# On the distribution of the ith latent root under null hypothesis concerning complex multivariate normal populations

bу

Sabri Al-Ani\*

Purdue University

Department of Statistics

Division of Mathematical Sciences

Mimeograph Series No. 145

March 1968

<sup>\*</sup>This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. GP-7663.

On the distribution of the ith latent root under null hypotheses concerning complex multivariate normal populations

bу

## Sabri Al-Ani\*

1. Introduction and summary: Khatri [2], has pointed out that one can handle all the classical problems of point estimation and testing hypotheses concerning the parameters of complex multivariate normal populations much as one handles those for multivariate normal populations in real variates. Further Khatri [3], has suggested the maximum latent root statistic for testing the reality of a covariance matrix. The joint distribution of the latent roots under certain null hypotheses can be written as, [1], [2],

(1) 
$$c_1 \{ \prod_{j=1}^{q} w_i^m (1 - w_j)^n \} \prod_{i>j} (w_i - w_j)^2$$

where  $c_1 = \prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(n+m+q+j) / \{\Gamma(n+j) \Gamma(m+j) \Gamma(j)\}$  and  $0 \le w_1 \le w_2 \le \cdots \le w_q \le 1$ .

We may also note that when n is large, the joint distribution of nw j= f j , j = 1,...,q,  $0 \le f_1 \le \ldots \le f_q < \infty$  , can be written as

(2) 
$$c_{2} \prod_{j=1}^{q} f_{j}^{m} \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{q} f_{j}) \{ \prod_{i>j} (f_{i} - f_{j})^{2} \}$$

where  $c_2 = 1/\{ \prod_{j=1}^{q} [\Gamma(m+j) \Gamma(j)] \}$ .

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  This research was supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant No. GP-7663.

Khatri [1], has derived the distribution of  $w_q$  (or  $w_l$ ) and  $f_q$  in a determinant form. In this paper we first derive the distribution of  $w_{q-1}$  and  $f_{q-1}$  and then the distribution of  $w_l$  and  $f_l$ . In this connection a lemma has been proved.

2. <u>Preliminary results</u>. In this section, we first state two lemmas in order to obtain a third lemma.

## Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{n} \int_{j=1}^{s} \left[ x_{j}^{m'j} (1-x_{j})^{n'j} dx_{j} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \left[ \int_{0}^{x} x_{j}^{m'j} (1-x_{j})^{n'j} dx_{j} \right]$$

where  $\mathfrak{A}: (0 \le x_1 \le \dots \le x_s \le x)$ ,  $(x \le 1)$ ; and on the left hand side  $(m_s', n_s'), \dots, (m_1', n_1')$  is any permutation of  $(m_s, n_s), \dots, (m_1, n_1)$  and the summation is taken over all such permutations.

For Proof, see Roy([5],(A. 9.3), p. 203).

### Lemma 2.

$$\prod_{i>j} (w_i - w_j)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{2q-2} w_{j1}^{2q-2} w_{j2}^{2q-3} w_{jq}^{q-1} \\
v_{j1}^{2q-3} w_{j2}^{2q-4} w_{jq}^{q-2} \\
v_{j1}^{q-1} v_{j2}^{q-2} w_{jq}^{q-2}$$

where  $\Sigma$  means summation over all permutations  $(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_q)$  of  $(1, 2, \dots, q)$ , and |A| means the determinant of A.

For Proof, see Khatri [1] .

#### Lemma 3.

$$\sum_{i} \int_{j=1}^{s} \left[ x_{j}^{m'j} (1-x_{j})^{n'j} dx_{j} \right] = \prod_{j=1}^{s} \left[ \int_{x}^{x_{j}^{j}} (1-x_{j})^{n'j} dx_{j} \right],$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}': (x \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_s \leq 1)$ , and on the left hand side  $(m_s', n_s'), \ldots, (m_1', n_1')$  is any permutation of  $(m_s, n_s), \ldots, (m_1, n_1)$  and the summation is taken over all such permutations.

Proof is similar to Lemma 1.

