

## Stat524 Midterm (Oct. 4 2000)

1). Suppose  $\vec{X}' = (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$  is multivariate normal with mean  $\mu = (1, 1, 1, 1)$  and covariance matrix

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 6.5 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 6.5 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a)(2) What is the joint distribution of  $(X_1, X_4)$  given  $X_3 = x_3$ ?

(b)(3) Two of the four eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$  are known to be 4.5 and 9, determine the other two eigenvalues.

(c)(3) Determine the shortest axis of the constant density ellipsoid that contains 95% of the probability.

(d)(2) Suppose  $\vec{X}_1, \dots, \vec{X}_{10}$  is a random sample from the population. What is the distribution of  $\bar{X} = (\vec{X}_1 + \dots + \vec{X}_{10})/10$ ?

2). Three psychological tests were given to 20 men, and part of the data is show in the following table. The variables are:  $y_1$  =pictorial inconsistencies,  $y_2$ =tool recognition and  $y_3$ = vocabulary.

case	$y_1$	$y_2$	$y_3$
1	15	24	14
2	17	32	26
3	15	29	23
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
15	18	34	26
16	16	16	16
17	16	28	27
18	18	32	23
19	17	21	21
20	11	25	23

The sample mean is  $\bar{x}'_m = (15.7, 26.3, 22.5)$ , and the sample covariance matrix is

$$S_m = \begin{pmatrix} 6.536842 & 8.884211 & 7.157895 \\ 8.884211 & 38.957895 & 19.578947 \\ 7.157895 & 19.578947 & 20.052632 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the inverse of  $S_m$  is

$$S_m^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.26310731 & -0.02513394 & -0.06937735 \\ -0.02513394 & 0.05280054 & -0.04258159 \\ -0.06937735 & -0.04258159 & 0.11620911 \end{pmatrix}$$

(a)(3) Test whether the average scores of men in the three exams are 15, 29 and 24. Give the explicit expression to calculate the test statistic  $T^2$ . In fact,  $T^2 = 13.42$ . What is your conclusion at  $\alpha = 5\%$ ?

(b)(3) Derive the 95% simultaneous confidence interval for  $\mu_1$ , the average score of men in the first test.

(c)(3) The simultaneous confidence intervals for  $\mu_2$  and  $\mu_3$  are (21.7, 30.9) and (19.2, 25.8). Observe that the simultaneous confidence intervals contain 15, 29 and 24 respectively. Is this contradictory to your conclusion in (a)? Explain.

(d)(5) Suppose the researchers were interested in whether the average scores of men in the three tests are the same. Which procedure you can apply to answer the question. Show the steps explicitly. You are not required to get the numeric results.

In the study, 20 women were also selected to take the same three tests. Their scores are summarized as  $\bar{x}_f = (13.15, 16.75, 22.65)$  and

$$S_f = \begin{pmatrix} 4.134211 & 5.355263 & 2.739474 \\ 5.355263 & 31.881579 & 12.171053 \\ 2.739474 & 12.171053 & 32.239474 \end{pmatrix}$$

(e)(6) Is there any significant difference between the scores of men and women in those tests? Show the steps that lead to the answer. What assumptions are needed to make the procedure valid?

3). In a classical experiment, apple trees of different rootstocks were compared. The data for eight trees from each of three rootstocks were given in the following table. And the variables

are  $y_1$ =trunk girth at 4 years (mm×100) and  $y_2$ =extension growth at 4 years (m).

root	trunk	exten
1	1.11	2.569
1	1.19	2.928
1	1.09	2.865
1	1.25	3.844
1	1.11	3.027
1	1.08	2.336
1	1.11	3.211
1	1.16	3.037
2	1.25	2.074
2	1.47	2.885
2	1.21	3.378
2	1.65	3.906
2	1.27	2.782
2	1.35	3.018
2	1.47	3.383
2	1.39	3.447
3	1.07	2.505
3	0.99	2.315
3	1.06	2.667
3	1.02	2.390
3	1.15	3.021
3	1.20	3.085
3	1.20	3.308
3	1.17	3.231

The summary statistics are as follows. For all the apple trees, the sample mean vector is  $\bar{x}' = (1.21, 2.97)$  and the total sample covariance matrix is

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0254 & 0.0447 \\ 0.0447 & 0.2159 \end{pmatrix}$$

For the apple trees of rootstock 1, the sample mean vector is  $\bar{x}'_1 = (1.14, 2.98)$ , and the sample covariance matrix is

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0034 & 0.0203 \\ 0.0203 & 0.2007 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the apple trees of rootstock 2, the sample mean vector is  $\bar{x}'_2 = (1.38, 3.11)$  and the sample covariance matrix is

$$S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0211 & 0.0501 \\ 0.0501 & 0.3048 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the apple trees of rootstock 3, the sample mean vector is  $\bar{x}'_3 = (1.11, 2.82)$  and the sample covariance matrix is

$$S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0069 & 0.0314 \\ 0.0314 & 0.1543 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a)(6) Construct the MANOVA table for testing that there no difference among apple trees of different rootstocks.

