7 gives a comparison of the four asymptotic expansions.

2. Notation

Before proceeding further, we list the notations which will be used throughout.

The letters j, k, s, t, p, q, m and n with or without subscripts will denote positive integers, and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Matrices will be denoted by bold face capital letters and their dimensions are all $p \times p$ unless otherwise stated. In particular, \underline{S} and $\underline{\Sigma}$ with or without subscripts denote the sample and population covariance matrices respectively. $\underline{A}, \underline{B}, \underline{R}$, and $\underline{\Theta}$ are diagonal matrices, and \underline{I} , identity matrix. $\underline{H}, \underline{Q}$ and \underline{U} denote Hermitian, orthogonal and unitary matrices respectively. \underline{U}' is the transpose of \underline{U} , and \underline{U}^* is the complex conjugate and transpose of \underline{U} . $O(p)$ and $U(p)$ are the groups of all $p \times p$ orthogonal and unitary matrices respectively. $|\alpha|$ denotes the absolute value of α , and $|\underline{X}|$ denotes the determinant of \underline{X} . \bar{h}_{jk} is the conjugate of h_{jk} . h_{jkR} and h_{jkI} are the real and imaginary parts of h_{jk} . h_{jkc} denotes either h_{jkR} or h_{jkI} . Summation $\sum_{j=1}^p$ or $\sum_{j<k}$ means $\sum_{j=1}^p$ or $\sum_{j<k}^p$. Product $\prod_{j=1}^p$ or $\prod_{i<k}$ means $\prod_{j=1}^p$ or $\prod_{j<k}^p$ unless otherwise stated.

3. The Asymptotic Expansion of \mathcal{J}

Let S_j ($j = 1, 2$) be independently distributed as Wishart $(n_j, p, \underline{\Sigma}_j)$, and let the ch. roots of $\underline{S}_1 \underline{S}_2^{-1}$ and $(\underline{\Sigma}_1 \underline{\Sigma}_2^{-1})^{-1}$ be b_k and a_k ($k=1, \dots, p$)

respectively such that $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$ and $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$.

Further, let us denote

$$\tilde{A} = \text{diag} (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p)$$

$$\tilde{B} = \text{diag} (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p)$$

and $n = n_1 + n_2$. Then the joint distribution of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p is given by [7], [10]

$$(3.1) \quad C \prod_{j=1}^p a_j^{\frac{1}{2}n_1} b_j^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{j < k} (b_j - b_k) \prod_{j=1}^p db_j$$

$$\cdot \int_{O(p)} |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}\tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (\tilde{Q}'d\tilde{Q}),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad C = \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n) \{2^p \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2)\}^{-1},$$

$$\Gamma_\ell(x) = \pi^{\frac{1}{4}\ell(\ell-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \Gamma(x - \frac{1}{2}j + \frac{1}{2}),$$

and $(\tilde{Q}'d\tilde{Q})$ is the invariant measure on the group $O(p)$.

From (3.1) we know that the distribution of the ch. roots of $\tilde{S}_1 \tilde{S}_2^{-1}$ depends on the definite integral

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{J} = \int_{O(p)} |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}\tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (\tilde{Q}'d\tilde{Q}).$$

Let us transform first

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{Q} = e^{\tilde{S}}$$

where \tilde{S} is a skew symmetric matrix (Note that "S" was also used as the sample covariance matrix). The Jacobian of this transformation has been

computed by Anderson (c.f. (2.3) of [1]), and is given by

$$(3.5) \quad J = 1 + \frac{p-2}{4!} \operatorname{tr} \tilde{S}^2 + \frac{8-p}{4(6!)} \operatorname{tr} \tilde{S}^4 \\ + \frac{5p^2-20p+14}{8(6!)} (\operatorname{tr} \tilde{S}^2)^2 + \dots$$

Lemma 3.1. Let \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} be defined as before, then $f(\tilde{Q}) = |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{Q}\tilde{B}\tilde{Q}'|$, $\tilde{Q} \in O(p)$, attains its identical minimum value $|\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}|$ when \tilde{Q} is of the form

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & & & 0 \\ & \pm 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Proof. See [1] and [2].

Lemma 3.1 allows us to claim that, for large n , the integrand in (3.3) is negligible except for small neighborhoods about each of these matrices of (3.6) and \tilde{I} consists of identical contributions from each of these neighborhoods, so that

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{J} = 2^p \int_{N(\tilde{I})} |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{Q}\tilde{B}\tilde{Q}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (\tilde{Q}' d\tilde{Q}) ,$$

where $N(\tilde{I})$ is a neighborhood of the identity matrix on the orthogonal manifold.

Lemma 3.2. Let g_j ($j=1, \dots, p$) be the ch. roots of \tilde{G} such that

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |g_j| < 1$$

then

$$|\tilde{I} + \tilde{G}|^{-\frac{1}{2}m} = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}m \operatorname{tr} \left(\tilde{G} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{G}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\tilde{G}^3 - \dots \right) \right\} .$$

Proof: See [2].

Since we wish to compute up to the second term in the asymptotic expansion of \mathcal{J} , we need to investigate the groups of terms up to the fourth order of \tilde{S} . Under transformation (3.4), we have

$$|\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{Q}\tilde{B}\tilde{Q}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} = |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} |\tilde{I} + \{\tilde{S}\} + \{\tilde{S}^2\} + \{\tilde{S}^3\} + \{\tilde{S}^4\} + \dots|^{-\frac{1}{2}n},$$

where

$$\{\tilde{S}\} = \tilde{R}\tilde{S}\tilde{B} - \tilde{R}\tilde{B}\tilde{S},$$

$$\{\tilde{S}^2\} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{R}\tilde{B}\tilde{S}^2 + \tilde{R}\tilde{S}^2\tilde{B} - 2\tilde{R}\tilde{S}\tilde{B}\tilde{S}),$$

$$\{\tilde{S}^3\} = \frac{1}{6}(\tilde{R}\tilde{S}^3\tilde{B} - 3\tilde{R}\tilde{S}^2\tilde{B}\tilde{S} + 3\tilde{R}\tilde{S}\tilde{B}\tilde{S}^2 - \tilde{R}\tilde{B}\tilde{S}^3),$$

$$\{\tilde{S}^4\} = \frac{1}{24}(\tilde{R}\tilde{B}\tilde{S}^4 - 4\tilde{R}\tilde{S}\tilde{B}\tilde{S}^3 + 6\tilde{R}\tilde{S}^2\tilde{B}\tilde{S}^2 - 4\tilde{R}\tilde{S}^3\tilde{B}\tilde{S} + \tilde{R}\tilde{S}^4\tilde{B})$$

and

$$\tilde{R} = (\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B})^{-1}\tilde{A} = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p), \quad r_j = \frac{a_j}{1+a_j b_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, p).$$

Under transformation (3.4), it has $N(\tilde{I}) \rightarrow N(\tilde{S}=0)$. If we put

$\tilde{G} = \{\tilde{S}\} + \{\tilde{S}^2\} + \{\tilde{S}^3\} + \{\tilde{S}^4\} + \dots$, then in the neighborhoods of $\tilde{S}=0$, the elements of \tilde{S} are very small, and hence the maximum ch. roots of

\tilde{G} can be assumed to be less than unity. Therefore Lemma 3.2 is applicable.

By Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{Q}\tilde{B}\tilde{Q}'|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} &= |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} |\tilde{I} + \tilde{G}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \\ &= |\tilde{I} + \tilde{A}\tilde{B}|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{n}{2} \text{tr}([\tilde{S}] + [\tilde{S}^2] + [\tilde{S}^3] + [\tilde{S}^4] + \dots) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$[\underline{S}] = \{S\} ,$$

$$[\underline{S}^2] = \{S^2\} - \frac{1}{2}\{S\}^2 ,$$

$$[\underline{S}^3] = \{S^3\} - \frac{1}{2}\{S\}\{S^2\} - \frac{1}{2}\{S^2\}\{S\} + \frac{1}{3}\{S\}^3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\underline{S}^4] &= \{S^4\} - \frac{1}{2}\{S\}\{S^3\} - \frac{1}{2}\{S^3\}\{S\} - \frac{1}{2}\{S^2\}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\{S\}^2\{S^2\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}\{S\}\{S^2\}\{S\} + \frac{1}{3}\{S^2\}\{S\}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\{S\}^4 . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\underline{S} = (s_{jk})$, $s_{kj} = -s_{jk}$ for all $j, k=1, \dots, p$, now we have

$$\text{tr}[\underline{S}] = \text{tr}(\underline{RSB} - \underline{RBS}) = 0 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^2] &= \text{tr}(\{S^2\} - \frac{1}{2}\{S\}^2) \\ &= \text{tr}(\frac{1}{2}\underline{RBS}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\underline{RS}^2\underline{B} - \underline{RSBS} - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{RBSRBS} + \underline{RSBRBS} - \underline{RBSRSB} - \underline{RSBRBS})) \\ &= \text{tr}(\underline{BS} - \underline{SB})(\underline{I} - \underline{RB})\underline{SR} \\ &= \sum_{j < k} c_{jk} s_{jk}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} c_{jk} = (r_{kj} - r_j r_k b_{jk}) b_{jk} = c_{kj} \\ r_{jk} = r_j - r_k \quad \text{and} \quad b_{jk} = b_j - b_k \end{cases} .$$

