On the Moments of Elementary Symmetric Functions of the Roots of Two Matrices

ЪУ

K. C. Sreedharan Pillai
Purdue University

Division of Mathematical Sciences

Department of Statistics

Mimeograph Series No. 17

May, 1964

On the Moments of Elementary Symmetric Functions of the Roots of Two Matrices

ъу

K. C. Sreedbaran Pillai

Purdue University

- 1. Summary. A lemma is given first which provides an easy method of expressing the product of an sth order Vandermonde type determinant and the kth and $\mathbb L$ th $(k, \ell \geq 0)$ powers of the rth and hth $(r, h \leq s)$ elementary symmetric functions (esf's) respectively as a linear compound of determinants. The lemma extends itself readily to the product of powers of any number of esf's up to the sth. Using this lemma and some reduction formulae for certain special types of Vandermonde type determinants, a second lemma has been proved to show that the moments of esf's in s non-null characteristic roots $\lambda_1(0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_s < \infty)$ of a matrix can be easily derived from the respective moments of corresponding esf's in s non-null roots, θ_1 , $(0 < \theta_1 \leq \dots \leq \theta_s < 1)$ of another matrix and vice versa. Illustrations are given explaining both lemma.
- 2. <u>Introduction</u>. Many of the distribution problems in multivariate analysis are based on the distribution of the non-null characteristic roots of a matrix derived from sample observations taken from multivariate normal populations. This distribution given by Fisher [1], Girshick [2], Hsu [3] and Roy [12] is of the form

(2.1)
$$f(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_s) = C(s, m, n) \prod_{i=1}^{s} \theta_i^m (1 - \theta_i)^n \prod_{i>j} (\theta_i - \theta_j)$$
$$0 < \theta_1 \le \dots \le \theta_s < 1$$

where

(2.2)
$$C(s,m,n) = \pi^{s/2} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \Gamma(\frac{2m+2n+s+i+2}{2}) / \left[\Gamma(\frac{2m+i+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{2n+i+1}{2}) \Gamma(1/2) \right]$$

and m and n are defined differently for various situations described in [7], [9].

Now, if $\lambda_i = \theta_i/(1-\theta_i)$, (i = 1,2,..., s), the joint distribution of the λ 's is obtained from (2.1) as

(2.3)
$$f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_s) = C(s, m, n) \left[\prod_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i^m / (1 + \lambda_i)^{m+n + s + 1} \prod_{i>j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \right]$$
$$0 < \lambda_1 \le ... \le \lambda_s < \infty.$$

The studies on the first esf in θ 's as well as the λ 's have been carried out by Pillai [6], [8], [9], Pillai and Mijares [10] and Pillai and Samson [11]. Mijares [5] has carried out some studies on est's in general. In this paper, a lemma is proved which enables one to write down easily the moments of $U_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$ from the respective moments of $V_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$ and vice versa, where $U_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$ and $V_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$ denote the ith esf's in the s λ 's and s θ 's respectively. But first, a lemma is given (see next section) on which will be based the proof of the main lemma showing the easy derivation of the moments of $U_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$ from the respective moments of $V_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$.

3. Product of a Vandermonde determinant and powers of esf's. In this section we introduce the following lemma:

<u>Lemma 1.</u> Let $D(g_s, g_{s-1}, \dots, g_1)$, $(g_j \ge 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, s)$, denote the determinant

(3.1)
$$D(g_{s},g_{s-1},...,g_{1}) = \begin{bmatrix} g_{s} & g_{s-1} & g_{1} \\ x_{s} & x_{s} & x_{s} \end{bmatrix}$$

If $a_r(r \le s)$ denotes the rth esf in s x's, then

(3.2) (i)
$$a_r D(g_s, g_{s-1}, ..., g_1) = \sum_{\substack{(s) \\ (r)}} D(g_s, g_{s-1}, ..., g_1),$$

where $g_j' = g_j + \delta$, j = 1, 2, ..., s, $\delta = 0, 1$ and Σ denotes the sum over the $\binom{s}{r}$

 $\binom{s}{r}$ combinations of s g's taken r at a time for which r indices $g_j' = g_j' + 1$ such that $\delta = 1$ while for other indices $g_j' = g_j$ such that $\delta = 0$.

