

Stochastic heat equation with white-noise drift

by

ELISA ALOS, DAVID NUALART^{*}
Facultat de Matemàtiques
Universitat de Barcelona
Gran Via 585, 08007 Barcelona, SPAIN

FREDERI VIENS
Department of Mathematics
University of North Texas
P.O. Box 305118
Denton, TX 76203-5118, USA

^{*}Supported by the DGICYT grant n° PB96-0087.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence and uniqueness of a solution for anticipative stochastic evolution equations of the form

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t p(s, t, y, x) F(s, y, u(s, y)) dW_{s,y}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $W = \{W(t, x), t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a zero mean Gaussian random field with covariance $\frac{1}{2}(s \wedge t)(|x| + |y| - |x - y|)$. We assume that $p(s, t, y, x)$ is a stochastic semigroup measurable with respect to the σ -field $\sigma\{W(r, x) - W(s, x), x \in \mathbb{R}, r \in [s, t]\}$. The stochastic integral in Equation (1.1) is anticipative because the integrand is the product of an adapted factor $F(s, y, u(s, y))$ times $p(s, t, y, x)$, which is adapted to the future increments of the random field W . We interpret this integral in the Skorohod sense (see [15]) which coincides in this case with a two-sided stochastic integral (see [14]). The choice of this notion of stochastic integral is motivated by the concrete example handled in Section 5, where $p(s, t, y, x)$ is the backward heat kernel of the random operator $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \dot{v}(t, x) \frac{d}{dx}$, $\dot{v}(t, x)$ being a white-noise in time. In this case, $u(t, x)$ turns out to be (see Section 6) a weak solution of the stochastic partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \dot{v}(t, x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + F(t, x, u) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial x}. \quad (1.2)$$

A stochastic evolution equation of the form (1.1) on \mathbb{R}^d perturbed by a noise of the form $W(ds, y)dy$, where W is a random field with covariance $(s \wedge t)Q(x, y)$, Q being a bounded function, has been studied in [13]. Following the approach introduced in this paper we establish in Theorem 4.1 the existence and uniqueness of a solution to Equation (1.1) with values in $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$. Here $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$ means the space of real-valued functions f such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M(x)} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty$ where $M > 0$ and $p \geq 2$. This theorem is a consequence of the estimates of the moments of Skorohod integrals of the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) dW_{s,y},$$

obtained in Section 3 by means of the techniques of the Malliavin calculus.

2 Preliminaries

For $s, t \in [0, T]$, $s \leq t$, we set $I^t = [0, t] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $I_s^t = [s, t] \times \mathbb{R}$. Consider a Gaussian family of random variables $W = \{W(A), A \in \mathcal{B}(I^T), \mu(A) < \infty\}$, defined on a complete probability space, with zero mean, and covariance function given by

$$E(W(A)W(B)) = \mu(A \cap B),$$

where μ denotes the Lebesgue measure on I^T . We will assume that \mathcal{F} is generated by W and the P -null sets. For each $s, t \in [0, T]$, $s \leq t$ we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ the σ -algebra

generated by $\{W(A), A \subset [s, t] \times \mathbb{R}, \mu(A) < \infty\}$ and the P -null sets. We say that a stochastic process $u = \{u(t, x), (t, x) \in I^T\}$ is *adapted* if $u(t, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{0,t}$ -measurable for each (t, x) . Set $H = L^2(I^T, \mathcal{B}(I^T), \mu)$ and denote by $W(h) = \int_{I^T} h dW$ the Wiener integral of a deterministic function $h \in H$.

In the sequel we introduce the basic notation and results of the stochastic calculus of variations with respect to W . For a complete exposition we refer to [2, 11].

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of smooth and cylindrical random variables of the form

$$F = f(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $n \geq 1$, $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (f and all its partial derivatives are bounded), and $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$. Given a random variable F of the form (2.1), we define its derivative as the stochastic process $\{D_{t,x} F, (t, x) \in I^T\}$ given by

$$D_{t,x} F = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n)) h_i(t, x), \quad (t, x) \in I^T.$$

More generally, we can define the iterated derivative operator on a cylindrical random variable F by setting

$$D_{t_1, x_1, \dots, t_n, x_n}^n F = D_{t_1, x_1} \cdots D_{t_n, x_n} F.$$

The iterated derivative operator D^n is a closable unbounded operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2((I^T)^n \times \Omega)$ for each $n \geq 1$. We denote by $\mathbb{D}^{n,2}$ the closure of \mathcal{S} with respect to the norm defined by

$$\|F\|_{n,2}^2 = \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \|D^i F\|_{L^2((I^T)^i \times \Omega)}^2.$$

If V is a real and separable Hilbert space we denote by $\mathbb{D}^{n,2}(V)$ the corresponding Sobolev space of V -valued random variables.

We denote by δ the adjoint of the derivative operator D . That is, the domain of δ (denoted by $\text{Dom } \delta$) is the set of elements $u \in L^2(I^T \times \Omega)$ such that there exists a constant c satisfying

$$\left| E \int_{I^T} (D_{t,x} F) u(t, x) dt dx \right| \leq c \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{S}$. If $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$, $\delta(u)$ is the element in $L^2(\Omega)$ characterized by

$$E(\delta(u) F) = E \int_{I^T} (D_{t,x} F) u(t, x) dt dx, \quad F \in \mathcal{S}.$$

The operator δ is an extension of the Itô integral (see Skorohod [15]), in the sense that the set $L_a^2(I^T \times \Omega)$ of square integrable and adapted processes is included in $\text{Dom } \delta$ and the operator δ restricted to $L_a^2(I^T \times \Omega)$ coincides with the Itô stochastic integral defined in [16]. We will make use of the notation $\delta(u) = \int_{I^T} u(t, x) dW_{t,x}$ for any $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$.