Let us note that $\text{tr}\{S\}\{S^2\} = \text{tr}\{S^2\}\{S\}$, $\text{tr}\{S\}\{S^3\} = \text{tr}\{S^3\}\{S\}$ and $\text{tr}\{S\}^2\{S^2\} = \text{tr}\{S\}\{S^2\}\{S\} = \text{tr}\{S^2\}\{S\}^2$. Similarly, after simplification, we find

$$\text{tr}[\underline{S}^3] = \text{tr}\{S^3\} - \text{tr}\{S\}\{S^2\} + \frac{1}{3}\{S\}^3 = \sum_{j < k < t} f \cdot s_{jk} s_{kt} s_{tj}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad f = f(j, k, t) &= r_{jk} b_{kt} - r_{kt} b_{jk} + r_j r_{kt} b_{jk} b_{jt} + r_k r_{jt} b_{jk} b_{kt} \\
&+ r_t r_{jk} b_{jt} b_{kt} - 2r_j r_k r_t b_{jk} b_{kt} b_{jt} \quad , \\
\text{tr}[\tilde{S}^4] &= \text{tr}\{\tilde{S}^4\} - \text{tr}\{\tilde{S}\}\{\tilde{S}^3\} - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\{\tilde{S}^2\}^2 + \text{tr}\{\tilde{S}\}^2\{\tilde{S}^2\} - \frac{1}{4}\{\tilde{S}\}^4 \\
&= \sum_{j < k} \varphi \cdot s_{jk}^4 = \sum_{j < k < t} \psi_1 s_{jk}^2 s_{jt}^2 + \sum_{j < k < t} \psi_2 \cdot s_{jk}^2 s_{kt}^2 \\
&+ \sum_{j < k < t} \psi_3 \cdot s_{jt}^2 s_{kt}^2 + \sum_{j < k \neq t \neq u} \psi_4 \cdot s_{jk} s_{kt} s_{tu} s_{uj} \quad ,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad \varphi = \varphi(j, k) &= (r_j r_k b_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{3}) r_{kj} b_{jk} + (\frac{1}{3} r_j r_k - \frac{1}{2} r_{kj}^2) b_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{2} r_j^2 r_k^2 b_{jk}^4 \\
&= -\frac{1}{3} c_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} c_{jk}^2 \quad ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad \psi_1 = \psi_1(j, k, t) &= -\frac{1}{3} r_{kj} b_{jk} - \frac{1}{3} r_{tj} b_{jt} + \frac{1}{4} r_{tk} b_{kt} \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} r_j (r_k b_{jk}^2 + r_t b_{jt}^2) + r_j (r_k + r_t) b_{jk} b_{jt} \\
&- r_j^2 b_{jk} b_{jt} - \frac{1}{4} r_k r_t (b_{jk} + b_{jt})^2 \\
&- r_j (r_k b_{jk} r_{jt} + r_t r_{jk} b_{jt} + r_j r_k r_t b_{jk} b_{jt}) b_{jk} b_{jt} \\
&= -\frac{1}{3} (c_{jk} + c_{jt}) + \frac{1}{4} c_{kt} - c_{jk} c_{jt}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
g = g(j, k, t, u) &= \frac{1}{2} (r_{tj} b_{jt} + r_{uk} b_{ku}) - \frac{1}{3} (r_{kj} b_{jk} + r_{tk} b_{kt} + r_{ut} b_{tu} + r_{ju} b_{uj}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} r_j r_k b_{jk} (b_{jk} + 3b_{tu}) + \frac{1}{3} r_k r_t b_{kt} (b_{kt} + 3b_{uj}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} r_t r_u b_{tu} (b_{tu} + 3b_{jk}) + \frac{1}{3} r_u r_j b_{uj} (b_{uj} + 3b_{kt}) \\
&- \frac{1}{2} r_j r_t (b_{jk} - b_{kt}) (b_{tu} - b_{uj}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} r_k r_u (b_{jk} - b_{uj}) (b_{kt} - b_{tu}) - r_j r_k b_{jk} b_{kt} r_{tu} b_{uj} \\
&- r_k r_t b_{jk} b_{kt} b_{tu} r_{uj} - r_t r_u r_{jk} b_{kt} b_{tu} b_{uj} \\
&- r_u r_j b_{jk} r_{kt} b_{tu} b_{uj} - 2r_j r_k r_t r_u b_{jk} b_{kt} b_{tu} b_{uj} \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

Note that ψ_2 and ψ_3 can be obtained from ψ_1 cyclically, i.e., changing j to k , k to t , t to j , then ψ_1 becoming ψ_2 , ψ_2 becoming ψ_3 and ψ_3 becoming ψ_1 . Moreover, we need not know the value of g , because any term containing an odd power of a factor s_{jk} when integrated with respect to s_{jk} reduces to zero (see below). From (3.9) it is not difficult to show that $f^2 = c_{jk}^2 + c_{jt}^2 + c_{kt}^2 - 2(c_{jk}c_{jt} + c_{jk}c_{kt} + c_{jt}c_{kt}) - 4c_{jk}c_{kt}c_{jt}$.

Finally, we can write (3.7) to be

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{J} = 2^P \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{N(\underline{S}=0)} \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{j < k} c_{jk} s_{jk}^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^3] - \frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^4] - \dots\right) J \prod_{j < k} ds_{jk}.$$

If this integration is to be performed term by term on the expansion of $\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^3] - \dots\right) J$ then for large n , the limits for each s_{jk} can be put to $\pm \infty$, since each integration is of the form

$$\int_{N(\underline{S}=0)} \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \sum_{j < k} c_{jk} s_{jk}^2\right) \prod_{j < k} s_{jk}^{m_{jk}} ds_{jk}$$

and most of this integral is given in a small neighborhood of $\underline{S}=0$. The m_{jk} 's are positive even integers or zero since any term containing an odd power of an s_{jk} as a factor will integrate to zero. We expand $\exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^3] - \dots\right) J$, writing the terms in groups, each group corresponding to a certain value of m . We have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^3] - \frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^4] - \dots\right) J \\ &= 1 - \frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^4] + \frac{n^2}{8} (\text{tr}[\underline{S}^3])^2 + \frac{n^2}{4!} \text{tr} \underline{S}^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{n}{2} \text{tr}[\underline{S}^6] + \frac{n^2}{8} (\text{tr}[\underline{S}^4])^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6a) and (2.6b) of [1], we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} be diagonal matrices with $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ and $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$. Then for large n , the first two terms in the expansion for \mathcal{J} are given by

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{J} = 2^p \prod_{j=1}^p (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j < k} \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jk}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \left[\sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} + \alpha(p) \right] + \dots \right\},$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad \alpha(p) = p(p-1)(2p+5)/12.$$

Proof: In the proof, we include only terms without an odd power of an s_{jk} . First note that only the second, third and fourth terms on the right hand side of (3.13) contribute the factor n^{-1} . After integration, the first term unity has been shown [1] to have

$$(3.16) \quad K = \prod_{j < k} \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jk}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The second term $-n \operatorname{tr}[S^4]/2$ contributes

$$(3.17) \quad K \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} + \frac{3}{4n} \binom{p}{2} + \frac{p-2}{3n} \sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{8n} \sum_{j < k < t} \left(\frac{c_{kt}}{c_{jk} c_{jt}} + \frac{c_{jt}}{c_{jk} c_{kt}} + \frac{c_{jk}}{c_{jt} c_{kt}} \right) + \frac{3}{2n} \binom{p}{3} \right\},$$

and the third term $n^2 (\operatorname{tr}[S^3])^2/8$ gives

$$(3.18) \quad K \left\{ \frac{1}{8n} \sum_{j < k < t} \left(\frac{c_{kt}}{c_{jk} c_{jt}} + \frac{c_{jt}}{c_{jk} c_{kt}} + \frac{c_{jk}}{c_{jt} c_{kt}} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{p-2}{4n} \sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} - \frac{1}{2n} \binom{p}{3} \right\}.$$

Finally, since $\text{tr } \tilde{S}^2 = -2 \sum_{j < k} s_{jk}^2$, it is easy to see that
 (p-2) $\text{tr } \tilde{S}^2/4!$ contributes

$$(3.19) \quad - \frac{p-2}{12n} K \sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} .$$

Adding (3.16) - (3.19) and factoring K out, we obtain (3.14) .

