On Maximum Values in Certain Applied Stochastic Processes\*

by

Marcel F. Neuts

Department of Statistics

Division of Mathematical Sciences

Mimeograph Series #74

June, 1966

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research Contract NORR 1100(26) at Purdue University. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

On Maximum Values in Certain Applied Stochastic Processes\*

bу

Marcel F. Neuts

### Purdue University

In many stochastic processes, applied in such fields as Queueing, Counter theory, Biology, Traffic flow, etc., the random variable of particular interest is the maximum of the process during a certain time interval. The maximum length of a waiting line is of obvious interest in the design of the waiting room. A left-turn lane, for instance, should be designed so that it rarely exceeds its capacity, lest it interfere with the thru-lanes. In Epidemic theory or counters, again the maximum of the number of infectives or the maximum of the active particles, during a given length of time, are good measures of the virulence of the epidemic or the radiation.

Unfortunately the distribution theory of extreme values is very complicated in all but the simplest cases.

In this paper, we will describe a situation, which occurs frequently and may be put to good use in numerical calculations and in some cases also in theoretical work. In section 1, we formulate the problem. In section 2, we indicate how a general computational method can be developed to get numerical results on extreme values. In section 3, we study the GI M 1 queue and the Type II counter, to indicate how certain simple features of particular problems can be used to get the extreme value distributions more directly.

This research was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research Contract NONR 1100(26) at Purdue University. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

### I. Formulation of the Problem.

It is known that many processes, arising in applications can be studied in continuous time, in terms of an imbedded semi-Markov process.

Therefore the maximum of  $\xi(t)$  can be related very simply to the maximum of a semi-Markov process.

# II. The Maximum of a semi-Markov Process.

Let the bivariate process  $\{(\xi_n, X_n), n \geq 0\}$  be a semi-Markov process on the non-negative integers, which is regular for all initial distributions. We refer to Pyke  $[\ ]$  for formal definitions.

Let its transition matrix Q(.) be given by:

(1) 
$$Q_{ij}(x) = P\{X_n \le x, \xi_n = j \mid \xi_{n-1} = i\}, i, j \ge 0.$$

Let N(t) denote the number of transitions in (o,t] and let  $J(t)=\xi_{N(t)}$ . We note that:

(2) 
$$\theta(t) = \max_{0 \le u \le t} J(u) = \max \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_{N(t)}\}$$

so; setting  $\theta(0) = \xi_0$ , we get:

(3) 
$$P\{\theta(t) \leq k, J(t) = j \mid \theta(o) = \xi_{o} = i\}$$

$$= P\{\xi_{o} \leq k, \dots, \xi_{N(t)} \leq k, \xi_{N(t)} = j \mid \xi_{o} = i\}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P\{\xi_{o} \leq k, \dots, \xi_{N(t)} \leq k, \xi_{N(t)} = j, N(t) = n \mid \xi_{o} = i\}$$

and the latter probabilities are of course simple taboo probabilities. To avoid trivialities, we assume  $i \le k$ ,  $j \le k$ .

Let  $R_{ij}(n,k;x)$  be the probability that in time x, exactly n transitions occur between states i and j, without a visit to the set  $\{k+1, k+2,...\}$ , then

(1) 
$$R_{ij}(o,k;x) = \delta_{ij}[1 - H_i(x)], \quad H_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Q_{ij}(x)$$

and

$$R_{ij}(n,k;x) =$$

$$\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}, i_{2}, \dots, i_{n-1} \leq k} Q_{i_{1}i_{2}} * Q_{i_{1}i_{2}} * \dots Q_{i_{n-1}j_{n-1}} * [1 - H_{j}] (x) .$$

Let  $_k \mathbb{Q}(\cdot)$  denote the matrix obtained from  $\mathbb{Q}$  by truncating it after the (k+1)st row and column, and let  $\mathbb{H}(\cdot)$  be a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements  $\mathbb{H}_0(x), \ldots, \mathbb{H}_k(x)$ , then:

(5) 
$$R_{i,j}(n,k;x) = \left[ R^{Q(n)} * [I - H](x) \right]_{i,j}$$

where the matrix-multiplications are performed, using convolution multiplication. (see Pyke [ ]).