We recall that $\mathbb{L}^{1,2} := L^2(I^T, \mathbb{D}^{1,2})$ is included in the domain of δ , and for a process $u \in \mathbb{L}^{1,2}$ we can compute the variance of the Skorohod integral of u as follows:

$$E\delta(u)^2 = E \int_{I^T} u^2(t, x) dt dx + E \int_{I^T} \int_{I^T} D_{s,y} u(t, x) D_{t,x} u(s, y) dt dx ds dy.$$

We need the following results on the Skorohod integral:

Proposition 2.1 *Let $u \in \text{Dom } \delta$ and consider a random variable $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ such that $E(F^2 \int_{I^T} u(t, x)^2 dt dx) < \infty$. Then*

$$\int_{I^T} F u(t, x) dW_{t,x} = F \int_{I^T} u(t, x) dW_{t,x} - \int_{I^T} (D_{t,x} F) u(t, x) dt dx, \quad (2.2)$$

in the sense that $Fu \in \text{Dom } \delta$ if and only if the right-hand side of (2.2) is square integrable.

Proposition 2.2 *Consider a process u in $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}$. Suppose that for almost all $(\theta, z) \in I^T$, the process $\{D_{\theta,z} u(s, y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,\theta]}(s), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$ and, moreover,*

$$E \int_{I^T} \left| \int_{I^\theta} D_{\theta,z} u(s, y) dW_{s,y} \right|^2 d\theta dz < \infty.$$

Then u belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$ and we have the following expression for the variance of the Skorohod integral of u :

$$\begin{aligned} E \delta(u)^2 &= E \int_{I^T} u^2(s, y) ds dy \\ &+ 2 E \int_{I^T} u(\theta, z) \left(\int_{I^\theta} D_{\theta,z} u(s, y) dW_{s,y} \right) d\theta dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We make use of the change-of-variables formula for the Skorohod integral:

Theorem 2.3 *Consider a process of the form $X_t = \int_{I^t} u(s, y) dW_{s,y}$, where*

- (i) $u \in \mathbb{L}^{2,2}$,
- (ii) $u \in L^\beta(I^T \times \Omega)$, for some $\beta > 2$,
- (iii) $\int_{I^T} u^2(s, y) ds dy < N$,

for some positive constant N . Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differentiable function such that F'' is bounded. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_t) &= F(0) + \int_{I^t} F'(X_s) u(s, y) dW_{s,y} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{I^t} F''(X_s) u^2(s, y) ds dy \\ &+ \int_{I^t} F''(X_s) u(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} u(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) ds dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Notice that under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 the process X_t has a continuous version (see [2, 5]) and, moreover, $\{F'(X_s) u(s, y), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$.

3 Estimates for the Skorohod integral

We denote by C a generic constant that can change from one formula to another one. Let $p(s, t, y, x)$ be a random measurable function defined on $\{0 \leq s < t \leq T, x, y \in \mathbb{R}\} \times \Omega$. We will assume that the following conditions hold:

(H1) For all $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $p(s, t, y, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -measurable.

(H2) $p(s, t, y, x) \geq 0$, for each $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H3) For all $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t, y, x) dy = 1$.

(H4) For each $s \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $p(s, t, y, \cdot)$ is continuous in $t \in (s, T]$ with values in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

(H5) For all $0 \leq s < r < t \leq T$, and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$p(s, t, y, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, r, y, z) p(r, t, z, x) dz.$$

(H6) For all $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $p(s, t, y, x) \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $p(s, t, \cdot, x)$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Moreover, there exists a version of the derivative such that the following limit exists in $L^2(\Omega; L^2(\mathbb{R}))$ for each s, z, t, x

$$D_{s,z}^- p(s, t, \cdot, x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} D_{s,z} p(s - \varepsilon, t, \cdot, x). \quad (3.1)$$

(H7) For all $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \geq 1$ there exists a nonnegative random variable $V_p(s, t, x)$ $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -measurable and $\delta_p > 0$ such that

$$p(s, t, y, x) \leq V_p(s, t, x) \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta_p(t-s)}\right)$$

and satisfying that for all $p \leq 1$, there exists a positive constant $C_{1,p}$ such that

$$\|V_p(s, t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{1,p} |t-s|^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(H8) For all $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, and $p \geq 1$ there exists a nonnegative random variable $U_p(s, t, x)$ $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -measurable a constant $\gamma_p > 0$ and a nonnegative measurable deterministic function $f(y, z)$ such that

- (i) $|D_{s,z}^- p(s, t, y, x)| \leq U_p(s, t, x) \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\gamma_p(t-s)}\right) f(y, z)$,
- (ii) $\sup_y \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2(y, z) dz \leq C_f$,
- (iii) $\|U_p(s, t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{2,p} |t-s|^{-1}$,

for some positive constants $C_{2,p}$, $C_f > 0$.

The following lemma is a straightforward consequence of the above hypotheses:

Lemma 3.1 *Under the above hypotheses we have that for all $0 \leq r < s < t \leq T$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$D_{s,y} p(r, t, z, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (D_{s,y}^- p(s, t, u, x)) p(r, s, z, u) du. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Taking into account the properties of the derivative operator and using hypotheses (H1), (H5) and (H6) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{s,y} p(r, t, z, x) &= D_{s,y} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(r, s - \varepsilon, z, u) p(s - \varepsilon, t, u, x) du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(r, s - \varepsilon, z, u) D_{s,y} p(s - \varepsilon, t, u, x) du. \end{aligned}$$

Now, letting ε tend to zero and using hypotheses (H1), (H4), (H6) and (H8) we can easily complete the proof. \square

We are now in a position to prove our estimates for the Skorohod integral. For all $M > 0$, we will denote by $L_M^p(I^T \times \Omega)$ the space of processes $\phi = \{\phi(s, y), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ such that

$$E \int_{I^T} e^{-M|y|} |\phi(s, y)|^p ds dy < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.2 *Fix $p > 4$, $\alpha \in [0, \frac{p-4}{4p})$ and $M > 0$. Let $\phi = \{\phi(s, y), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ be an adapted process in $L_M^p(I^T \times \Omega)$. Assume that $p(s, t, y, x)$ is a stochastic kernel satisfying hypotheses (H1) to (H8). Then, for almost all $(t, x) \in I^T$, the process*

$$\{(t-s)^{-\alpha} p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s), (s, y) \in I^T\}$$

belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E \left| \int_{I^t} (t-s)^{-\alpha} p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) dW_{s,y} \right|^p dx \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} E |\phi(s, y)|^p dy \right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for some positive constant C depending only on $\alpha, p, T, M, \delta_p, \gamma_p, C_{1,p}, C_{2,p}$ and C_f .