Theorem 3.2. The asymptotic distribution of the ch. roots, $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$, of $\tilde{S}_1 \tilde{S}_2^{-1}$ for large degrees of freedom $n = n_1 + n_2$ when the roots of $\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2^{-1}$ are $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_p > 0$ where $\lambda_j = a_j^{-1}$ ($j = 1, \dots, p$), is given by

$$(3.20) \quad C 2^p \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} a_j^{\frac{1}{2}n_1} b_j^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j < k} (b_j - b_k) \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^p db_j \prod_{j < k} \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jk}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2n} \left[\sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} + \alpha(p) \right] + \dots \right\} ,$$

where C , c_{jk} and $\alpha(p)$ are defined by (3.2), (3.8) and (3.15) respectively.

4. The Asymptotic Expansion of \mathcal{J} When Roots are not All Distinct.

In the previous section we restricted the roots of population matrix $(\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2^{-1})^{-1}$ to be all distinct. However, the roots need not be all so. And when we are interested in the likelihood of equality of population roots, the asymptotic formula of Section 3 breaks down. Overcoming this situation a general formula is derived which includes the case of distinct roots as a special case. The one-sample case has been studied by James [8]; his result would follow from here as a limiting case.

Now let $0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k < a_{k+1} = \dots = a_p = a$, ($1 \leq k \leq p - 1$).

Then

$$\tilde{A} = \text{diag} (a_1, \dots, a_k, a, \dots, a)$$

and the joint distribution of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p of (3.1) becomes

$$(4.1) \quad C a^{\frac{1}{2}qn_1} \prod_{j=1}^k a_j^{\frac{1}{2}n_1} \int_{O(p)} |I + \underbrace{AQBQ'}_{\sim} |^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (\underbrace{Q'dQ}_{\sim}) \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1} b_j^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{j < t} (b_j - b_t) \prod_{j=1} db_j ,$$

where $q = p - k$.

As in Section 3, we consider the integral

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{J} = \int_{O(p)} |I + \underbrace{AQBQ'}_{\sim} |^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (\underbrace{Q'dQ}_{\sim}) .$$

Now we partition the matrix \underline{Q} into the submatrices \underline{Q}_1 consisting of its first k , and \underline{Q}_2 , the remaining q rows. If the integrand of (4.2) does not depend on \underline{Q}_2 , then we can integrate over \underline{Q}_2 for fixed \underline{Q}_1 by the formula

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{\underline{Q}_2} c_1(d\underline{Q}) = c_2(d\underline{Q}_1)$$

where

$$c_1 = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}p)\}^{-1}, \quad c_2 = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}kp} \{\Gamma_k(\frac{1}{2}p)\}^{-1},$$

and the symbol $(d\underline{Q}_1)$ denotes the invariant volume element on the Stiefel manifold of orthonormal k -frames in p -space normalized to make its integral unity. Make transformation (3.4) whose Jacobian is given by (3.5).

A parameterization of \underline{Q}_1 may be obtained by writing

$$(4.4) \quad \underline{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{Q}_1 \\ \underline{Q}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \exp \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ -S_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

where \underline{S}_{11} is a $k \times k$ skew symmetric matrix and \underline{S}_{12} is a $k \times q$ rectangular matrix. From (3.5), it is not difficult to show that

$$c_2(dQ) = (d\underline{S}_{11})(d\underline{S}_{12})\{1 + O(\text{squares of } s_{jk} \text{'s})\}$$

where the symbols $(d\underline{S}_{11})$ and $(d\underline{S}_{12})$ stand for $\prod_{j < t}^k ds_{jt}$ and $\prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^q ds_{jt}$ respectively.

Since we are only interested in the first term, all we need to investigate is the groups of terms up to the second order of \underline{S} which is denoted by $[\underline{S}^2]$. As we did in Section 3, but remembering that the last q ch. roots of \underline{A} are equal, it is easy to show that

$$\text{tr}[\underline{S}^2] = \sum_{j < t}^k c_{jt} s_{jt}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{t=k+1}^q c_{jt}^o s_{jt}^2,$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{jt} &= r_{tj} b_{jt} - r_j r_t b_{jt}^2 = c_{tj} & j, t=1, \dots, k, \quad j < t \\ c_{jt}^o &= r_{tj} b_{jt} - r_j r_t b_{jt}^2 = c_{tj}^o & j=1, \dots, k, \quad t=k+1, \dots, p \end{aligned}$$

$$r_j = \begin{cases} \frac{a_j}{1+a_j b_j} & \text{if } j=1, \dots, k \\ \frac{a}{1+ab_j} & \text{if } j=k+1, \dots, p \end{cases},$$

$$r_{jt} = r_j - r_t \quad \text{and} \quad b_{jt} = b_j - b_t.$$

Therefore

$$(4.6) \quad \left| \underline{I} + \underline{AQBQ}' \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} = \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 + ab_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \\ \cdot \prod_{j < t}^k \exp(-\frac{1}{2}n c_{jt} s_{jt}^2) \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^n \exp(-\frac{1}{2}n c_{jt}^0 s_{jt}^2) \\ \cdot \{1 + O(\text{squares of } s_{jt} \text{'s})\} .$$

Substituting (4.6) into (3.7) and using

$$\int_{O(p)} \left| \underline{I} + \underline{AQBQ}' \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (\underline{Q}' d\underline{Q}) = 2^p C_1 \int_{O(p)} \left| \underline{I} + \underline{AQBQ}' \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}n} (d\underline{Q})$$

yields

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{J} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}q^2}}{\Gamma_q(\frac{1}{2}q)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 + ab_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \\ \cdot \int_{\underline{S}_{11}} \int_{\underline{S}_{12}} \prod_{j < t}^k \exp(-\frac{1}{2}n c_{jt} s_{jt}^2) ds_{jt} \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^n \exp(-\frac{1}{2}n c_{jt}^0 s_{jt}^2) ds_{jt} \{1 + O(\frac{1}{n})\} .$$

For large n and a_j 's and b_j 's ($j=1, \dots, k$) well spaced, most of the integral in (4.7) will be obtained from small values of the elements of \underline{S}_{11} and \underline{S}_{12} . Hence, to obtain an asymptotic series, we can replace the finite range of s_{jt} by the range of all real values of s_{jt} . Thus

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}q^2}}{\Gamma_q(\frac{1}{2}q)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j=k+1}^n (1 + ab_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \\ \cdot \prod_{j < t}^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}n c_{jt} s_{jt}^2) ds_{jt} \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}n c_{jt}^0 s_{jt}^2) ds_{jt} \{1 + O(\frac{1}{n})\} .$$

Hence we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. The asymptotic distribution of the ch. roots,

$b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$ of $S_1 S_2^{-1}$, for large degrees of freedom $n = n_1 + n_2$, when ch. roots of $(\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{p-k} S_{ij}^{-1})^{-1}$ are $0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k < a_{k+1} = \dots = a_p = a$, ($1 \leq k \leq p - 1$) is given by

$$(4.8) \quad c_3 a^{\frac{1}{2}qn_1} \prod_{j=1}^k a_j^{\frac{1}{2}n_1} \prod_{j=1}^k b_j^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \\ \cdot \prod_{j=k+1}^p (1 + a b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j < t} (b_j - b_t) \prod_{j < t} \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jt}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^p \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jt}^0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^k db_j,$$

where

$$c_3 = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}q} \Gamma_q\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right) \left\{ \Gamma_q\left(\frac{1}{2}q\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_2\right) \right\}^{-1}$$

and c_{jt} and c_{jt}^0 defined by (4.5).

The result (4.8) was given by Chang [3], but he had an error in the constant; he had

$$\frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1) - \frac{1}{2}kp}}{[\Gamma_k(\frac{1}{2}p)]^{-1}} \prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}j\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right) \left\{ \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}p\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_2\right) \right\}^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}n_1} a_j \quad \text{instead}$$

of $c_3 a^{\frac{1}{2}qn_1} \prod_{j=1}^k a_j^{\frac{1}{2}n_1}$. He had also error in the factors, he had

$$\prod_{j=k+1}^p (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j=1}^k (1 + a_j b_j)^{\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^p \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jt}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{instead of}$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^k (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j=k+1}^p (1 + a b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^p \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jt}^0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad \text{Note that}$$

for $k = 0$, $\prod_{j=1}^k (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n}$, $\prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{t=k+1}^p \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jt}^0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ products should be

assumed to be unity. Similarly for $k = p$, $\prod_{j=k+1}^p (1 + ab_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n}$ etc. are

unity, and define $\Gamma_0(x) = 1$, then $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$ can be written

$0 \leq k \leq p$.