(3.3) (ii)
$$a_r a_h D(g_s, g_{s-1}, ..., g_l) = \sum D(g_s', g_{s-1}, ..., g_l')$$

$$\binom{s}{r}\binom{s}{h}$$

where $h \le s$, $g_j^{"} = g_j^{"} + \delta_j$ j = 1, 2, ..., s, $\delta = 0, 1$ and Σ denotes summation $\binom{s}{r}\binom{s}{h}$

over the $\binom{s}{r}\binom{s}{h}$ terms obtained by taking h at a time of the s g's in each D in Σ in (3.2) for which h indices $g_j^{i,i} = g_j^{i+1}$ while for other indices $\binom{s}{r}$

$$g_{j}' = g_{j}$$

(iii) $(a_r)^k(a_h)^l$ $D(g_s,g_{s-1},\ldots,g_l)_s(k,l \ge 0)$ can be expressed as a sum of $\binom{s}{r}\binom{s}{h}^l$ determinants obtained by performing on $D(g_s,g_{s-1},\ldots,g_l)$ in any

order (i) k times and (i) ℓ times with r = h.

However, if at least two of the indices in any determinant are equal, the corresponding term in the summation vanishes.

Before indicating a proof of the lemma, let us consider an illustration. Let us note first that $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}$

(3.4)
$$a_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{w+\sum_{j=1}^{w+\sum_{i=1}^{w+\sum_{j=1}^{w+\sum_{j=1}^{w+\sum_{j=1}^{w}}} a_{j}} \sum_{s_{2}} \dots s_{w}^{s_{w}} / (1^{p_{1}} 2^{p_{2}} \dots p_{w}^{p_{1}} p_{1}^{p_{2}} \dots p_{w}^{p_{1}})$$

where Σ extends over all non-negative values of p_1, \dots, p_w such that

$$p_1 + 2p_2 + ... + wp_w = w,$$

and

$$s_k = \sum_{i=1}^{s} x_j^k$$
.

Also note that if we multiply the right side of (3.1) by e^{u} , differentiate with respect to t once and put t = 0 we get,

(3.5)
$$s_{u} D(g_{s}, g_{s-1}, ..., g_{1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} D(g_{s}, g_{s-1}, ..., g_{j+1}, g_{j} + w g_{j-1}, ..., g_{1}),$$

Now consider the special case, w = 4. We get from (3.4)

(3.6)
$$a_{\mu} = \frac{s_{1}^{\mu}}{\mu!} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{8} - \frac{s_{2}s_{1}^{2}}{4} + \frac{s_{1}s_{3}}{3} - \frac{s_{4}}{4}.$$

Using the right side of (3.6) and by repeatedly applying (3.5) with varying values of u (from 1 to 4) we get

$$(3.7) \quad a_{\downarrow_{1}} D(g_{g}, g_{g-1}, \dots, g_{1}) = b_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{s} D(g_{g}, g_{g-1}, \dots, g_{j+1}, g_{j}^{+\downarrow_{1}}, g_{j-1}, \dots, g_{1})$$

$$+ b_{1} \sum_{j \neq j}^{s} D(g_{g}, g_{g-1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+3}, g_{j-1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+1}, \dots, g_{1})$$

$$+ b_{2} \sum_{j \neq j}^{s} D(g_{g}, g_{g-1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+2}, \dots, g_{j}^{+1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+1})$$

$$+ b_{3} \sum_{j \neq j}^{s} D(g_{g}, g_{g-1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+2}, \dots, g_{j}^{+2}, \dots, g_{j}^{+2}, \dots, g_{1}^{+1})$$