Proof. Let us denote by \mathcal{S}^a the space of simple and adapted processes of the form

$$\phi(s, y) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{m-1} F_{ij} \mathbb{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}(s) h_j(y),$$

where $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = T$, $h_j \in \mathcal{C}_K^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and the F_{ij} are \mathcal{F}_{0,t_i} -measurable functions in \mathcal{S} . Let ϕ be an adapted process in $L_M^p(I^T \times \Omega)$. We can find a sequence ϕ^n of processes in \mathcal{S}^a such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} E |\phi^n(s, y) - \phi(s, y)|^p dy \right) ds = 0.$$

We can easily check that this implies the existence of a subsequence n_k such that for almost all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} E |\phi^{n_k}(s, y) - \phi(s, y)|^p dy \right) ds = 0.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ and hypothesis (H7) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left(\int_{I^t} (t-s)^{-2\alpha} p^2(s, t, y, x) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times |\phi^{n_k}(s, y) - \phi(s, y)|^2 ds dy \right) dx \right) dt \\ &\leq C_{1,2}^2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left(\int_{I^t} (t-s)^{-2\alpha-1} \exp\left(-\frac{2|x-y|^2}{\delta_2(t-s)}\right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \times E |\phi^{n_k}(s, y) - \phi(s, y)|^2 ds dy \right) dx \right) dt \\ &= C_{1,2}^2 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{IT} E |\phi^{n_k}(s, y) - \phi(s, y)|^2 \left(\int_{I_s^T} (t-s)^{-2\alpha-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \exp\left(-M|x| - \frac{2|x-y|^2}{\delta_2(t-s)}\right) dt dx \right) ds dy. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-M|x| - \frac{2|x-y|^2}{\delta_2(t-s)}\right) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-M|x+y| - \frac{2x^2}{\delta_2(t-s)}\right) dx \\ &\leq e^{-M|y|} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(M|x| - \frac{2x^2}{\delta_2(t-s)}\right) dx \leq K_1 \sqrt{t-s} e^{-M|y|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_1 = \sqrt{2\pi\delta_2} e^{\frac{M^2\delta_2T}{8}}$. Then

$$A \leq C_{1,2}^2 K_1 \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{IT} e^{-M|y|} E |\phi^{n_k}(s, y) - \phi(s, y)|^2 ds dy = 0.$$

Then, choosing a subsequence (denoted again by n_k) we have that for almost all $(t, x) \in I^T$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E \int_{I^t} (t-s)^{-2\alpha} p^2(s, t, y, x) |\phi^{n_k}(s, y) - \phi(s, y)|^2 ds dy = 0.$$

This allows us to suppose that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^a$. Fix $t_0 > t_1$ in $[0, T]$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} B_x(s, y) &= (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \\ X(t, x) &= \int_{I^t} B_x(s, y) dW_{s,y}, \quad t \in [0, t_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $F(x) = |x|^p$. Let F_N be the increasing sequence of functions defined by

$$F_N(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \int_0^y (p(p-1) z^{p-2} \wedge N) dz dy.$$

Suppose first that $p(s, t, y, x)$ is an elementary backward-adapted process of the form

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n H_{ijk} \beta_j(y) \gamma_k(z) \mathbb{1}_{(s_i, s_{i+1}]}(s),$$

where $H_{ijk} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\beta_j, \gamma_k \in \mathcal{C}_K^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $0 = s_1 < \dots < s_{n+1} = t$, and H_{ijk} is $\mathcal{F}_{s_{i+1}, t_1}$ -measurable. Then we can apply Itô's formula (see Theorem 2.3) for the function F_N and the process $B_x(s, y)$, obtaining that for all $t < t_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(F_N(X(t, x)) \right) &= \frac{1}{2} E \int_{I^t} F_N''(X(s, x)) B_x^2(s, y) ds dy \\ &+ E \int_{I^t} F_N''(X(s, x)) B_x(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) ds dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Using hypotheses (H1), (H7) and (H8), Lemma 3.1 and the fact that ϕ is simple and adapted we can easily check that, for all $p(s, t, y, x)$ satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem, and for all $t < t_1$

- (i) $E \int_{I^t} B_x^2(s, y) ds dy < \infty$,
- (ii) $E \left(\int_{I^t} B_x(s, y) dW_{s,y} \right)^2 < \infty$,
- (iii) $E \int_{I^t} \left| \int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right|^2 ds dy < \infty$.