3. The distribution of  $w_{q-1}$ . In this section we obtain first the cdf's of  $w_{q-1}$  and  $f_{q-1}$  and in the next those of  $w_{i}$  and  $f_{i}$ . Note that

(3) 
$$Pr \{w_{q-1} \le x\} = Pr \{w_q \le x\} + Pr \{w_{q-1} \le x < w_q \le 1\}$$

Khatri [1], showed that

(4) 
$$\Pr \{ w_q \le x \} = c_1 |(\beta_{i+j-2})| = c_1$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \cdots & \beta_{q-1} \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_q \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \beta_{q-1} & \beta_q & \beta_{2q-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $c_1$  is defined in (1),  $\beta_{i+j-2} = \int_0^x w^{m+i+j-2} (1-w)^n dw$  for i,j=1,2,...,q

and  $(\beta_{i+j-2})$  is a q x q matrix. Now the determinant in Lemma 2, can be written as

(5) 
$$\sum_{j} \operatorname{sign} (t_{j}, \dots, t_{q}) \underset{j_{1}}{\overset{q-1+t_{1}}{\underset{j_{2}}{w_{j2}}}} \underset{j_{2}}{\overset{q-2+t_{2}}{\underset{j_{q}}{\cdots}}} \dots v_{j_{q}}^{t_{q}},$$

where  $(t_1,\ldots,t_q)$  is a permutation of  $(0,1,\ldots,q-1)$ , sign  $(t_1,\ldots,t_q)$  is positive if the permutation is even and negative if the permutation is odd, and  $\Sigma_1$  means the summation over all such permutations. Then (1) can be written as

(6) 
$$c_1 \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{q} w_j^m (1-w_j)^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{q-1}} \sum_{g=1}^{q} sign (t_1, \dots, t_q) \left[ w_q \right] \right\} \left\{ w_j \right\} \left\{ w_j \right\} \cdots$$

First taking summation over  $(j_1, \dots, j_{q-1})$ , the permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, q-1)$  and integrate  $w_q$  over  $x < w_q < 1$ , and apply lemma, we get

$$(7) \quad \Pr(w_{q-1} \leq x \leq w_{q} < 1) = c_{1} \sum_{1} \operatorname{sign}(t_{1}, \dots, t_{q}) \left[\beta_{q-1+t_{1}}^{\prime} \beta_{q-2+t_{2}} \cdots \beta_{t_{q}} + \beta_{q-1+t_{1}}^{\prime} \beta_{q-2+t_{2}}^{\prime} \cdots \beta_{t_{q}}^{\prime} + \dots \beta_{q-1+t_{1}}^{\prime} \beta_{q-2+t_{2}}^{\prime} \cdots \beta_{t_{q}}^{\prime} \right],$$

where  $\beta'_{i+j-2} = \int_{x}^{1} w^{m+i+j-2} (1-w)^n dw$ , then (7) can be written as

(8) 
$$c_1 \sum_{k=1}^{q} |(\beta_{i+j-2}^{(k)})|,$$

where  $|(\beta_{i+j-2}^{(k)})|$  is the determinant obtained from  $|(\beta_{i+j-2})|$  by replacing, the kth column of  $|(\beta_{i+j-2})|$ ,  $\beta_{\alpha}$ , by the corresponding  $\beta_{\alpha}'$ 's. So we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. If the joint distribution of  $w_1, \dots, w_q$  is given by (1), then

(9) 
$$\Pr\{w_{q-1} \le x\} = c_1 \sum_{k=0}^{q} |(\beta_{i+j-2}^{(k)})|$$

where  $|(\beta_{i+j-2}^{(0)})| = |(\beta_{i+j-2})|$ , and  $|(\beta_{i+j-2}^{(k)})|$  is defined in (8), and  $c_1$  is defined in (1).

Theorem 2. If the distribution of  $f_1, \dots, f_q$  is given by (2) then

(10) 
$$\Pr\{f_{q-1} \le x\} = c_2 \sum_{k=0}^{q} |(\gamma_{i+j-2}^{(k)})|,$$

where  $Y_{i+j-2} = \int_{0}^{x} w^{m+i+j-2} \exp(-w)dw$ ,  $(Y_{i+j-2})$  is a q x q matrix and  $(Y_{i+j-2}^{(k)})$ 

is defined similar to that of (9), and  $c_2$  is defined in (2). Proof is similar to that of theorem 1.

4. The distribution of wi. It may be noted here that

(11) 
$$Pr\{w_i \le x\} = Pr\{w_{i+1} \le x\} + Pr\{w_i \le x < w_{i+1}\}$$
,  $i = 1, ..., q-1$ .