$$+ b_{4} \sum_{j \neq j}^{s} D(g_{g}, g_{g-1}, \dots, g_{j}^{+1}, \dots, g_{j$$

where
$$b_{0} = -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{4!} = 0,$$

$$b_{1} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \div \frac{1}{4!} = 0,$$

$$b_{2} = \frac{1}{2!} \left(-\frac{2}{4} + \frac{12}{4!} \right) = 0,$$

$$b_{3} = \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{2}{8} - \frac{2}{4} + \frac{6}{4!} \right) = 0, \quad b_{4} = \frac{1}{4!}$$

and where the indices j, j', j'', j''' are the only ones which have been increased. Now since in the last sum the right side of (3.7) there are only $\binom{s}{h}$ distinguishable terms, it is obvious that $a_h D(g_s, g_{s-1}, \dots, g_1)$ is obtained from (3.7) as a sum of $\binom{s}{h}$ determinants whose indices are obtained by selecting 4 out of s g' at a time and increasing each of the 4 selected g's by unity.

Now consider the general case (i). Apply (3.4) to (3.1) with w = r. We can show that the coefficient of the determinant of a specified set of indices

obtained in this operation such that at least one g on the left side of (3.2) has been increased by more than unity, is equal to zero. For instance, the coefficient of the determinant with one g index increased by r - j > 1 while any other j g's increased each by unity is given by

(3.8)
$$(1/j!) \sum_{i=1}^{r-j} (-1)^{i=1} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \frac{1}{2^2 \dots (r-j)^{p_r-j} p_1! p_2! \dots p_{r-j}!}} = 0$$

where
$$p_1 + 2p_2 + ... + (r-j)p_{r-j} = r-j$$
.

In a similar marner, coefficients of all other determinants with at least one index increased by more than unity can be shown to be equal to zero. There remain, therefore, only determinants in which r out of s indeces have been increased just by unity. It may be observed that this last set of determinants is obtained from the term $s_1^r/r!$ in (3.4), (w=r), while all other terms arise from more than one term in (3.4), (w=r), and their coefficients are obtained as sums of positive and negative values where each sum (coefficient) equals zero.

Now it may be seen that the truth of (ii) in lemma 1 can be observed easily by an application of (3.4) to the right side of (3.2) with w = h.

Similarly (iii) further follows easily by repeated application of (i), as stated in the lemma, $k + \ell$ times, k times using (3.4), (w=r), and ℓ times using (3.4) with w = h. In addition, it may be pointed out that the method of proof extends itself to generalize (iii) further to include powers of any number of esf's up to $\ell h \ell$ s.

4. Derivation of moments of $U_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$ from those of $V_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$. In this section we prove the main lemma.

Proof. Let

and let

and let
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{s}}{(1+\lambda_{s})^{p}} d\lambda_{s} \cdots \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{s}}{(1+\lambda_{s})^{p}} d\lambda_{s}$$

$$(4.2) \quad U(m+s-1+q_{s},\ldots,m+q_{1};p) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{2} & \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \lambda_{1} \\ 0 & (1+\lambda_{1})^{p} & d\lambda_{1} \end{cases}$$

$$q_{j} \ge 0$$
 $j = 1, 2, ..., s,$

and p = m+n+s+1.

Now, from Lemma 1 and (2.1), the kth moment of $v_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$, $\mu_k^{(s)}$, $v_{i,m,n}^{(s)}$, can be expressed as a linear compound of determinants of the V-type in (4.1) where qs,qs-1,..., q may take different sets of values in different terms. Further, the coefficients of the linear compound would involve as a common factor C(s,m,n) but otherwise would be independent of m and n.

Similarly, $\mu_k \left\{ v_{i,m,n}^{(s)} \right\}$ can be shown to be a linear compound of the determinants of the U-type in (4.2) with the coefficients of corresponding terms in this compound the same as in the previous compound, the correspondence of terms being marked by the equality of the vector $(q_s, q_{s-1}, \dots, q_1)$ in the two compounds.

Now we state the lemma.