This allows us to deduce that (3.4) still holds for every $p(s, t, y, x)$ satisfying hypotheses (H1) to (H8) and for all $t < t_1$. We can easily check that $F_N''(x) \leq (2^{1+\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{p(p-1)})(F_N(x))^{\frac{p-2}{p}}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E F_N(X(t, x)) &\leq C_p \left\{ \frac{1}{2} E \int_{I^t} \left(F_N(X(s, x)) \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} B_x^2(s, y) ds dy \right. \\ &\left. + E \int_{I^t} \left(F_N(X(s, x)) \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \right| ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hölder's inequality gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} E F_N(X(t, x)) &\leq C_p \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \left(E F_N(X(s, x)) \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x^2(s, y) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \right. \\ &\left. + \int_0^t \left(E F_N(X(s, x)) \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the lemma of [17], pg. 171 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} E F_N(X(t, x)) &\leq C_p \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x^2(s, y) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \right. \\ &\left. + \int_0^t \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fatou's lemma gives us that, letting N tend to infinity

$$\begin{aligned}
E |X(t, x)|^p &\leq C_p \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x^2(s, y) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \right. \\
&+ \left. \int_0^t \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \right\} \\
&=: C_p \left(\frac{1}{2} I_1 + I_2 \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-2\alpha} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p^2(s, t_1, y, x) \phi^2(s, y) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \\
&\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-2\alpha} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{-2|x-y|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)}\right) V_p^2(s, t_1, x) \phi^2(s, y) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \\
&\leq C_{1,2}^2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-2\alpha-1} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{-2|x-y|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)}\right) \phi^2(s, y) dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-2\alpha-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(\frac{-2|x-y|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)}\right) E |\phi(s, y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \\
&= (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{I^s} (t_0 - r)^{-\alpha} [D_{s,y} p(r, t_1, z, x)] \phi(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \\
&= (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \\
&\quad \times \left(\int_{I^s} (t_0 - r)^{-\alpha} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(r, s, z, u) D_{s,y}^- p(s, t_1, u, x) du \right] \phi(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \\
&= (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{s,y}^- p(s, t_1, u, x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(\int_{I^s} (t_0 - r)^{-\alpha} p(r, s, z, u) \phi(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) du \right] dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $Y(s, u) := \int_{I^s} (t_0 - r)^{-\alpha} p(r, s, z, u) \phi(r, z) dW_{r,z}$. Notice that $X(t_1, x) = Y(t_1, x)$. We have proved that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} B_x(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_x(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) dy \\
&= (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{s,y}^- p(s, t_1, u, x) Y(s, u) du \right] dy,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$I_2 \leq \int_0^t (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{s,y}^- p(s, t_1, u, x) Y(s, u) du \right] dy \right|^{\frac{2}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} ds.$$

We have that

$$E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{s,y}^- p(s, t_1, u, x) Y(s, u) du \right] dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ = E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(s, t_1, y, x) D_{s,y}^- p(s, t_1, u, x) \phi(s, y) Y(s, u) du dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ \leq E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V_p(s, t_1, x) U_p(s, t_1, x) f(u, y) \exp \left(-\frac{|y-x|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)} - \frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_p(t_1-s)} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times |\phi(s, y) Y(s, u)| du dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ \leq C |t_1 - s|^{-\frac{3p}{4}} E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(u, y) \exp \left(-\frac{|y-x|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)} - \frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_p(t_1-s)} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times |\phi(s, y) Y(s, u)| du dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

Applying Schwartz inequality we obtain

$$E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t_1, y, x) \phi(s, y) \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{s,y}^- p(s, t_1, u, x) Y(s, u) du \right] dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ \leq C (t_1 - s)^{-\frac{3p}{4}} E \left(\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Y^2(s, u) f^2(u, y) e^{-\frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_p(t_1-s)}} du dy \right|^{\frac{p}{4}} \right. \\ \left. \times \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi^2(s, y) e^{-\frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_p(t_1-s)} - \frac{2|y-x|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)}} du dy \right|^{\frac{p}{4}} \right) \\ \leq C (t_1 - s)^{-\frac{5p}{8}} E \left(\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y^2(s, u) e^{-\frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_p(t_1-s)}} du \right|^{\frac{p}{4}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^2(s, y) e^{-\frac{2|y-x|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)}} dy \right|^{\frac{p}{4}} \right) \\ \leq C (t_1 - s)^{-\frac{5p}{8}} \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} Y^2(s, u) e^{-\frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_p(t_1-s)}} du \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right. \\ \left. + E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^2(s, y) e^{-\frac{2|y-x|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)}} dy \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right) \\ \leq C (t_1 - s)^{-\frac{3p}{8} - \frac{1}{2}} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |Y(s, y)|^p e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\gamma_p(t_1-s)}} dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\phi(s, y)|^p e^{-\frac{2|x-y|^2}{\delta_p(t_1-s)}} dy \right).$$

This yields

$$I_2 \leq C \int_0^t (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{(\frac{\delta_p}{2} \vee \gamma_p)(t_1 - s)}\right) \times E\left(|\phi(s, y)|^p + |Y(s, y)|^p\right) dy \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ds. \quad (3.7)$$

Putting (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.5) and using the fact that $\alpha < \frac{p-4}{4p}$ we obtain that

$$E |X(t, x)|^p \leq C \int_0^t (t_0 - s)^{-\alpha - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c(t_1 - s)}\right) \times E\left(|\phi(s, y)|^p + |Y(s, y)|^p\right) dy \right) ds$$

where $c = \max(\delta_p, \gamma_p)$.

Now we make t tend to t_1 and use Fatou's lemma to obtain

$$E |Y(t_1, x)|^p \leq C \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{-\alpha - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c(t_1-s)}} E\left(|\phi(s, y)|^p + |Y(s, y)|^p\right) dy \right) ds.$$

Using an iterative procedure we have that

$$E |Y(t, x)|^p \leq C \int_0^t (t - s)^{-\alpha - \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{c(t-s)}} E |\phi(s, y)|^p dy \right) ds,$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Finally for any fixed $t \in [0, T)$ letting the parameter t_0 in the definition of $Y(t, x)$ to converge to t and integrating with respect to the measure $e^{-M|x|} dx$ leads to the desired result. \square

Let us now consider the following additional condition over the stochastic kernel $p(s, t, y, x)$:

(H9)_M There exists a constant $C_M > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq T} E \left(\sup_{s \geq r} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} p(r, s, y, x) dx \right) \leq C_M e^{-M|y|}.$$

We will denote by $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$ the space of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty$.