(i) If $k = 0$, i.e., $q = p$, then $a_1 = \dots = a_p = a$ and (4.8) reduces to

$$(4.9) \quad \pi^{\frac{1}{2}p^2} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right) \left\{ \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}p\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_2\right) \right\}^{-1} a^{\frac{1}{2}pn_1} \\ \cdot \prod_{j=1}^p b_j^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{j=1}^p (1 + ab_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j<t} (b_j - b_t) \prod_{j=1}^p db_j,$$

(4.9) is the joint distribution of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p under null hypothesis $\Sigma_1 = a \Sigma_2$ [13], and is an exact form where we assume no asymptotic condition. Moreover, in this case, the integrand of (4.2) is independent of Q .

(ii) If $k = p$, i.e., $q = 0$, then $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$, and reduces to

$$(4.10) \quad \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right) \left\{ \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_1\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_2\right) \right\}^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^p a_j^{\frac{1}{2}n_1} b_j^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \\ \cdot \prod_{j<t} (b_j - b_t) \prod_{j<t} \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jt}^0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^p db_j.$$

This is Chang's result under condition $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ (c.f. [2]).

Now let $b_j = n_1 v_j / n_2$ ($j = 1, \dots, p$) and let n_2 tend to infinity, then (4.8) reduces to (3.12) of James [8]; (4.9) becomes the joint distribution of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p under the null hypothesis $\Sigma = a I$ [13]; and (4.10) is the first approximation of (1.8) in [1]. This is when F to be taken as 1.

5. One-Sample Complex Case.

In this section we consider the one-sample complex situation. Let \underline{S} be distributed complex Wishart $(n, p, \underline{\Sigma})$. Now $\underline{\Sigma}$ is positive definite Hermitian. Let $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$ and $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ be the ch. roots of \underline{S} and $\underline{\Sigma}^{-1}$ respectively, and still denote

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{A} &= \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) \quad , \\ \underline{B} &= \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Then from James [7] the joint distribution of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p can be expressed in the form

$$(5.1) \quad n^{np} \{\tilde{\Gamma}_p(n)\}^{-1} |\underline{A}|^n |\underline{B}|^{n-p} \prod_{j < k} (b_j - b_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^p db_j \\ \cdot \int_{U(p)} \exp(-n \text{tr } \underline{A} \underline{B} \underline{U} \underline{U}^*) (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U}) \quad ,$$

where $(\underline{U}^* d\underline{U})$ is the invariant measure on the group $U(p)$. The group $U(p)$ has volume

$$\mathcal{V}(p) = \int_{U(p)} (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U}) = \pi^{p(p-1)} / \tilde{\Gamma}_p(p)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_p(p)$ as defined in [7], i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_x(y) = \pi^{\frac{1}{2}x(x-1)} \prod_{j=1}^x \Gamma(y-j+1) \quad .$$

From (5.1) we know that the distribution of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p depends on the integral

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{J}_1 = \int_{U(p)} \exp[-n \text{tr } \underline{A} \underline{B} \underline{U} \underline{U}^*] (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U})$$

Lemma 5.1. Let \underline{A} , $\underline{U} = (u_{jk})$ and \underline{B} be defined as before. Then $f(\underline{U}) = \exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AUBU}^*) = \exp(-n \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p a_j b_k u_{jk} \bar{u}_{jk})$ has identical maximum values of $\exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AB})$ at each of the matrices of the form

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_1} & & & 0 \\ & e^{i\varphi_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & e^{i\varphi_p} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $0 \leq \varphi_j < 2\pi$ ($j=1, \dots, p$).

Proof: Since $\underline{U}^* \underline{U} = \underline{I}$ hence $d\underline{U}^* = -\underline{U}^* \cdot d\underline{U} \cdot \underline{U}^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned} df &= -n \exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AUBU}^*) \operatorname{tr} (\underline{A} \cdot d\underline{U} \cdot \underline{BU}^* + \underline{AUB} d\underline{U}^*) \\ &= -n \exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AUBU}^*) \operatorname{tr} (\underline{BU}^* \underline{A} - \underline{U}^* \underline{AUBU}^*) d\underline{U} \end{aligned}$$

for every $d\underline{U}$. Therefore $df = 0$ implies $\underline{BU}^* \underline{A} = \underline{U}^* \underline{AUBU}^*$ i.e.

$\underline{BU}^* \underline{AU} = \underline{U}^* \underline{AUB}$ which means that \underline{B} and $\underline{U}^* \underline{AU}$ commute. But \underline{B} is a diagonal matrix with real distinct elements, implies $\underline{U}^* \underline{AU}$ is a diagonal matrix. This can happen if and only if \underline{U} is of the form with $e^{i\varphi_j}$ in one position in the j th row and certain column and zero in other positions. After substituting those stationary values into $f(\underline{U})$ we obtain a general form

$$(5.4) \quad \exp(-n \sum_{j=1}^p a_j b_{\tau_j})$$

where b_{τ_j} is any permutation of b_j ($j=1, \dots, p$) or $f(\underline{U})$ attains its identical maximum value $\exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AB})$ when \underline{U} is of the form (5.3).

The matrices of the form (5.3) are unitary and with ch. roots $e^{i\varphi_j}$ ($j=1, \dots, p$). Now we impose p conditions on \underline{U} (reason see later),

namely all of the ch. roots are positive real. Then (5.3) reduces to \underline{I} .

Under these restrictions, for large n , the integrand is negligible except for small neighborhood about identity matrix, so that

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{J}_1 = \int_{N(\underline{I})} \exp[-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AUBU}^*] (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U})$$

where $N(\underline{I})$ is a neighborhood of the identity matrix on the unitary manifold.

Lemma 5.2. Let \underline{U} be a unitary matrix, and make the transformation

$$(5.6) \quad \underline{U} = e^{i\underline{H}}$$

where \underline{H} is Hermitian matrix. Then the Jacobian of this transformation is

$$(5.7) \quad J = 1 - \frac{p}{12} \operatorname{tr} \underline{H}^2 + \frac{1}{12} (\operatorname{tr} \underline{H})^2 + \frac{1}{2(6!)} \{5(\operatorname{tr} \underline{H})^4 - p \operatorname{tr} \underline{H}^4 \\ - 11 \operatorname{tr} \underline{H}^3 \operatorname{tr} \underline{H} - 10p \operatorname{tr} \underline{H}^2 (\operatorname{tr} \underline{H})^2 + (5p^2 - 3)(\operatorname{tr} \underline{H}^2)^2\} + \dots$$

Proof: Let $\underline{\Theta} = \operatorname{diag}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_p)$ where $\theta_j (j=1, \dots, p)$ are distinct numbers. Since \underline{U} is unitary, there exists a unitary matrix \underline{U}_1 with real diagonal elements, such that

$$\underline{U} = e^{i \underline{U}_1^* \underline{\Theta} \underline{U}_1}$$

Put $\underline{H} = (h_{jk}) = \underline{U}_1^* \underline{\Theta} \underline{U}_1$, then from Murnaghan [12], we have

$$(5.8) \quad (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U}) = \prod_{j < k} 4 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\theta_j - \theta_k) \prod_{j=1}^p d\theta_j (\underline{U}_1^* d\underline{U}_1) .$$

Since \underline{H} is Hermitian, from Khatri [9], we have

$$(5.9) \quad \prod_{j=1}^p dh_{jj} \prod_{j < k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI} = \prod_{j < k} (\theta_j - \theta_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^p d\theta_j (U_{j-1}^* dU_j)$$

where h_{jj} ($j=1, \dots, p$) are real diagonal elements of \underline{H} . Note that

$$\text{tr } \underline{H}^m = \sum_{j=1}^p \theta_j^m .$$

Then using (5.8) and (5.9) we obtain (5.7).

Substitution of (5.6) into $\text{tr } \underline{AUBU}^*$ yields

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{tr } \underline{AUBU}^* &= \text{tr } \underline{AB} + \text{tr}(\underline{AHEH} - \underline{AEH}^2) + \text{tr}(\text{Im } \underline{AHEH}^2) \\ &+ \text{tr}\left(\frac{1}{12} \underline{AEH}^4 - \frac{1}{3} \text{Re } \underline{AEH}^3 \underline{EH} + \frac{1}{4} \underline{AEH}^2 \underline{EH}^2\right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This is rewritten using brackets to define the expressions in parentheses so that

$$\text{tr } \underline{AUBU}^* = \text{tr } \underline{AB} + \text{tr}\{\underline{H}^2\} + \text{tr}\{\underline{H}^3\} + \text{tr}\{\underline{H}^4\} + \dots$$

where $\text{Re } \underline{W}$ and $\text{Im } \underline{W}$ denote the real and imaginary parts of \underline{W} . Since

$$(5.11) \quad \text{tr}\{\underline{H}^2\} = \sum_{j < k} \gamma_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}$$

where $\gamma_{jk} = (a_k - a_j)(l_j - l_k) > 0$, for $j, k=1, \dots, p$ and $j < k$.

