1. Consider the following SAS output giving 5 confidence intervals for the mean of $Y$. If you wanted to guarantee that joint coverage of the five confidence intervals was at least 95%, what confidence level would you use when forming each interval, using the Bonferroni correction? Compute this adjusted confidence interval for the mean of $Y$ when $X = 5$. (Note that some observations have been omitted from the output.)

```
Analysis of Variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>Pr &gt; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16183</td>
<td>16183</td>
<td>805.62</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>321.39597</td>
<td>20.08725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrected Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16504</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Root MSE 4.48188
R-Square 0.9805
Dependent Mean 64.00000
Adj R-Sq 0.9793
Coeff Var 7.00294

Parameter Estimates

| Parameter | DF | Estimate | Error | t Value | Pr > |t| |
|-----------|----|----------|-------|---------|------|---|
| Intercept | 1  | -2.32215 | 2.56435| -0.91   | 0.3786|
|x          | 1  | 14.73826 | 0.51926| 28.38   | <.0001|

Output Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Predict</th>
<th>95% CL Mean</th>
<th>Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>78.0000</td>
<td>71.3691</td>
<td>1.0878</td>
<td>69.0630</td>
<td>73.6752</td>
<td>6.6309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.0000</td>
<td>12.4161</td>
<td>2.1021</td>
<td>7.9598</td>
<td>16.8724</td>
<td>-2.4161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>62.0000</td>
<td>56.6309</td>
<td>1.0878</td>
<td>54.3248</td>
<td>58.9370</td>
<td>5.3691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39.0000</td>
<td>41.8926</td>
<td>1.3125</td>
<td>39.1103</td>
<td>44.6750</td>
<td>-2.8926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33.0000</td>
<td>27.1544</td>
<td>1.6737</td>
<td>23.6064</td>
<td>30.7024</td>
<td>5.8456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Solution: To obtain a joint coverage probability of at least 95% for $g = 5$ intervals, we use the Bonferroni correction and construct individual confidence intervals with coverage probability $1 - \alpha/g = 1 - 0.05/5 = 1 - 0.01 = 0.99$. Thus we would construct 99% confidence intervals for each value of $X$.

From the SAS output we see that $df_E = n - 2 = 16$, and $s\{\hat{Y}_h\} = 1.09$ when $X_h = 5$. To obtain the 99% CI we use $t^c = t(1-\alpha/2g, n-2) = t(0.995, 16) = 2.92$. Thus the 99% CI for the mean when $X = 5$ is $71.3691 \pm 2.921 \times 1.0878 = 71.3691 \pm 3.1775 = [68.2, 74.5]$.

2. Based on the following small data set, construct the design matrix, $X$, its transpose $X'$, and the matrices $X'X$, $(X'X)^{-1}$, $X'Y$, and $b = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$. 

Solution: The design matrix $X$ has the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 4 \\
1 & 6 \\
1 & 8 \\
1 & 10 \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

The transpose $X'$ has the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

As a result, the matrix $X'X$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 4 \\
1 & 6 \\
1 & 8 \\
1 & 10 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
5 & 30 \\
30 & 220 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Using the formula from Chapter 5 (Equation (5.22) on page 191), we have that

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{5 \times 220 - 30^2} \begin{bmatrix}
220 & -30 \\
-30 & 5 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{11}{320} & \frac{-3}{40} \\
\frac{-3}{40} & \frac{1}{10} \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1.1 & -0.15 \\
-0.15 & 0.025 \\
\end{bmatrix}.$$

The next part of the formula $X'Y$ can be calculated as

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
7 \\
9 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + 2 + 3 + 7 + 9 \\
2 + 8 + 18 + 56 + 90 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
22 \\
174 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

Finally, we can calculate the least squares estimate $b$ as

$$(X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \begin{bmatrix}
1.1 & -0.15 \\
-0.15 & 0.025 \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
22 \\
174 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
24.2 - 26.1 \\
-3.3 + 4.35 \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-1.9 \\
1.05 \\
\end{bmatrix}.$$

You can check this last calculation using proc reg in SAS.

For the following 5 problems, consider the data given in the file CH06PR18.DAT, which describes a data set $(n = 24)$ used to evaluate the relation between intermediate and senior level annual salaries of bachelor’s and master’s level mathematicians ($Y$, in thousand dollars) and an index of work quality ($X_1$), number of years of experience ($X_2$), and an index of publication success ($X_3$).

3. Run the multiple linear regression with quality, experience, and publications as the explanatory variables and salary as the response variable. Summarize the regression results by giving the fitted regression equation, the value of $R^2$, and the results of the significance test for the null hypothesis that the three regression coefficients for the explanatory variables are also zero (give null and alternative hypotheses, test statistic with degrees of freedom, $p$-value, and brief conclusion in words).

Solution: The fitted regression equation is $salary = 17.847 + 1.103 \times quality + 0.322 \times experience + 1.289 \times publications$. The $R^2$ value for this model is 0.911.
Testing $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ (all regression coefficients are zero) vs $H_A : \text{at least one regression coefficient is nonzero}$, we obtain an $F$-statistic of 68.12, which with $df = (3, 20)$ gives a $p$-value of $1.12 \times 10^{-10}$. We reject $H_0$ and conclude that at least one of the explanatory variables has a significant linear relationship with salary.

4. Give 95% confidence intervals (do not use a Bonferroni correction) for regression coefficients of quality, experience, and publications based on the multiple regression. Describe the results of the hypothesis tests for the individual regression coefficients (give null and alternative hypotheses, test statistic with degrees of freedom, $p$-value, and a brief conclusion in words). What is the relationship between these results and the confidence intervals?

**Solution:** The 95% confidence intervals are $[0.415, 1.791]$, $[0.244, 0.399]$, and $[0.666, 1.912]$ for the coefficients on quality, experience, and publications, respectively. For the rest of this problem, we refer to the coefficients as $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, and $\beta_3$, respectively.

The following table summarizes the hypothesis tests for the individual coefficients:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Test Statistic ($t$)</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>quality</td>
<td>$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ vs. $H_A : \beta_1 \neq 0$</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>Reject $H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experience</td>
<td>$H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ vs. $H_A : \beta_2 \neq 0$</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$3.35 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>Reject $H_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>publications</td>
<td>$H_0 : \beta_3 = 0$ vs. $H_A : \beta_3 \neq 0$</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.000333</td>
<td>Reject $H_0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We conclude that quality, experience, and publications has a significant linear relationship with salary. That each of these null hypotheses was rejected is consistent with the finding that none of the confidence intervals contain 0.

5. Plot the residuals versus the predicted salary and each of the explanatory variables (i.e., 4 residual plots). Are there any unusual patterns?

**Solution:** There do not appear to be any obvious deviations from a random scatter of points. The assumptions of linearity and constant variance do not seem to be violated in any obvious way. See Figures 1 and 2.

![Figure 1: Residual plots for Problem 5](image-url)
6. Examine the assumption of normality for the residuals using a qqplot and histogram. State your conclusions.

**Solution:** The residuals appear to be fairly normal. See Figure 3.

7. Predict the salary for a mathematician with quality index equal to 6.2, 8 years of experience, and publication index equal to 5.9. Provide a 95% prediction interval with your prediction.

**Solution:** The model predicts a salary of 34.86 (i.e., $34.863), with a 95% interval of [30.79, 38.94] (i.e., [$30.786, $38.940]).