Theorem 3.3 Fix $p > 8$ and $M > 0$. Let $\phi = \{\phi(s, y), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ be an adapted process in $L_M^p(I^T \times \Omega)$. Assume that $p(s, t, y, x)$ is a stochastic kernel satisfying conditions (H1) to (H8) and (H9)_M. Then for all $t \in [0, T]$, the process $\{p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) \mathbb{1}_{[0, t]}(s), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and the stochastic process

$$Z = \left\{ Z_t = \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, \cdot) \phi(s, y) dW_{s, y}, t \in [0, T] \right\}$$

posseses a continuous version with values in $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) dW_{s,y} \right|^p dx \right) \\ & \leq C \int_{I^T} e^{-M|y|} E |\phi(s, y)|^p ds dy, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for some positive constant C depending only on $T, p, M, C_{1,p}, C_{2,p}, C_f, \gamma_p, \delta_p$ and C_M .

Proof. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we can assume that the process ϕ is simple. Fix $0 < \alpha < \frac{p-4}{4p}$ and define

$$Y(r, u) = \int_{I^r} (r-s)^{-\alpha} p(s, r, y, u) \phi(s, y) dW_{s,y}.$$

As $p(s, t, y, x) = C_\alpha \int_{I_s^t} (t-r)^{\alpha-1} (r-s)^{-\alpha} p(s, r, y, u) p(r, t, u, x) dr du$, with $C_\alpha = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi}$ it is easy to show that

$$Z_t(x) = C_\alpha \int_{I^t} (t-r)^{\alpha-1} p(r, t, u, x) Y(r, u) dr du.$$

Then we have that for any $t < t'$ and $\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{p-4}{4p}\right)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} |Z_{t'}(x) - Z_t(x)|^p dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{I_t^{t'}} (t-r)^{\alpha-1} p(r, t', u, x) Y(r, u) dr du \right|^p dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{I_t} (t-r)^{\alpha-1} [p(r, t', u, x) - p(r, t, u, x)] Y(r, u) dr du \right|^p dx \\ & \leq C_{\alpha,p} (t' - t)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}} \int_{I^{t'}} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(r, t', u, x) Y(r, u) du \right|^p dr dx \\ & \quad + C_{\alpha,p} t^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}} \int_{I^t} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p(r, t', u, x) - p(r, t, u, x)] Y(r, u) du \right|^p dr dx \\ & \leq C_{\alpha,p} (t' - t)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{p}} \int_{I^T} |Y(r, u)|^p \left(\sup_{s \geq r} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} p(r, s, u, x) dx \right) dr du \\ & \quad + C \int_{I^T} e^{-M|x|} \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(r, t', u, x) - p(r, t, u, x)| |Y(r, u)|^p du dr dx. \end{aligned}$$

By dominated convergence, and using hypothesis (H4) both summands in the above expression converges to zero as $|t' - t| \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, taking $t = 0$ we obtain (3.8). \square

We will also need the following L^2 -estimate for the Skorohod integral.

Theorem 3.4 Fix $M > 0$. Let $\phi = \{\phi(s, y), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ be an adapted random field in $L_M^2(I^T \times \Omega)$. Assume that $p(s, t, y, x)$ is a stochastic kernel satisfying conditions (H1) to (H8). Then, for almost all $(t, x) \in I^T$, the process

$$\{p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s), (s, y) \in I^T\}$$

belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$ and we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E \left| \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) dW_{s,y} \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E |\phi(s, y)|^2 dy \right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for some positive constant C depending only on $T, M, C_{1,2}, C_{2,2}, C_f, \delta_2$ and γ_2 .

Proof. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 we can assume that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}^a$. Fix $(t, x) \in I^T$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} B_{t,x}(s, y) &= p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s) \\ X(t, x) &= \int_{I^t} B_{t,x}(s, y) dW_{s,y}. \end{aligned}$$

By the isometry properties of the Skorohod integral (Proposition 2.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E |X(t, x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left(\int_{I^t} E |B_{t,x}(s, y)|^2 ds dy \right) dx \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E \left[\int_{I^t} B_{t,x}(s, y) \left(\int_{I^s} D_{s,y} B_{t,x}(r, z) dW_{r,z} \right) ds dy \right] dx \\ & = I_1 + 2 I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

By hypothesis (H7) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left(\int_{I^t} E |V_2(s, t, x)|^2 \exp \left(-\frac{2|x-y|^2}{\delta_2(t-s)} \right) E |\phi(s, y)|^2 ds dy \right) dx \\ & \leq C_{1,2}^2 \int_{I^t} (t-s)^{-1} E |\phi(s, y)|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left(-M|x| - \frac{2|x-y|^2}{\delta_2(t-s)} \right) dx \right) ds dy \\ & \leq C_{1,2}^2 K_1 \int_{I^t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-M|y|} E |\phi(s, y)|^2 ds dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand, using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= E \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-M|x|} p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{s,y}^- p(s, t, u, x) X(s, u) du \right) dx dy ds \\ &= E \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-M|x|} p(s, t, y, x) \mathbb{D}_{s,y}^- p(s, t, u, x) \phi(s, y) X(s, u) dx dy du ds \\ &\leq \int_{I^t} e^{-M|x|} \left(E |V_2(s, t, x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(E |U_2(s, t, x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\delta_2(t-s)} - \frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_2(t-s)} \right) f(u, y) E |\phi(s, y) X(s, u)| dy du \right] ds dx \\ &\leq C_{1,2} C_{2,2} \int_{I^t} e^{-M|x|} (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E |X(s, u)|^2 f(u, y)^2 e^{-\frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_2(t-s)}} du dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} E |\phi(s, y)|^2 e^{-\frac{|x-u|^2}{\gamma_2(t-s)} - \frac{2|x-y|^2}{\delta_2(t-s)}} du dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds dx \\ &\leq C \int_{I^t} e^{-M|x|} (t-s)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{(\frac{\delta}{2} \vee \gamma_2)(t-s)}} \left(E |X(s, y)|^2 + E |\phi(s, y)|^2 \right) dy \right) ds dx \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} \left(E |X(s, y)|^2 + E |\phi(s, y)|^2 \right) dy \right) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Now, substituting (3.12) and (3.11) into (3.10) and using an iteration argument the result follows. \square

Using the same arguments it is easy to show the following result.