Under transformation (5.6), it has $N(\underline{I}) \rightarrow N(\underline{H} = \underline{0})$. Then (5.5) can be written

$$(5.12) \quad \mathcal{J}_1 = \exp(-n \text{tr } \underline{AB}) \int_{N(\underline{H}=\underline{0})} \exp(-n \sum_{j < k} \gamma_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) \\ \cdot \exp[-n \text{tr}\{\underline{H}^3\} - n \text{tr}\{\underline{H}^4\} + \dots] \prod_{j < k} dh_{jj} \prod_{j < k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI} .$$

Since h_{jj} ($j=1, \dots, p$) are real, each one may range in a certain interval, and since they do not occur in the right hand side of (5.11) and may lead to the divergence of the integral [11]. So we need to impose conditions on \underline{H} . We may put h_{jj} ($j=1, \dots, p$) to be constants, but the result is quite complicated (see Remark). For simplicity, we set $h_{jj} = 0$ ($j=1, \dots, p$). In view of (5.6), this is equivalent to imposing p conditions on \underline{U} . Thus each side of (5.6) contains $p^2 - p$ parameters. Under these conditions, (5.7) and (5.12) reduce to

$$(5.13) \quad J = 1 - \frac{p}{12} \text{tr} \underline{H}^2 + \frac{1}{2(6!)} [(5p^2 - 3)(\text{tr} \underline{H}^2)^2 - p \text{tr} \underline{H}^4] + \dots$$

and

$$(5.14) \quad \mathcal{J}_1 = \exp(-n \text{tr} \underline{AB}) \int_{N(\underline{H}=0)} \exp(-n \sum_{j < k} \nu_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) \\ \cdot \exp[-n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^3\} - n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^4\} - \dots] J \prod_{j < k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI}$$

respectively.

Expand $\exp[-n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^3\} - n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^4\} - \dots] J$ and write the terms in groups. We have

$$(5.15) \quad \exp[-n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^3\} - n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^4\} - \dots] J = \\ 1 - \frac{p}{12} \text{tr} \underline{H}^2 - n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^3\} - n \text{tr} \{\underline{H}^4\} + \frac{n^2}{2} (\text{tr} \{\underline{H}^3\})^2 \\ + \frac{1}{2(6!)} [(5p^2 - 3)(\text{tr} \underline{H}^2)^2 - p \text{tr} \underline{H}^4] + \dots$$

If the integration of (5.14) is to be performed term by term on the expansion of (5.15) then for large n , the limits for each h_{jkR} and

h_{jkI} can be put to $\pm\infty$, since each integration is of the form

$$\int_{N(\underline{H}=0)} \exp(-n \sum_{j<k} \gamma_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) \prod_{j<k} h_{jkc}^{m_{jk}} \prod_{j<k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI}$$

and most of this integral is concentrated in a small neighborhood of $\underline{H}=0$.

The m_{jk} 's are positive even integers or zero, since any term containing an odd power of an h_{jkc} will integrate to zero. Since

$$(5.16) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-n \sum_{j<k} \gamma_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) \prod_{j<k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI} \\ = \prod_{j<k} \frac{\pi}{n\gamma_{jk}} = \left(\frac{\pi}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)} \prod_{j<k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} = C,$$

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-n \sum_{j<k} \gamma_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) h_{stc}^{2m} \prod_{j<k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI} \\ = C \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2m-1) (2n\gamma_{st})^{-m}$$

and

$$(5.18) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-n \sum_{j<k} \gamma_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) (h_{st} \bar{h}_{st})^m \prod_{j<k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI} \\ = \frac{C(m!)}{(n\gamma_{st})^m}.$$

Theorem 5.1. Let \underline{A} and \underline{B} be diagonal matrices with

$0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_p$ and $b_1 > b_2 > \cdots > b_p > 0$. Then for large n the first two terms in the expansion for \mathcal{J}_1 are given by

$$(5.19) \quad \mathcal{J}_1 = \exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AB}) \prod_{j<k} \frac{\pi}{n\gamma_{jk}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{j<k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} + \cdots \right\}.$$

Proof: For simplicity, we include only terms without an odd power of an h_{jkc} and do not write C which appears with each term after integration, and denote

$$S' = \sum_{j < k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1}$$

and

$$S'' = \sum_{j < k < s} (\gamma_{ks}/\gamma_{jk}\gamma_{js} + \gamma_{js}/\gamma_{jk}\gamma_{ks} + \gamma_{jk}/\gamma_{js}\gamma_{ks}) .$$

Since $\text{tr } \tilde{H}^2 = 2 \sum_{j < k} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}$, it is easy to see that $-p \text{tr } \tilde{H}^2/12$

gives

$$(5.20) \quad -\frac{p}{6n} S' .$$

From (5.10)

$$12 \text{tr} \{ \tilde{H}^4 \} = \sum_{j, k, s, t} f(j, k, s) \text{Re } h_{jk} h_{ks} h_{st} h_{tj} ,$$

where

$$f(j, k, s) = a_j (b_j - 4b_k + 3b_s) .$$

In detail we have

$$\begin{aligned} 12 \text{tr} \{ \tilde{H}^4 \} &= \sum_{j, k, s, s \neq j} [f(j, k, s) + f(k, j, k)] h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk} h_{ks} \bar{h}_{ks} \\ &+ \sum_{j < k} f(j, k, j) (h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk})^2 \\ &= \sum_{j < k < s} \{ [g(j, k, s) + g(s, k, j)] h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk} h_{ks} \bar{h}_{ks} \\ &+ [g(s, j, k) + g(k, j, s)] h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk} h_{js} \bar{h}_{js} \\ &+ [g(k, s, j) + g(j, s, k)] h_{js} \bar{h}_{js} h_{ks} \bar{h}_{ks} \} \\ &+ \sum_{j < k} (-4\gamma_{jk}) (h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk})^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $g(j, k, s) = f(j, k, s) + f(k, j, k)$. But $g(j, k, s) + g(s, k, j) = -4\gamma_{jk} - 4\gamma_{ks} + 3\gamma_{js}$ so that after term by term integration, $12 \operatorname{tr}\{\widetilde{H}^4\}$

contributes

$$(-8/n^2) \sum_{j < k < s} (\gamma_{jk}^{-1} + \gamma_{js}^{-1} + \gamma_{ks}^{-1}) + (3/n^2)S'' - (8/n^2)S' .$$

Since

$$\sum_{s < j < k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} + \sum_{j < s < k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} + \sum_{j < k < s} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} = (p-2)S' .$$

Therefore $-n \operatorname{tr}\{\widetilde{H}^4\}$ contributes

$$(5.21) \quad [2(p-2)/3n]S' - (1/4n)S'' + (2/3n)S' .$$

Again from (5.10)

$$\operatorname{tr}\{\widetilde{H}^3\} = \operatorname{tr} \Im \underline{\underline{AHH}}^2 = \sum_{j < k < s} -\frac{i}{2} \psi(j, k, s) (h_{jk} h_{ks} h_{sj} - \overline{h_{jk} h_{ks} h_{sj}})$$

where $\psi(j, k, s) = a_j(b_k - b_s) + a_k(b_s - b_j) + a_s(b_j - b_k)$.

It is easy to check that

$$\psi^2(j, k, s) = \gamma_{jk}^2 + \gamma_{js}^2 + \gamma_{ks}^2 - 2(\gamma_{jk}\gamma_{js} + \gamma_{jk}\gamma_{ks} + \gamma_{js}\gamma_{ks})$$

so that after integration, $(\operatorname{tr}\{\widetilde{H}^3\})^2$ contributes

$$(1/2n^3) \sum_{j < k < s} (\gamma_{jk}/\gamma_{js}\gamma_{ks} + \gamma_{js}/\gamma_{jk}\gamma_{ks} + \gamma_{ks}/\gamma_{jk}\gamma_{js}) - (1/n^3) \sum_{j < k < s} (\gamma_{jk}^{-1} + \gamma_{js}^{-1} + \gamma_{ks}^{-1}) ,$$

i.e., $(1/2n^3)S'' - [(p-2)/n^3]S'$, hence $(n^2/2)(\operatorname{tr}\{\widetilde{H}^3\})^2$ gives

$$(5.22) \quad (1/4n)S'' - [(p-2)/2n]S' .$$

Adding (5.20) - (5.22), we obtain (5.19).