Lemma 2. $\mu_k^i \left\{ U_{i,m,n}^{(s)} \right\}$ is derivable from $\mu_k^i \left\{ V_{i,m,n}^{(s)} \right\}$ by making the following changes in the expression for the latter (obtained by evaluating the linear compound of V-type determinants): (a) Multiply by -1 all terms except the term in n in each linear factor involving n and (b) change n to mants+1 after performing (a).

Before proving the lemma let us illustrate it by a couple of special cases.

Using (i) of lemma 1 we get

(4.3)
$$\mu_1^{i} \begin{cases} v_{i,m,n}^{(s)} \end{cases} = C(s,m,n) \ V(m+s,m+s-1,...,m+s-i+1,m+s-i+1,...,m+s-i+1,m).$$

The right side of (4.3) can be shown to be equal to

based on some particular cases of determinants evaluated in [10]. From this result using lemma 2, the first moment of the ith esf in the λ 's is given by

(4.5)
$$\mu_{1}^{:}\left\{ \begin{array}{c} U(s) \\ U_{1}, m, n \end{array} \right\} = {s \choose i} \frac{1}{n} \frac{(2m+s-j+2)}{(2n+j-1)}.$$

Now consider $\mu_2^* \left\{ V_{2,m,n}^{(s)} \right\}$. Using (ii) of lemma 1 with h=r we get

(4.6)
$$\mu_{2}^{i} \left\{ V_{2,m,n}^{(s)} \right\} = C(s,m,n) \left\{ V_{m+s+1}, m+s, m+s-3, ..., m+1, m \right\} + V_{m+s+1}, m+s-1, m+s-2, m+s-4, ..., m+1, m \right\} + V_{m+s}, m+s-1, m+s-2, m+s-3, m+s-5, ..., m+1, m \right\}$$

Now substituting the values of the determinants [10] in (4.6)

(4.7)
$$\mu_{2} \left\{ V_{2,m,n}^{(s)} \right\} = \frac{s(s-1)(2m+s)(2m+s+1)}{6} G_{1}$$

$$j=1$$

where
$$G_1 = 6n^2 \left\{ 4s(s-1)m^2 + 2(s-1)(2s^2 + s + 8) m + s^4 + 7s^2 - 8s + 12 \right\}$$

 $+ 3n \left\{ 16s(s-1)m^3 + 4(s-1)(8s^2 + 5s + 8)m^2 + 2(s-1)(10s^3 + 12s^2 + 27s + 24)m + 4s^5 + 3s^4 + 12s^3 + 5s^2 - 24s + 36 \right\} + s(s+1)(2m+s+1)(2m+s+2)(m+s)(2m+2s+1)$
 $+ (s-2)(2m+2s+3)(2m+s-1) \left\{ 4sm^2 + 2s(3s+2) m + 2s^3 + 3s^2 + s + 6 \right\}$.

Using lemma 2 we get from (4,7),

(4.8)
$$\mu_{2}^{!} \left\{ U_{2,m,n}^{(s)} \right\} = \frac{s(s-1)}{3} \cdot \frac{(2m+s)(2m+s+1)}{6} G$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} (2n+j-3)$$

where G is obtained from G_1 by attaching negative sign to the first degree term in n and then changing n to wintstl in all the terms.

Proof. Apply theorem 3 of [5] to the V-determinant in (4.1). We get

(4.9)
$$V(m+s-1+q_s,...,m+q_1;n) = (m+s+q_s+n)^{-1} (B^{(s)} + (m+s-1+q_s)C^{(s)})$$

where

(4.10)
$$B^{(s)} = 2\sum_{j=s-1}^{1} (-1)^{s-j-1} V(2m+s+j-2+q_s+q_j;2n+1) X$$

$$\times V(m+s-2+q_{s-1},...,m+j+q_{j+1},m+j-2+q_{j-1},...,m+q_1;n)$$

and

(4.11)
$$C^{(s)} = V(m+s-2+q_s, m+s-2+q_{s-1}, \dots, m+q_1; n).$$

Again, applying theorem 4 of [8] to the U-determinant in (4.2) we get

(4.12)
$$U(m+s-l+q_s,...,m+q_1;p) = [p-(m+s+q_s)]^{-l} (Q^{(s)}+(m+s-l+q_s)R^{(s)})$$