Corollary 3.5 *Let $\phi = \{\phi(s, y), (s, y) \in I^T\}$ be an adapted process in $L^2(I^T \times \Omega)$. Assume that $p(s, t, y, x)$ is a random function satisfying hypotheses (H1) to (H8). Then, for almost all $(t, x) \in I^T$, the process*

$$\{p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(s), (s, y) \in I^T\}$$

belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} E \left| \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, x) \phi(s, y) dW_{s,y} \right|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} E |\phi(s, y)|^2 dy \right) ds, \quad (3.13)$$

for some positive constant C depending only on $T, C_{1,2}, C_{2,2}, C_f, \delta_2$ and γ_2 .

4 Existence and uniqueness of solution for stochastic evolution equations with a random kernel

Our purpose in this section is to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for the following anticipating stochastic evolution equation

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t, y, x) F(s, y, u(s, y)) dW_{s,y}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $p(s, t, y, x)$ is a stochastic kernel satisfying conditions (H1) to (H8) and (H9)_M, $u_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the initial condition and $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a stochastic random field. Let us consider the following hypotheses.

(F1) F is measurable with respect to the σ -field $\mathcal{B}([0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{0,t}$, when restricted to $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Omega$, for each $t \in [0, T]$.

(F2) For all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|F(t, y, x) - F(t, y, z)| \leq C |x - z|,$$

for some positive constant C .

(F3)_M^p For all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|F(t, x, 0)| \leq h(x),$$

for some $h \in L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$.

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.1 *Fix $M > 0$ and $p > 8$. Let u_0 be a function in $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$. Consider an adapted random field $F(s, y, x)$ satisfying conditions (F1) to (F3)_M^p and a stochastic kernel $p(s, t, y, x)$ satisfying hypotheses (H1) to (H8) and (H9)_M. Then, there exists a unique adapted random field $u = \{u(t, x), (t, x) \in I^T\}$ in $L_M^2(I^T \times \Omega)$ that is solution of (4.1). Moreover,*

(i) $\{u(t, \cdot), t \in [0, T]\}$ is continuous a.s. as a process with values in $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} |u(t, x)|^p dx \right) \leq C, \quad (4.2)$$

for some positive constant C depending only on $T, p, M, C_{1,p}, C_{2,p}, C_f, \delta_p, \gamma_p$ and C_M .

(ii) If, moreover, u_0 and h belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $u \in L^2(I^T \times \Omega)$.

Proof of existence and uniqueness. Suppose that u and v are two adapted solutions of (4.1) in $L_M^2(I^T \times \Omega)$, for some $M > 0$. Then, for every $t \in [0, T]$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E |u(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E \left| \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, x) \left(F(s, y, u(s, y)) - F(s, y, v(s, y)) \right) dW_{s,y} \right|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4 and the Lipschitz condition on F we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E |u(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2 dx \leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} E |u(s, y) - v(s, y)|^2 dy \right) ds.$$

Applying an iteration argument we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E |u(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2 dx \leq C \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} E |u(s, y) - v(s, y)|^2 dy \right) ds,$$

from where we deduce that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} E |u(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2 dx = 0$. Consider now the Picard approximations

$$\begin{cases} u^0(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy \\ u^n(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy + \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, x) F(s, y, u^{n-1}(s, y)) dW_{s,y}. \end{cases}$$

By hypothesis (H1), $u^0(t, x)$ is adapted. On the other hand, using hypotheses (H3) and (H9)_M we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy \right|^2 dx \right) \\ & \leq E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(y)|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} p(0, t, y, x) dx \right) dy \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} |u_0(y)|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using induction on n and Theorem 3.4 it is easy to show that u^n is adapted and belongs to $L_M^2(I^T \times \Omega)$. Using a recurrence argument we can easily show that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} |u^{n+1}(t, x) - u^n(t, x)|^2 dx \right) < \infty,$$

and the limit u of the sequence u^n provides the solution.

Proof of (i) Using the same arguments as in the proof of the existence we can see that the solution u belongs to $L_M^p(I^T \times \Omega)$. Now we have to show that the following two terms are a.s. continuous in $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy \\ A_2(t) &= \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, x) F(s, y, u(s, y)) dW_{s,y}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove the continuity of A , note that hypothesis (H9)_M implies that, for all φ and ϕ in $L_M^p(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) (\varphi(y) - \phi(y)) dy \right|^p dx \right) \\ &\leq E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\varphi(y) - \phi(y)|^p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} p(0, t, y, x) dx \right) dy \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} |\varphi(y) - \phi(y)|^p dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can assume that u_0 is a smooth function with compact support. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p(0, t + \varepsilon, y, x) - p(0, t, y, x)) u_0(y) dy \right|^p dx \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \|u_0\|_{\infty}^p \int_{\mathbb{R} \times K} e^{-M|x|} |p(0, t + \varepsilon, y, x) - p(0, t, y, x)| dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero by hypotheses (H4) and (H9)_M. The continuity of A_2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.3. Finally, using a recurrence argument it is easy to prove that the Picard approximations u^n satisfy that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} |u^{n+1}(t, x) - u^n(t, x)|^p dx \right) < \infty,$$

from where (4.2) follows. \square

Proof of existence in $L^2(I^T \times \Omega)$. Using hypothesis (H7) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_{I^T} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy \right|^2 dt dx &\leq E \int_{I^T} |u_0(y)|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) dx \right) dt dy \\ &\leq T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u_0(y)|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using now induction on n and Corollary 3.5 it is easy to show that $\int_{I^T} E|u^n(t, x)|^2 dt dx < \infty$ and that u^n is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(I^T \times \Omega)$. This implies that u belongs to $L^2(I^T \times \Omega)$.