From (3), we obtain:

(6) 
$$P\{\theta(t) \leq k, J(t) = j \mid \xi_0 = i\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x) \right]_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ k^{Q(n)} * (I - II)(x)$$

where the inverse is defined by the previous expression. In some simple cases, we may use (6) to obtain explicit expressions via Laplace-Stieltjes transforms, but in most cases one will have to resort to numerical integration in (4) to obtain approximate values for the probabilities in (6). The amount of computation involved is enormous, since each value of k must be treated separately. In practical problems, where large values of k are highly improbable, it may be worthwhile to set up the computational apparatus required.

In the next section, we will show by two examples, how a more careful study of the imbedded semi-Markov process may lead to simpler recurrence relations.

Earter [ ] has obtained a decomposition of the transition matrix in the case of Markov chains, which-when known-- leads to simple expressions for the extreme

value distributions and it is possible to prove analogous theorems to his for semi-Markov processes, but again these would not lead to simplifications in numerical work.

# III. Special treatment in the case of the GIM1 queue and a Counter model.

In the GI[M]1 queue, customers arrive according to a renewal process. Let, for simplicity, t=0 be an arrival-epoch and let the distribution of the successive independent inter-arrival times be F(x). The service times are negative exponential variables with parameter  $\mu$ .

Using very much the same argument, we can also study a type II counter in which particles have independent, identically distributed interarrival times with a distribution F(x) and produce pulses with negative exponentially distributed lengths, of parameter  $\mu$ .

In the queueing model, the queue-length behaves between successive arrivals like a pure death process with death-rate  $\mu$ , whereas in the counter process the death-rate is  $\mu$   $\xi(t)$ . This second process is computationally more involved.

It is obvious that the maximum  $\alpha(t) = \max_{0 \le u \le t} \xi(u)$  is obtained immediately after an arrival epoch.

Let  $\xi_n$  be the queuelength immediately after the n-th arrival and let  $X_n$  be the time between the (n-1)st and the n-th arrival, then  $(\xi_n, X_n, n \ge 0)$ ,  $X_0 = 0$  is a semi-Markov process and its transition matrix  $Q_{ij}(x)$ ,  $i,j \ge 1$  is given by:

(7) 
$$Q_{i,1}(x) = \int_0^x e^{-\mu u} \sum_{v=i}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu u)^v}{v!} dF(u), \quad i \ge 1$$

 $\mathfrak{snd}$ 

$$Q_{ij}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} e^{-\mu u} \frac{(\mu u)^{i-j+1}}{(i-j+1)!} dF(u), \quad j > 1, \quad i \ge j-1.$$

 $Q_{i,i}(x) = 0$ , elsewhere.

In the counter model, the transition matrix  $Q^{O}(\cdot)$  is given by:

(8) 
$$Q_{i1}^{o}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} [1-F(u)] d R_{o}^{i}(u), \quad i \ge 1.$$

$$Q_{ij}^{o}(x) = \int_{0}^{x} e^{-(j-1)\mu u} dF(u) \int_{0}^{x} e^{+(j-1)\mu v} dR_{j-1}^{i}(v),$$

$$j \ge 1, \quad i \ge j-1.$$

$$Q_{ij}^{o}(x) = 0, \quad \text{elsewhere}$$

where  $R_{\nu}^{k}(u)$  is the distribution-function, whose L-S-transform is given by:  $(k \ge \nu)$ :

(9) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} dR_{\nu}^{k}(x) = \prod_{\alpha = 0}^{k-\nu-1} \left[ \frac{\mu(k-\alpha)}{s+\mu(k-\alpha)} \right] = \frac{B[k+1, \frac{s}{\mu} + \nu + 1]}{B[\nu+1, \frac{s}{\mu} + k + 1]}.$$

This distribution has a density, which is a known, but complicated polynomial in  $e^{-\mu x}$  .