By Theorem 5.1, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.2. The asymptotic distribution of the ch. roots,

$b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$ of $\underline{\Sigma}$ for large degrees of freedom n , when the ch. roots of $\underline{\Sigma}$ are $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_p > 0$ and $a_j = \lambda_j^{-1}$ ($j=1, \dots, p$) is given by

$$(5.23) \quad K \exp(-n \sum_{j=1}^p a_j b_j) \prod_{j < k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} (b_j - b_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^p a_j^n b_j^{n-p} db_j \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{j < k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} + \dots \right\},$$

where

$$K = n^{\frac{1}{2}p(2n-p+1)} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)} \{\tilde{\Gamma}_p(n)\}^{-1}.$$

Remark 1: Since $\gamma_{jk} = (a_k - a_j)(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)$ $j, k=1, \dots, p$ and

$a_j = \lambda_j^{-1}$ ($j=1, \dots, p$). Hence (5.23) can be rewritten

$$G(\underline{\Sigma}) \prod_{j < k} (b_j - b_k)/(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \prod_{j=1}^p b_j^{n-p} e^{-nb_j/\lambda_j} db_j \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{j < k} \gamma_{jk}^{-1} + \dots \right\},$$

where $G(\underline{\Sigma})$ is a function of the ch. roots of $\underline{\Sigma}$. It depends on λ_j but not on b_j . For n large enough, by a method used analogous to Anderson [1], we can show

$$\prod_{j < k} (b_j - b_k)/(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)$$

to tend to unity with probability 1, and the chi-square distributions tend to normals which corresponds to the real case for the asymptotic normality proved by Girshick [6].

Remark 2: for $p = 2$, set $h_{11} = \alpha$, $h_{22} = \beta$ where α and β are constants, then we have

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AB}) \frac{\pi}{n^2 \nu_{12}} \left\{ F(\alpha, \beta) + G(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{n \nu_{12}} + B(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{n^2 \nu_{12}^2} + \dots \right\},$$

where

$$F(\alpha, \beta) = \left\{ 1 + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^2}{12} + \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^4}{240} \right\} f,$$

$$G(\alpha, \beta) = g + \frac{2}{3}f + \frac{11}{90}(\alpha - \beta)^2 f + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha - \beta)^2 g + \frac{11}{720}(\alpha - \beta)^4 g,$$

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{16}{15}f + 2g + 2b + \frac{8}{15}(\alpha - \beta)^2 g + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta)^2 b + \frac{1}{12}(\alpha - \beta)^4 b,$$

$$f = f(\alpha, \beta) = 1 - \frac{1}{12}(\alpha - \beta)^2 - \frac{11}{2(6!)} \{ \alpha^4 + \alpha^3 \beta - \frac{24}{11} \alpha^2 \beta^2 + \alpha \beta^3 + \beta^4 \} + \dots$$

$$g = g(\alpha, \beta) = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2(6!)} \{ 13\alpha^2 + 154\alpha\beta + 13\beta^2 \} + \dots$$

and

$$b = b(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2}{45} + \dots$$

If $\alpha = \beta$, then \mathcal{J}_1 reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1 = \exp(-n \operatorname{tr} \underline{AB}) \frac{\pi}{n^2 \nu_{12}} & \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{72} \alpha^4 + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\alpha^2}{8} - \frac{\alpha^4}{108} \right) \frac{1}{n \nu_{12}} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{22}{45} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} - \frac{\alpha^4}{135} \right) \frac{1}{n^2 \nu_{12}^2} + \dots \right\} \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = \beta = 0$, then \mathcal{J}_1 becomes

$$\mathcal{J}_1 = \exp(-n \operatorname{tr} AB) \frac{\pi}{n\gamma_{12}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3n\gamma_{12}} + \frac{22}{45n^2\gamma_{12}^2} + \dots \right\}$$

or approximately (see Erdélyi [5] write

$$\mathcal{J}_1 \sim \exp[-n(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2)] \frac{\pi}{n\gamma_{12}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3n\gamma_{12}} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\}.$$

6. Two-Sample Complex Case.

Let S_j ($j=1,2$) be independently distributed as complex Wishart (n_j, p, Σ_j) , and let $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_p > 0$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p > 0$ be the ch. roots of $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ and $\Sigma_1 \Sigma_2^{-1}$ respectively. Let $B = \operatorname{diag}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p)$, $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p)$, $A = \Lambda^{-1}$ so that $a_j = \lambda_j^{-1}$ ($j=1, \dots, p$) $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_p$. Furthermore, let $n = n_1 + n_2$. Then the distribution of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p can be expressed in the form [7],

$$(6.1) \quad c_1 |A|^{n_1} |B|^{n_1 - p} \prod_{j < k} (b_j - b_k)^2 \int_{U(p)} |\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*|^{-n} (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U})$$

where

$$(6.2) \quad c_1 = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_p(n_1 + n_2)}{\tilde{\Gamma}_p(n_1) \tilde{\Gamma}_p(n_2)},$$

However, this form is not convenient for further development. Since

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_2 &= \int_{U(p)} |\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*|^{-n} (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U}) \\ &= c_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{[n]_{\kappa} \tilde{C}_{\kappa}(-A) \tilde{C}_{\kappa}(B)}{k! \tilde{C}_{\kappa}(\underline{I})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{2-\pi}^{p(p-1)} \{\tilde{\Gamma}_p(p)\}^{-1}$,

and $[b]_k$ and the zonal polynomial of a Hermitian matrix \underline{L} , $\tilde{C}_k(\underline{L})$ are defined in James [7]. The use of (6.3) in (6.1) gives a power series expansion, but the convergence of this series is very slow, unless the ch. roots of the argument matrices are in limited ranges. In the one sample case, we have obtained a gamma type asymptotic expansion for the distribution of the ch. roots of the sample covariance matrix. In this section, we obtain a beta type asymptotic expansion of the roots distribution of $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ involving linkage factors between sample roots and corresponding population roots. If the roots are distinct, the limiting distribution as n_2 tends to infinity has the same form as that of (5.19) in Section 5. If, moreover, n_1 is assumed also large, then it corresponds to Girshick's result [6] in the real case.

Same as in Section 5, we here still require that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_p > 0$ and $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$. It is easy to see that $|\underline{I} + \underline{A}\underline{U}\underline{B}\underline{U}^*|$ is positive real for all \underline{B} and every $\underline{U} \in U(p)$.

Lemma 6.1. Let \underline{A} and \underline{B} be defined as before, then $f(\underline{U}) = |\underline{I} + \underline{A}\underline{U}\underline{B}\underline{U}^*|$, $\underline{U} \in U(p)$, attains its identical minimum value $|\underline{I} + \underline{A}\underline{B}|$ when \underline{U} is of the form

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi_1} & & & & 0 \\ & e^{i\varphi_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & e^{i\varphi_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $0 \leq \varphi_j < 2\pi$ $j=1, \dots, p$.

Proof: Since \underline{A} is positive definite

$$|\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*| = |\underline{I} + \underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{UBU}^*\underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}|$$

$$\begin{aligned} df(\underline{U}) &= d|\underline{I} + \underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{UBU}^*\underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}| \\ &= |\underline{I} + \underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{UBU}^*\underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}| \operatorname{tr}(\underline{I} + \underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{UBU}^*\underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} (\underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}d\underline{U}\underline{BU}^*\underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}\underline{UBdU}^*\underline{A}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= |\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*| \operatorname{tr}(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} (d\underline{U}\underline{BU}^* - \underline{UBU}^*d\underline{U}\underline{U}^*) \\ &= |\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*| \operatorname{tr}(\underline{BU}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} - \underline{U}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1}\underline{UBU}^*)d\underline{U} . \end{aligned}$$

for every $d\underline{U}$. Therefore $df(\underline{U}) = 0$ implies

$$\operatorname{tr}(\underline{BU}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} - \underline{U}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1}\underline{UBU}^*) = 0 , \text{ for every } \underline{B} \text{ and } \underline{U} ,$$

implies $\underline{BU}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} = \underline{U}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1}\underline{UBU}^*$,

i.e. $\underline{BU}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} \underline{U} = \underline{U}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} \underline{UB}$ which means that \underline{B} and $\underline{U}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} \underline{U}$ commute. But \underline{B} is a diagonal matrix with positive distinct elements. This implies that $\underline{U}^*(\underline{A}^{-1} + \underline{UBU}^*)^{-1} \underline{U}$ is a diagonal matrix, say $\underline{\Delta}$. Thus $\underline{A}^{-1} = \underline{U}(\underline{\Delta}^{-1} - \underline{B})\underline{U}^*$. This can happen only if \underline{U} is of the form with $e^{i\varphi_j}$ in one position in the j th row and certain column and zero in other positions. After substituting those stationary values in $f(\underline{U})$, we get

$$(6.5) \quad \prod_{j=1}^p (1 + a_j b_{\tau_j})$$

where b_{τ_j} is any permutation of b_j ($j=1, \dots, p$). It is easy to see that

(6.5) attains its identical minimum value $|\underline{I} + \underline{AB}|$ when \underline{U} is of the form (6.4).

Now we impose conditions on \underline{U} , all $e^{i\varphi_j}$ ($j=1, \dots, p$) are positive real say. Then $e^{i\varphi_j} = 1$ for all j , and (6.4) reduces to \underline{I} .