For every $p \geq 1$, $p \geq \varepsilon > 0$ and $K > 0$ we denote by $W^{p,\varepsilon}(K)$ the set of continuous functions $f : [-K, K] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{p,\varepsilon,K}^p := \int_{[-K,K]^2} \frac{|f(x) - f(z)|^p}{|x - z|^{2+\varepsilon}} dx dz < \infty.$$

Notice that if $f \in W^{p,\varepsilon}(K)$, then f is Hölder continuous in $[-K, K]$ with order ε/p .

Now our purpose is to prove that, under some suitable hypotheses, the solution $u(t, \cdot)$ belongs to $W^{p,\varepsilon}(K)$, for some $p \geq 1$, $p \geq \varepsilon > 0$ and all $K > 0$.

Theorem 4.2 Fix $p > 4$ and $M > 0$. Let u_0 be a function in $L^p_M(\mathbb{R})$. Consider an adapted random field $F(s, y, x)$ satisfying hypotheses (F1) to (F3) $_M^p$ and a stochastic kernel $p(s, t, y, x)$ satisfying (H1) to (H8) and (H9) $_M$. Then, the solution $u(t, x)$ constructed in Theorem 4.1 belongs a.s., as a function in x , to $W^{p,\varepsilon}(K)$, for all $p > 8$, $\varepsilon < \frac{p}{2} - 3$ and $K > 0$.

Proof. We have to show that the following two terms belong to $W^{p,\varepsilon}(K)$:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy \\ B_2(x) &= \int_{I^t} p(s, t, y, x) F(s, y, u(s, y)) dW_{s,y}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{[-K, K]^2} \frac{|B_1(x) - B_1(z)|^p}{|x - z|^{2+\varepsilon}} dx dz \\ &= \int_{[-K, K]^2} |x - z|^{-2-\varepsilon} E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} [p(0, t, y, x) - p(0, t, y, z)] u_0(y) dy \right|^p dx dz, \\ &\leq \int_{[-K, K]^2} |x - z|^{-2-\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|p(0, t, y, x) - p(0, t, y, z)\|_p |u_0(y)| dy \right)^p dx dz. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account estimate (5.10) and the same arguments as in [4], pg. 17 it is easy to show that $\forall 0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|p(s, t, y, x) - p(s, t, y, z)\|_p \\ &\leq K |x - z|^\beta (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\beta+1)} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{|y-x|^2}{c(t-s)}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{|y-z|^2}{c(t-s)}\right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

for some $K, c > 0$. This gives us that, taking $\beta = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{[-K, K]^2} \frac{|B_1(x) - B_1(z)|^p}{|x - z|^{2+\varepsilon}} dx dz \\ &\leq Ct^{-p} \int_{[-K, K]^2} |x - z|^{p-2-\varepsilon} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-x|^2}{ct}\right) |u_0(y)| dy \right)^p dx dz \\ &\leq Ct^{-p} \int_{[-K, K]} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-x|^2}{ct}\right) |u_0(y)| dy \right)^p dx \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{p}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|y|} |u_0(y)|^p dy < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us that $B_1(x)$ belongs a.s. to $W^{p,\varepsilon}(K)$. On the other hand, as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we can write for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{p-4}{4p})$

$$B_2(x) = C_\alpha \int_{I^t} (t-r)^{\alpha-1} p(r, t, u, x) Y(r, u) dr du,$$

where

$$Y(r, u) := \int_{I^r} (r-s)^{-\alpha} p(s, r, y, u) F(s, y, u(s, y)) dW_{s,y}.$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{[-K, K]^2} \frac{|B_2(x) - B_2(z)|^p}{|x-z|^{2+\varepsilon}} dx dz \\ &= C E \int_{[-K, K]^2} |x-z|^{-2-\varepsilon} \left| \int_{I^t} (t-r)^{\alpha-1} [p(r, t, u, x) - p(r, t, u, z)] Y(r, u) dr du \right|^p dx dz. \end{aligned}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality and the estimate (4.3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{[-K, K]^2} \frac{|B_2(x) - B_2(z)|^p}{|x-z|^{2+\varepsilon}} dx dz \\ &\leq C \int_{[-K, K]^2} |x-z|^{\beta p - 2 - \varepsilon} \left(\int_{I^t} (t-r)^{\alpha - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|u-x|^2}{c(t-r)}\right) \|Y(r, u)\|_p dr du \right)^p dx dz \\ &\leq C \int_{[-K, K]^2} \left[\int_0^t (t-r)^{\alpha - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{|u-x|^2}{c(t-r)}\right) \|Y(r, u)\|_p du \right) dr \right]^p dx \\ &= C \left[\int_0^t (t-r)^{\alpha - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\beta}{2}} \left(\int_{[-K, K]} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{|u-x|^2}{c(t-r)}\right) \|Y(r, u)\|_p du \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dr \right]^p. \end{aligned}$$