To evaluate the second term of (11), we may write

$$(12) \prod_{i>j} (w_i - w_j)^2 = \sum_{l} sign (t_1, ..., t_q) \sum_{2} \sum_{i_1, ..., i_{q-i}} w_{i_1}^{\alpha_1} w_{i_2}^{\alpha_2} \cdots$$

$$\cdots \begin{array}{c} \overset{\alpha}{\text{q-l}} \sum & \overset{\alpha}{\text{q-i+l}} \overset{\alpha}{\text{q-i+2}} \cdots \overset{\alpha}{\text{yi}} \\ \overset{\alpha}{\text{ji}} & \overset{\alpha}{\text{ji}} \end{array}$$

where  $(i_1,\dots,i_{q-i})$  is permutation of  $(i+1,\dots,q)$  and  $\Sigma$  runding interpolation of  $(i_1,\dots,i_{q-i})$  over all such permutations;  $(j_1,\dots,j_i)$  is a permutation of  $(1,\dots,i)$ , and  $\Sigma$  runs over all such permutations;  $\Sigma_2$  is the summation over the  $j_1,\dots,j_i$  terms of obtained by taking q-i,  $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{q-i})$ , at a time of  $q-1+t_1$ ,  $q-2+t_2,\dots,t_q$ .

Substituting (12) in (1) and using Lemma 1, and Lemma 3, and as in section (3), we get

(13) 
$$\Pr(w_{i} \leq x < w_{i+1}) = c_{1} \sum_{2} |(\beta_{i+j-2}^{(i_{0})})|,$$

where  $(\beta_{i+j-2})$  is a q x q matrix obtained from  $(\beta_{i+j-2})$  by replacing i columns of  $(\beta_{i+j-2})$  by the corresponding  $\beta_{\alpha}$ 's. Therefore by (10), (14) and Theorem 1 and reduction process, we can get the distribution of  $w_i$ . It may be pointed out that, [4],

(13) 
$$\Pr\{w_{i} \leq x; m, n\} = 1 - \Pr(w_{q-i+1} \leq 1 - x; n, m)$$

where on the right side of (13) the parameters m and n are interchanged, hence the distribution of  $w_1$ , [1], can be written as

(14) 
$$\Pr\{w_1 \le x\} = 1 - c_1 |(\delta_{i+j-2})|,$$

wo can be written as

where  $\delta_{i+j-2} = \int_{0}^{1-x} z^{n+i+j-2} (1-z)^m dz$ , and  $(\delta_{i+j-2})$  is a q x q matrix, similarly, if we define  $\delta_{i+j-2}' = \int_{x-1}^{1} z^{n+i+j-2} (1-z)^m dz$ , the distribution of

(15) 
$$\Pr\{w_2 \le x\} = 1 - c_1 \sum_{k=0}^{q} |(\delta_{i+j-2}^{(k)})|,$$

where, as before,  $|(\delta_{i+j-2}^{(k)})|$  is the determinant obtained from  $|(\delta_{i+j-2})|$  by replacing the kth column of  $|(\delta_{i+j-2})|$  by the corresponding  $\delta_{\alpha}'$  's, and  $(\delta_{i+j-2}^{(0)}) = (\delta_{i+j-2})$ . A similar method gives

(16) 
$$Pr\{f_i \le x\} = Pr\{f_{i+1} \le x\} + Pr\{f_i \le x < f_{i+1}\}$$
,

i = 1, 2, ..., q-1, and

(17) 
$$\Pr\{f_{i} \leq x < f_{i+1}\} = c_{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |(\gamma_{i+j-2}^{(i_{0})})|,$$

where  $c_2$  is defined in (2), and also  $\binom{(i_0)}{\gamma_{i+j-2}}$  is a q x q matrix obtained from  $(\gamma_{i+j-2})$  by replacing i columns of  $(\gamma_{i+j-2})$  by the corresponding  $\gamma_{\alpha}$ 's.

Acknowledgment: The author wishes to express his very sincere thanks to Professor K.C.S. Pillai of Purdue University for suggesting the problem and guidance and also to Professor C. G. Khatri of Purdue University and Indian Statistical Institute for his generous discussions during the preparation of the paper.

#### References

- [1] Khatri, C. G. (1964). Distribution of the largest or the smallest root under null hypothesis concerning complex multivariate normal. Ann. Math. Statist., 35 1807 1810.
- [2] Khatri, C. G. (1965). Classical Statistical analysis based on a certain multivariate complex Gaussion distribution. Ann. Math. Statist. 36, 98 114.
- [3] Khatri, C. G. (1965). A test for reality of a covariance matrix in certain complex Gaussion distribution. Ann. Math. Statist., 36, 115 119.
- [4] Pillai, K.C.S. and Dotson, C. (1967). Power comparisons of tests of two multivariate hypotheses based on individual characteristic roots. Mimeo. Series No. 108, Dept. of Statist., Purdue University.
- [5] Roy, S.N. (1958). Some Aspects of Multivariate Analysis. Wiley, New York.