where

(4.13)
$$Q^{(s)} = 2\sum_{j=s-1}^{1} (-1)^{s-j-1} U(2m+s+j-2+q_s+q_j;2p-1) X$$

$$X U(m+s-2+q_{s-1},...,m+j+q_{j+1},m+j-2+q_{j-1},...,m+q_{1};p)$$

and

(4.14)
$$R^{(s)} = U(m+s-2+q_s, m+s-2+q_{s-1}, \dots, m+q_1; p) .$$

First, it may be observed that the factor m+s+q +n in (4.9) becomes the factor p-(m+s+q_s) in (4.12) by changes (a) and (b) of the lemma. Further, repeated application of theorem 3 of [8] to the right side of (4.9) would reduce it to a linear compound of terms each of which is a product of s/2 simple beta functions of type I (V-type) if s is even and (s+1)/2 beta functions if s is odd. The coefficients of this linear compound would involve products of functions of m and n of the type $(m+j+q_j+n)^{-1}$ and the type $(m+j-l+q_j)$ as in (4.9). Similarly, repeated application of theorem 4 of [8] to the right side of (4.12) would reduce it to a linear compound as above with the exception that simple beta functions involved will be of type II (U-type) instead of type I and [p-(m+j+q;)]-1 will replace $(m+j+q_j+n)^{-1}$. Now it may be observed that changes (a) and (b) of the lemma will make the corresponding coefficients the same in the two linear compounds which are obtained after repeated applications of theorems 3 and 4 of [8] to (4.9) and (4.12) respectively. It remains, therefore, to show that C(s,m,n) times each term of the linear compound involving products of beta functions of type I reduces to C(s,m,n) times the corresponding term in the second linear compound involving

the product of beta functions of type II using (a) and (b) of the lemma. Now note that, if s is even,

(4.15)
$$C(s,m,n) = 2^{-s(s+6)/8} X$$

$$\begin{array}{c} s/2 \\ & \text{II} \quad \Gamma(2m+2n+s+2i+1) \\ \hline x \\ \frac{s/2}{\text{II}} \left\{ \Gamma(2m+2i)\Gamma(2n+2i)\Gamma(i) \right\} \quad (1.3)(1.3.5)...(1.3.5...(s-3)) \\ i=1 \end{array}$$

and if s is odd

(4.16)
$$c(s,m,n) = 2^{-(s-1)(s+5)/8} x$$

$$\frac{(s-1)/2}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} \Gamma(2m+2n+s+2i+1)\Gamma(m+n+s+1)} \times \frac{1}{(s-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(2m+2i)\Gamma(i)\Gamma[(2m+s+1)/2]\Gamma[(2n+s+1)/2]\Gamma[(2n+s+1)/2]}{\prod_{i=1}^{r} \left\{\Gamma(2n+2i)\Gamma(2n+2i)\Gamma(i)\Gamma[(2m+s+1)/2]\Gamma[(2n+s+1)/2]\right\}(1.3)} \dots (1.3.5)(1.3.5...(s-2))$$

Now, for s even, consider the term

(4.17)
$$C(s,m,n)V(2m+2s-3+q_s+q_{s-1};2n+1) X$$

$$X V(2m+2s-7+q_{s-2}+q_{s-3};2n+1) ... V(2m+1+q_2+q_1;2n+1)$$
.

Substitute in (4.17) the value of C(s,m,n) from (4.15) and those of the type I beta functions and we get

where g(m,s) is a function of m and s.