Let us denote the L.S. transforms of the  $Q_{ij}(x)$  - or the  $Q_{ij}^{o}(x)$  - by  $\phi_{ij}(s)$ . The remaining discussion depends only on the fact that  $\phi_{ij}(s) = 0$  for j > 1, i < j-1.

## Derivation of the extremum distribution.

Let us assume that  $\xi_0 = 1$ . The first visit to state 2 will occur at some arrival-epoch  $\xi_2$ , the first visit to state 3 at some arrival epoch  $\xi_3$ , etc.

Let  $\xi_k$  be the first entrance time into state k, with  $\xi_1=0$ . It is obvious that:

(10) 
$$P\{\alpha(t) \le k \mid \xi_0 = 1\} = P\{\xi_k \ge t \mid \xi_0 = 1\}$$
.

Now  $\xi_k = Z_1 + Z_2 + \ldots + Z_{k-1}$ , where  $Z_i$  is the length of time for which  $\alpha(t) = i$ . The variables  $Z_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  are independent, by the semi-Markov property, so it suffices to derive their distribution, to know the distribution of  $\alpha(t)$ . Let  $g_k(s)$  be the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of the probability distribution of  $Z_k$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots$ , then:

(11) 
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} P[\alpha(t) \le k \mid \xi_{0} = j] dt = s^{-1} \left\{ 1 = \prod_{v=j}^{k} g_{v}(s) \right\}, j \le k.$$

We will now prove a simple recursion relation between the functions  $g_k(s), k \ge 1$  .

#### Theorem:

(12) 
$$g_1(s) = f(s + \mu) [1-f(s) + f(s+\mu)]^{-1}$$

and for k > 1

(13) 
$$g_k(s) =$$

$$\varphi_{k,k+1}(s) \left\{ 1 - \varphi_{kk}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \varphi_{kj}(s) g_j(s) \dots g_{k-1}(s) \right\}^{-1}.$$

### Proof:

We first prove (13):

Consider the possible ways of going from state k to state k+1 for the first time in less than a time x.

We can either perform a number of transitions from state k to state k and then form k to k+1, without going below k or we can stay in state k for a number of transitions and then make a transition to some state j,  $1 \le j < k$ . In order to reach state k+1, we must then again go from j to j+1, from j+1 to j+2, etc. to state k. Once we reach state k again, we are back in the same situation as initially. If we express all probabilities associated with these possible paths, we obtain in terms of L.S. transforms:

$$(14) g_k(s) =$$

$$\frac{\varphi_{k,k+1}(s)}{1-\varphi_{kk}(s)} + \frac{1}{1-\varphi_{kk}(s)} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \varphi_{kj}(s) g_{j}(s) \dots g_{k-1}(s) g_{k}(s)$$

which is equivalent to (13) .

If k = 1, then we first make a number of transitions from state 1 to itself, followed by a transition from 1 to 2. It follows that:

(15) 
$$g_1(s) = \varphi_{12}(s) [1 - \varphi_{11}(s)]^{-1}$$

but

$$\varphi_{12}(s) = f(s + \mu)$$

$$\varphi_{11}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} e^{-\mu x} \frac{(\mu x)^{\nu}}{\nu!} dF(x) = f(s) - f(s + \mu)$$
.

If we set:

(16) 
$$A_0 = 1, \quad A_k(s) = \frac{1}{g_1(s) \dots g_k(s)}, \quad k \ge 1$$

then

(17) 
$$A_{k}(s) = \frac{1}{f(\mu + s)} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\phi_{kj} - \phi_{kj}(s)) A_{j-1}(s)$$

is an alternative version of (14)

In some applications one is interested in the maxima of the process  $\xi(t)$ , between successive visits to the state 0. We will hence also consider the probability that the maximum changes from k to k+l without an intermediate visit to 0.