The above lemma allows us to claim that, for large n , the integrand of \mathcal{J}_2 is negligible except for small neighborhood of \underline{I} . Therefore

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{J}_2 = \int_{N(\underline{I})} |\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*|^{-n} (\underline{U}^* d\underline{U})$$

where $N(\underline{I})$ is a neighborhood of the identity matrix on the unitary manifold.

Lemma 6.2. Let g_j ($j=1, \dots, p$) be the real ch. roots of \underline{G} if $\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |g_j| < 1$. Then

$$|\underline{I} + \underline{G}|^{-m} = \exp\{-m \operatorname{tr}(\underline{G} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{G}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\underline{G}^3 - \dots)\} .$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{I} + \underline{G}|^{-m} &= e^{-m \log \prod_{i=1} (1 + g_j)} \\ &= e^{-m \sum_{j=1} \log(1 + g_j)} \\ &= e^{-m \operatorname{tr}(\underline{G} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{G}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\underline{G}^3 - \dots)} . \end{aligned}$$

Since we want to compute up to the second term in the asymptotic expansion of \mathcal{J}_2 , we need to investigate the groups of terms up to the fourth order of \underline{S} . Under transformation (5.6) of the previous section

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{AUBU}^* &= \underline{AB} + i(\underline{AHB} - \underline{ABH}) + (\underline{AHEH} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{ABH}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\underline{AH}^2\underline{B}) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{6}(\underline{ABH}^3 - 3\underline{AHEH}^2 + 3\underline{AH}^2\underline{EH} - \underline{AH}^3\underline{B}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24}(\underline{AEH}^4 - 4\underline{AHEH}^3 + 6\underline{AH}^2\underline{EH}^2 - 4\underline{AH}^3\underline{EH} + \underline{AH}^4\underline{B}) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*|^{-n} = |\underline{I} + \underline{AB}|^{-n} |\underline{I} + \{\underline{H}\} + \{\underline{H}^2\} + \{\underline{H}^3\} + \{\underline{H}^4\} + \dots|^{-n} ,$$

where

$$\underline{R} = (\underline{I} + \underline{AB})^{-1} \underline{A} = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_p) \quad r_j = \frac{a_j}{1 + a_j l_j} \quad (j=1, \dots, p) ,$$

$$\{\underline{H}\} = i(\underline{RHB} - \underline{REH}) ,$$

$$\{\underline{H}^2\} = \underline{RHEH} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{REH}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\underline{RH}^2\underline{B} ,$$

$$\{\underline{H}^3\} = \frac{i}{6}(\underline{REH}^3 - 3\underline{RHEH}^2 + 3\underline{RH}^2\underline{EH} - \underline{RH}^3\underline{B})$$

and

$$\{\underline{H}^4\} = \frac{1}{24}(\underline{REH}^4 - 4\underline{RHEH}^3 + 6\underline{RH}^2\underline{EH}^2 - 4\underline{RH}^3\underline{EH} + \underline{RH}^4\underline{B}) .$$

Under transformation (5.6) it has $\underline{N}(\underline{I}) \rightarrow \underline{N}(\underline{H}=0)$. If we put $\underline{G} = \{\underline{H}\} + \{\underline{H}^2\} + \{\underline{H}^3\} + \{\underline{H}^4\} + \dots$, then in the neighborhood of $\underline{H} = 0$, the absolute values of the elements of \underline{H} are very small, and hence the absolute values of maximum ch. roots of \underline{G} can be assumed to be less than unity. Therefore Lemma 6.2 is applicable. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\underline{I} + \underline{AUBU}^*|^{-n} &= |\underline{I} + \underline{AB}|^{-n} \cdot |\underline{I} + \underline{G}|^{-n} \\ &= |\underline{I} + \underline{AB}|^{-n} \exp\{-n \text{tr}(\{\underline{H}\} + \{\underline{H}^2\} + \{\underline{H}^3\} + \{\underline{H}^4\} + \dots)\} , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$[\underline{H}] = \{\underline{H}\} ,$$

$$[\underline{H}^2] = \{\underline{H}^2\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\underline{H}\}^2 ,$$

$$[\underline{H}^3] = \{\underline{H}^3\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\underline{H}\}\{\underline{H}^2\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\underline{H}^2\}\{\underline{H}\} + \frac{1}{3}\{\underline{H}\}^3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\underline{H}^4] = \{\underline{H}^4\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\underline{H}\}\{\underline{H}^3\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\underline{H}^3\}\{\underline{H}\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\underline{H}^2\}^2 + \frac{1}{3}\{\underline{H}\}^2\{\underline{H}^2\} \\ + \frac{1}{3}\{\underline{H}\}\{\underline{H}^2\}\{\underline{H}\} + \frac{1}{3}\{\underline{H}^2\}\{\underline{H}\}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\{\underline{H}\}^4 . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\underline{H} = (h_{jk})$, $h_{jk} = \bar{h}_{kj}$ for all $j, k=1, \dots, p$ under conditions $h_{jj} = 0$ ($j=1, \dots, p$) we have

$$\text{tr}[\underline{H}] = i \text{tr}(\underline{RHB} - \underline{RH}) = 0 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}[\underline{H}^2] &= \text{tr}(\{\underline{H}^2\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\underline{H}\}^2) \\ &= \text{tr}(\underline{RH}^2 - \underline{RH}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\underline{RHBRHB} + \underline{RH}^2 - \underline{RHBRH} - \underline{RH}^2)) \\ &= \text{tr}(\underline{HB} - \underline{H})(\underline{I} - \underline{RB})\underline{HR} \\ &= \sum_{j < k} c_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk} , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (6.7) \quad c_{jk} &= (r_{kj} - r_j r_k b_{jk}) b_{jk} = c_{kj} \\ r_{jk} &= r_j - r_k \quad \text{and} \quad b_{jk} = b_j - b_k . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, after simplification, we find

$$\text{tr}[\underline{H}^3] = \sum_{j < k < s} F \cdot (h_{jk} h_{ks} h_{sj} - \overline{h_{jk} h_{ks} h_{sj}}) ,$$

where

$$(6.8) \quad F = F(j, k, s) = \frac{1}{2}(r_{kj}b_{ks} - r_{sk}b_{jk} + r_j r_{sk} b_{jk} b_{js} \\ + r_k r_{sj} b_{jk} b_{ks} + r_s r_{sk} b_{js} b_{ks} - 2r_j r_k r_s b_{jk} b_{ks} b_{js}) ,$$

and

$$\text{tr}[\tilde{H}^4] = \sum_{j < k} \Phi \cdot (h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk})^2 + \sum_{j < k < s} \Psi_1 \cdot h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk} h_{js} \bar{h}_{js} + \sum_{j < k < s} \Psi_2 \cdot h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk} h_{ks} \bar{h}_{ks} \\ + \sum_{j < k < s} \Psi_3 \cdot h_{js} \bar{h}_{js} h_{ks} \bar{h}_{ks} + \sum_{j < k/s \neq t} G \cdot (h_{jk} h_{ks} h_{st} h_{tj} + \overline{h_{jk} h_{ks} h_{st} h_{tj}}) ,$$

where

$$(6.9) \quad \Phi = \Phi(j, k) = (r_j r_k b_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{3}) r_{kj} b_{jk} + (\frac{1}{3} r_j r_k - \frac{1}{2} r_{kj}^2) b_{jk}^2 - \frac{1}{2} r_j r_k b_{jk}^4 \\ = -\frac{1}{3} c_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} c_{jk}^2 ,$$

$$(6.10) \quad \Psi_1 = \Psi_1(j, k, s) \\ = -\frac{1}{3} r_{kj} b_{jk} - \frac{1}{3} r_{sj} b_{js} + \frac{1}{4} r_{sk} b_{ks} + \frac{1}{3} r_j (r_k b_{jk}^2 + r_s b_{js}^2) + r_j (r_k + r_s) b_{jk} b_{js} \\ - r_j^2 b_{jk} b_{js} - \frac{1}{4} r_k r_s (b_{jk} + b_{js})^2 \\ - r_j (r_k r_{js} b_{jk} + r_s r_{jk} b_{js} + r_j r_k r_s b_{jk} b_{js}) b_{jk} b_{js} \\ = -\frac{1}{3} (c_{jk} + c_{js}) + \frac{1}{4} c_{ks} - c_{jk} c_{js} ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G &= G(j, k, s, t) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(r_{sj}b_{js} + r_{tk}b_{kt}) - \frac{1}{6}(r_{kj}b_{jk} + r_{sk}b_{ks} + r_{ts}b_{st} + r_{jt}b_{tj}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6}[r_j r_k b_{jk}(b_{jk} + 3b_{st}) + r_k r_s b_{ks}(b_{ks} + 3b_{tj}) + r_s r_t b_{st}(b_{st} + 3b_{jk}) \\
&\quad + r_t r_j b_{tj}(b_{tj} + 3b_{ks})] - \frac{1}{4}[r_j r_s (b_{jk} + b_{sk})(b_{jt} + b_{st}) \\
&\quad + r_k r_t (b_{jk} + b_{jt})(b_{sk} + b_{st})] - \frac{1}{2}[r_j r_k b_{jk} b_{ks} r_{st} b_{tj} + r_k r_s b_{jk} b_{ks} b_{st} r_{tj} \\
&\quad + r_s r_t r_{jk} b_{ks} b_{st} b_{tj} + r_t r_j b_{jk} r_{ks} b_{st} b_{tj}] - r_j r_k r_s r_t b_{jk} b_{ks} b_{st} b_{tj} .
\end{aligned}$$