Similarly consider

(4.19) $C(s,m,n) U(2m+2s-3+q_s+q_{s-1};2p-1) U(2m+2s-7+q_{s-2}+q_{s-3};2p-1) \dots U(2m+1+q_p+q_1;2p-1)$

After substitution of values of C(s,m,n) and U's in (4.19) we get

 $(4.20) \quad g(m,s) [(2n-q_s-q_{s-1}+3) \dots (2n+1)] [(2n-q_{s-2}-q_{s-3}+7) \dots (2n+3)] \dots \\ \dots [(2n+2s-q_2-q_1-1) \dots (2n+s-1)] [(2m+2n+s+3) \dots (2m+2n+2s)] \\ [(2m+2n+s+5) \dots (2m+2n+2s)] \dots [(2m+2n+2s-1)(2m+2n+2s)] \dots$

Now it may be noted that (4.20) can be obtained from (4.18) by (a) and (b) of the lemma. In a similar manner, when s is even, other corresponding terms of the linear compounds in the two cases can be shown to satisfy (a) and (b) of the lemma.

If s is odd, we may consider the terms like

(4.21)
$$C(s,m,n)V(2m+2s-3+q_s+q_{s-1};2n+1) \cdots V(2m+3+q_3+q_2;2n+1)V(m+q_1;n).$$

Using (4.16) and the values of the V's and performing (a) and (b) in (4.21) we will get

 $C(s,m,n)U(2m+2s-3+q_s+q_{s-1};2p-1)$... $U(2m+3+q_3+q_2;2p-1)$ $U(m+q_1;p)$.

Similarly, if s is odd, we can show that other corresponding terms of the linear compounds in the two cases satisfy (a) and (b).

Hence the lemma.

It may be noted that $\mu_r' \Big\{ V_{i,m,n} \Big\}$ may similarly be derived from $\mu_r' \Big\{ u_{i,m,n} \Big\}$ by inverse operations of (b) and (a) of lemma 2. Further, lemma 2 readily extends to the case of product moments (say of the rth and hth esf's) in view of (ii) of lemma 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.A. Fisher, ''The sampling distribution of some statistics obtained from non-linear equations,'' Ann. Eugenics, Vol. 9(1939) pp. 238-249.
- [2] M.A. Girshick (1939), ''On the sampling theory of roots of determinantal equations,'' Ann. Math. Statist. Vol. 10, pp. 203-224.
- [3] P.L. Hsu, ''On the distribution of the roots of certain determinantal equations,'' Ann. Eugenics, Vol. 9 (1939) pp.250-258.
- [4] P.A. MacMahon, Combinatory Analysis, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1960.
- [5] T.A. Mijares, 'The moments of elementary symmetric functions of the roots of a matrix in multivariate analysis,' Ann. Math. Statist., Vol. 32 (1961), pp. 1152-1160.
- [6] K.C.S. Pillai, ''On some distribution problems in multivariate analysis,''
 Mimeograph Series No. 88, Institute of Statistics, University of North Carolina,
 1954.
- [7] K.C.S. Pillai, ''Some new test criteria in multivariate analysis,' Ann. Math. Statist., Vol. 26 (1955) pp. 117-121.
- [8] K.C.S. Pillai, ''Some results useful in multivariate analysis,'' Ann. Math. Statist., Vol. 27 (1956), pp. 1106-1114.
- [9] K.C.S. Pillai, Statistical Tables for Tests of Multivariate Hypotheses, The Statistical Center, University of the Philippines, Manila, 1960.
- [10] K.C.S. Pillai and T.A. Mijares, ''On the moments of the trace of a matrix and approximations to its distribution,'' Ann. Math. Statist., Vol. 30 (1959), pp. 1135-1140.
- [11] K.C.S. Pillai and Pablo Samson, Jr., ''On Hotelling's generalization of T²,''

 <u>Biometrika</u>, Vol. 46 (1959), pp. 160-168.
- [12] S.N. Roy, ''p-statistics or some generalizations in analysis of variance appropriate to multivariate problems,'' Sankhya Vol. 4 (1939), pp. 381-396.