(b)(4) Let  $B$  be the treatment matrix of SSP and  $W$  the residual matrix of SSP in the MANOVA table. Suppose the eigenvalues of  $W^{-1}B$  are  $\lambda_1 = 2.56$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 0.02$ . Calculate the Wilks' test statistic.

(c)(5)The null hypothesis was indeed rejected. Bonferroni confidence intervals can be used to detect where the differences are. Derive the 95% confidence intervals for the comparison between rootstock 1 and rootstock 2.

4). Six hematology variables were measured on 20 workers. The variables are  $y_1$ =hemoglobin concentration,  $y_2$ = packed cell volume,  $y_3$  =white block cell count,  $y_4$ =lymphocyte count,  $y_5$ =neutrophil count and  $y_6$ =serum lead concentration. This data is shown in the following table.

Observation	$y_1$	$y_2$	$y_3$	$y_4$	$y_5$	$y_6$
1	13.4	39	410	14	25	17
2	14.6	46	500	15	30	20
3	13.5	42	450	19	21	18
4	15.0	46	460	23	16	18
5	14.6	44	510	17	31	19
6	14.0	44	490	20	24	19
7	16.4	49	430	21	17	18
8	14.8	44	440	16	26	29
9	15.2	46	410	27	13	27
10	15.5	48	840	34	42	36
11	15.2	47	560	26	27	22
12	16.9	50	510	28	17	23
13	14.8	44	470	24	20	23
14	16.2	45	560	26	25	19
15	14.7	43	400	23	13	17
16	14.7	42	340	9	22	13
17	16.5	45	540	18	32	17
18	15.4	45	690	28	36	24
19	15.1	45	460	17	29	17
20	14.2	46	420	14	25	28

The sample mean vector is  $\bar{x}' = (15, 45, 494.5, 20.1, 24.6, 21.2)$ . The sample covariance matrix  $S$  is

	$y_1$	$y_2$	$y_3$	$y_4$	$y_5$	$y_6$
$y_1$	0.8823947	1.7578947	35.67632	2.849211	0.1902632	0.5715789
$y_2$	1.7578947	6.3157895	113.68421	8.736842	0.5789474	5.9473684
$y_3$	35.6763158	113.6842105	12289.21053	474.973684	632.6578947	343.7894737
$y_4$	2.8492105	8.7368421	474.97368	37.839474	3.7657895	17.8000000
$y_5$	0.1902632	0.5789474	632.65789	3.765789	57.1026316	14.6210526
$y_6$	0.5715789	5.9473684	343.78947	17.8000000	14.6210526	29.4315789

(a)(5) Derive the first principal component based on  $S$ . What is the contribution of the first component to the total sample variance?

The result of (a) suggests that a more informative approach should be based on the sample

correlation matrix  $R$ , which is

	$y_1$	$y_2$	$y_3$	$y_4$	$y_5$	$y_6$
$y_1$	0.99999988	0.74464202	0.3425994	0.49308354	0.02680381	0.1121600
$y_2$	0.74464202	0.99999994	0.4080603	0.56515563	0.03048577	0.4362186
$y_3$	0.34259939	0.40806028	1.0000001	0.69652230	0.75522941	0.5716416
$y_4$	0.49308354	0.56515563	0.6965223	0.99999994	0.08101314	0.5333849
$y_5$	0.02680381	0.03048577	0.7552294	0.08101314	0.99999988	0.3566513
$y_6$	0.11215997	0.43621865	0.5716416	0.53338486	0.35665128	1.0000001

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of  $R$  are:

values:

$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_3$	$\lambda_4$	$\lambda_5$	$\lambda_6$
3.13830828	1.46844737	0.74461661	0.47456551	0.16391780	0.01014431

vectors:

$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_4$	$e_5$	$e_6$
0.3589955	-0.4872426	-0.49558993	-0.05094799	-0.61887954	-0.04999924
0.4268959	-0.4177236	-0.05382989	-0.45903750	0.65178284	0.06951970
0.4917640	0.3404033	-0.15317549	0.30288498	0.17197182	-0.70534913
0.4652894	-0.1526545	0.32666195	0.65633971	0.03645569	0.47051406
0.2683168	0.6334820	-0.47289802	-0.18071950	0.00846533	0.51994513
0.3981987	0.2182302	0.63062641	-0.48115301	-0.40148756	-0.05850865

(b)(5) Derive the major principal components. Justify your choices.

(c)(5) Can you detect any collinearity in the original variables? If yes, derive a possible approximate linear relationship explicitly.