From (6.8), it is not difficult to show that $F^2 = -\frac{1}{4}\{c_{jk}^2 + c_{js}^2 + c_{ks}^2 - 2(c_{jk}c_{js} + c_{jk}c_{ks} + c_{js}c_{ks}) - 4c_{jk}c_{ks}c_{js}\}$. Also note that Ψ_2 and Ψ_3 can be obtained from Ψ_1 cyclically, i.e., changing j to k , k to s , and s to j , then Ψ_1 becoming Ψ_2 , Ψ_2 becoming Ψ_3 and Ψ_3 becoming Ψ_1 . Moreover, we need not know the value of G , because any term containing an odd power of a factor h_{jkR} or h_{jkI} will integrate to zero.

Finally, we can write (6.6) to be

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.11) \quad \mathcal{J}_2 &= \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + a_j b_j)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{N}(\tilde{H}=0)} \exp(-n \sum_{j < k} c_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) \\
&\quad \cdot \exp(-n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^3] - n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^4] - \dots) J \prod_{j < k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI} .
\end{aligned}$$

where J is found in (5.7).

If this integration is to be performed term by term on the expansion of $\exp(-n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^3] - \dots) J$ then for large n the limits for each h_{jk}

can be put to $\pm \infty$. Since each integration is of the form

$$\int_{N(\tilde{H}=0)} \exp(-n \sum_{j < k} c_{jk} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk}) \prod_{j < k} h_{jkc}^{m_{jk}} \prod_{j < k} dh_{jkR} dh_{jkI} ,$$

and most of this integral is concentrated in a small neighborhood of $\tilde{H}=0$.

The m_{jk} 's are positive even integers or zero, since any term containing

an odd power of an h_{jkc} will integrate to zero. Now we expand

$\exp(-n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^3] - \dots)J$, writing the terms in groups, each group corresponding

to a certain value of m . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.12) \quad & \exp(-n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^3] - n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^4] - \dots)J \\ & = 1 - n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^4] + \frac{n^2}{2} (\operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^3])^2 - \frac{p}{12} \operatorname{tr} \tilde{H}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2(6!)} \{ (5p^2 - 3) (\operatorname{tr} \tilde{H}^2)^2 - p \operatorname{tr} \tilde{H}^4 \} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using formulae (5.16), (5.17) and (5.18) in the previous section, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. Let \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} be diagonal matrices with $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p$ and $b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$. Then for large n , the first two terms in the expansion for \mathcal{J}_2 are given by

$$(6.13) \quad \mathcal{J}_2 = \prod_{j=1}^p (1 + a_j b_j)^{-n} \prod_{j < k} \frac{\pi}{nc_{jk}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3n} \left[\sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} + \beta(p) \right] + \dots \right\} ,$$

where

$$(6.14) \quad \beta(p) = p(p-1)(2p-1)/2 .$$

Proof: In the proof, we include only terms without an odd power of an h_{jkc} , and do not write C (where C is defined in (5.16)) which appears with each term after integration, and denote

$$S' = \sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1}$$

and

$$S'' = \sum_{j < k < s} (c_{ks}/c_{jk} c_{js} + c_{js}/c_{jk} c_{ks} + c_{jk}/c_{js} c_{ks}) .$$

Note that only the second, third and fourth terms on the right hand side of (6.12) contribute the factor n^{-1} , using formulae (5.16) - (5.18) in the previous section. After integration, the second term $-n \operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^4]$ contributes

$$(6.15) \quad \frac{2}{3n} S' + \frac{1}{n} \binom{p}{2} + \frac{2(p-2)}{3n} S' - \frac{1}{4n} S'' + \frac{3}{n} \binom{p}{3} ,$$

and the third term $n^2 (\operatorname{tr}[\tilde{H}^3])^2 / 2$ gives

$$(6.16) \quad \frac{1}{4n} S'' - \frac{p-2}{2n} S' - \frac{1}{n} \binom{p}{3} .$$

$$\text{Since } \operatorname{tr} \tilde{H}^2 = 2 \sum_{j < k} h_{jk} \bar{h}_{jk} ,$$

it is not difficult to see that $-p \operatorname{tr} \tilde{H}^2 / 12$ gives

$$(6.17) \quad -\frac{p}{6n} S' .$$

Adding (6.15) - (6.17) we obtain (6.13) .

Theorem 6.2. The asymptotic distribution of the ch. roots,

$b_1 > b_2 > \dots > b_p > 0$, of $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ for large degrees of freedom $n = n_1 + n_2$ when the roots of $\sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=2}^p a_j^{-1}$ are $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_p > 0$, where $\lambda_j = a_j^{-1}$ ($j=1, \dots, p$) is given by

$$(6.18) \quad C_1 \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} a_j^{n_1} b_j^{n_1-p} (1 + a_j b_j)^{-n} \prod_{j < k} (b_j - b_k)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n_1} db_j \\ \cdot \prod_{j < k} \frac{\pi}{nc_{jk}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3n} \left[\sum_{j < k} c_{jk}^{-1} + \beta(p) \right] + \dots \right\},$$

where C_1 , c_{jk} and $\beta(p)$ are defined by (6.2), (6.7) and (6.14) respectively.

7. Comparison.

It is interesting to compare the formulae in the one-sample case with the corresponding ones in the two-sample case, and the real situation with the complex situation. In the real case, there is a factor $1/2n$ but a factor $1/3n$ arises in the complex case. Unlike the one-sample case, in the two-sample formulae, we find that there is an extra term $\alpha(p)/2n$ in the real case and $\beta(p)/3n$ in the complex case (in the second term of the asymptotic expansion for \mathcal{J} in (3.14) and \mathcal{J}_2 in (6.13)), which is a function of n and p only. In (3.14), if we write

$$\omega = \omega(a, b) = 2^p \prod_{j=1}^p (1 + a_j b_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \prod_{j < k} \left(\frac{2\pi}{nc_{jk}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

then the expansion for \mathcal{J} with the first term alone, and with both the first and second terms included are respectively ω and $\omega \{ 1 + [\sum c_{jk}^{-1} + \alpha(p)]/2n \}$. A similar comparison can be made from (6.13) for the complex case.

References

- [1] Anderson, G. A. (1965). An asymptotic expansion for the distribution of the latent roots of the estimated covariance matrix. Ann. Math. Statist. 36, 1153-1173.
- [2] Chang, T. C. (1968). On an asymptotic representation of the distribution of the characteristic roots of $S_1 S_2^{-1}$. Mimeo Series No. 165. Department of Statistics, Purdue University.
- [3] Chang, T. C. (1969). On an asymptotic representation of the distribution of the characteristic roots of $S_1 S_2^{-1}$ ~~when~~ roots are not all distinct. Mimeo Series No. 180. Department of Statistics, Purdue University.
- [4] Constantine, A. G. (1963). Some non-central distribution problems in multivariate analysis. Ann. Math. Statist. 34, 1270-1285.
- [5] Erdélyi, A. (1956). Asymptotic Expansions. Dover, New York.
- [6] Girshick, M. A. (1939). On the sampling theory of roots of determinantal equations. Ann. Math. Statist. 10, 203-224.
- [7] James, A. T. (1964). Distribution of matrix variates and latent roots derived from normal samples. Ann. Math. Statist. 35, 475-501.
- [8] James, A. T. (1968). Tests of equality of latent roots of the covariance matrix. International Symp. Multivariate Analysis, Dayton, Ohio
- [9] Khatri, C. G. (1965). Classical statistical analysis based on a certain multivariate complex Gaussian distribution. Ann. Math. Statist. 36, 98-114.
- [10] Khatri, C. G. (1967). Some distribution problems connected with the characteristic roots of $S_1 S_2^{-1}$. Ann. Math. Statist. 38, 944-948.
- [11] Littlewood, D. E. (1940). The Theory of Group Characters. 2nd Ed. Oxford, 1958.
- [12] Murnaghan, F. D. (1938). The Theory of Group Representations. The Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore.
- [13] Roy, S. N. (1958). Some Aspects of Multivariate Analysis. Wiley and Sons, New York.