Let  $G_k(x)$  be the probability that the process  $\xi(t)$  goes from state k to state K+1, without an intermediate visit to 0 or k+1, in a length of time  $\leq x$ . Let  $g_k^*(s)$  be the L.S. transform of  $G_k(\cdot)$ .

The same argument as before leads to the recurrence relation:

(18) 
$$g_{1}^{*}(s) = f(s + \mu)$$

$$g_{2}^{*}(s) = \frac{f^{2}(s + \mu)}{1 - f(s) + f(s + \mu)}$$

and

$$g_{k}^{*}(s) = (1-\varphi_{kk}(s))^{-1} \varphi_{k,k+1}(s)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (1-\varphi_{kk}(s))^{-1} \varphi_{kj}(s) g_{j}^{*} g_{j+1}^{*} \dots g_{k}^{*}(s)$$

for  $k \ge 3$ .

Let us set:

(19) 
$$B_{1}(s) = 1$$

$$B_{k}(s) = (g_{2}^{*} \dots g_{k}^{*})^{-1}$$

then the latter formula leads to a linear recursion formula for the  $\,B_{k}(s)\,$  .

In the case of the GI[M]1 queue, we can easily obtain a generating function for the  $B_k(s)$  as follows.

Formulae (18) and (19) lead to

(20) 
$$B_1(s) = 1$$

$$\theta_{o}(s) B_{k}(s) = B_{k-1}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \theta_{k-j}(s) B_{j}(s), \quad k \geq 2.$$

in which

(21) 
$$\theta_{\nu}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\mu+s)x} \frac{(\mu x)^{\nu}}{\nu!} dF(x), \quad \nu \geq 0$$
.

set:

(22) 
$$W(z,s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k(s) z^{k-1}$$
, Re  $s \ge 0$   $|z| \le 1$ 

then (20) and (21) lead to:

(23) 
$$W(z,s) = \frac{f(s+\mu)}{1 - (1+z) f(s+\mu) + f(s+\mu-\mu z)},$$

where  $f(\cdot)$  as before denotes the L.S. transform of  $F(\cdot)$ .

### Bibliography

- [1] Baxter, Glen (1958). An Operator Identity, Pacific Journal of Math. 8, p. 649-663.
- [2] Neuts, Marcel F. (1965). A General Class of Bulk Queues with Poisson Input, Department of Statistics, Mimeograph Series No. 46, Purdue University.
- [3] Pyke, Ronald (1961). Markov Renewal Processes, Definitions and Preliminary Properties, Ann. Math. Stat. 32, p. 1231-1243.
- [4] Takacs, Lajos (1962). Introduction to the Theory of Queues. Oxford University Press.

Security Classification

	NTROL DATA - R&		the overall senset is	olegelfied)
(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)		2a. REPORT SECURITY C LASSIFICATION		
with a second of the second		Unclassified		
Purdue University		25. GROUP		
3. REPORT TITLE		1	<del> </del>	
On Maximum Values in Certain Applied St	ochastic Proce	sses		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			<del></del>	
Technical Report - June 1966				
5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, liret name, initial)				
Neuts, Marcel F.				
6. REPORT DATE	74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES		76. NO. OF REP	)
June 1966	11		4	
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
NONR 1100(26)	Mimograph Cowles Number 7			
b. PROJECT NO.	Mimeograph Series Number 74			
,				
с.	9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other number this report)		other numbers that	may be assigned
d.			,	
10. A VAIL ABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES	<del></del>			
Distribution of this document is unlimi				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	12 SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Logistics and Mathematical Sciences Branch Office of Naval Research			
	Washington, D.	· C•		
13. ABSTRACT				
We consider the problem of finding class of processes having an imbedded s class which includes the GI M l queue explicit results.	emi-Markov prod	cess. I	n a particul	lar sub-
				-
		•		
·				
		•	•	
			*	
:				
	•			