Using Holder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E \int_{[-K, K]^2} \frac{|B_2(x) - B_2(z)|^p}{|x-z|^{2+\varepsilon}} dx dz \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^t (t-r)^{\alpha-1-\frac{\beta}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|u|} E|Y(r, u)|^p du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dr \right)^p \\ &\leq C t^{p\alpha - \beta\frac{p}{2} - 1} \int_{I^t} e^{-M|u|} E|Y(r, u)|^p dr du, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\alpha > \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\beta}{2}$. Finally, from the proof of Theorem 3.2 and the facts that $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha < \frac{p-4}{4p}$ it is easy to show that $\int_{I^t} e^{-M|u|} E|Y(r, u)|^p dr du < \infty$, which allows us to complete the proof. We have made use of the following conditions

$$p\beta > \varepsilon + 1, \quad \alpha > \frac{1}{p} + \frac{\beta}{2}, \quad \alpha < \frac{p-4}{4p}.$$

We can easily check that thanks to the fact that $p > 8$ we can take α and β such that these inequalities hold. \square

5 Estimates for the heat kernel with white-noise drift

In this section, following the approach of [13] we construct and estimate the backward heat kernel of the random operator $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \dot{v}(t, x) \frac{d}{dx}$, where $v = \{v(t, x), t \in$

$[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R})$ is a zero mean Gaussian field which is Brownian in time. The differential $\dot{v}(t, x)dt := v(dt, x)$ is interpreted in the backward Itô sense. More precisely, we assume that v can be represented as

$$v(t, x) = \int_{I^t} g(x, y) dW_{s,y}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function, differentiable with respect to x , satisfying the following condition

$$\sup_x \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(g(x, y)^2 + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y)^2 \right) dy < \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

Set $G(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x, z) g(y, z) dz$ and let us introduce the following *coercivity* condition:

$$(C1) \quad \Sigma(x) := 1 - \frac{1}{2} G(x, x) \geq \varepsilon > 0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Let $b = \{b(t), t \in [0, T]\}$ be a Brownian motion with variance $2t$ defined on another probability space $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{G}, Q)$. Consider the following *backward stochastic differential equation* on the product probability space $(\Omega \times \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G}, P \times Q)$:

$$\varphi_{t,s}(x) = x - \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\varphi_{t,r}(x), y) dW_{r,y} + \int_s^t \sqrt{\Sigma(\varphi_{t,r}(x))} db_r. \quad (5.3)$$

Applying Theorems 3.4.1 and 4.5.1 in [7] one can prove that (5.3) has a solution $\varphi = \{\varphi_{t,s}(x), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ continuous in the three variables and verifying

$$\varphi_{r,s}(\varphi_{t,r}(x)) = \varphi_{t,s}(x), \quad (5.4)$$

for all $s < r < t, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then we have the following result

Proposition 5.1 *Let v be a Gaussian random field of the form (5.1) where the function g satisfies the coercivity condition (C1) and assume that g is three times continuously differentiable in x and satisfies*

$$\sup_x \sum_{k=0}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g^{(k)}(x, y)|^2 dy < \infty.$$

Then there is a version of the density

$$p(s, t, y, x) = \frac{Q(\varphi_{t,s}(x) \in dy)}{dy}$$

which satisfies conditions (H1) to (H8) and (H9)_M for each $M > 0$.

Proof. Let us denote by δ^b and D^b the divergence and derivative operators with respect to the Brownian motion b . Applying the integration-by-parts formula of Malliavin calculus with respect to the Brownian motion b we obtain

$$p(s, t, y, x) = E_Q \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi_{t,s}(x) > y\}} H_{t,s}(x) \right), \quad (5.5)$$

where

$$H_{t,s}(x) = \delta^b \left(\frac{D^b \varphi_{t,s}(x)}{\|D^b \varphi_{t,s}(x)\|^2} \right).$$

Hypothesis (H1) follows easily from the expression (5.5) because $\varphi_{t,s}(x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}$ -measurable. The fact that $y \mapsto p(s, t, y, x)$ is the probability density of $\varphi_{t,s}(x)$, which has a continuous version in all the variables $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, imply (H2), (H3) and (H4). Hypothesis (H5) is a consequence of the flow property (5.4).

Applying the derivative operator to (5.5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_{r,z} p(s, t, y, x) &= E_Q \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi_{t,s}(x) > y\}} D_{r,z} H_{t,s}(x) \right) \\ &+ E_Q \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi_{t,s}(x) > y\}} \Psi_{t,s}(x) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\Psi_{t,s}(x) = \delta^b \left(\frac{D^b \varphi_{t,s}(x)}{\|D^b \varphi_{t,s}(x)\|^2} D_{r,z} \varphi_{t,s}(x) H_{t,s}(x) \right).$$

Then hypothesis (H6) follows easily from Equation (5.6). Conditions (H7), (H8) and (H9)_M will be proved in the following lemmas. \square

Lemma 5.2 *The stochastic kernel $p(s, t, y, x)$ satisfies condition (H7) with the constant $\delta_p = \frac{p}{K}$, for any $K < \frac{1}{4}$.*

Proof. By (5.5) the kernel p can be expressed as

$$p(s, t, y, x) = E_Q \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{B_{t,s}(x) > y-x\}} H_{t,s}(x) \right) \quad (5.7)$$

$$= E_Q \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{-B_{t,s} > x-y\}} H_{t,s}(x) \right), \quad (5.8)$$

where $B_{t,s}(x) = \varphi_{t,s}(x) - x$. Since B and $-B$ have the same distribution, it is sufficient to consider the expression in (5.7) and assume that $x \leq y$. Using the trivial bound

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{B > a\}} \leq \exp \frac{(K B^2)}{p(t-s)} \exp -\frac{(K a^2)}{p(t-s)}$$

for any $a \geq 0$, $k > 0$ we obtain

$$p(s, t, y, x) \leq e^{-\frac{K|x-y|^2}{p(t-s)}} V_p(s, t, x),$$

where

$$V_p(s, t, x) = E_Q \left(\exp \frac{(K B_{t,s}(x)^2)}{p(t-s)} |H_{t,s}(x)| \right).$$

We only need to calculate $E |V_p(s, t, x)|^p$. By Schwartz's inequality

$$E |V_p(s, t, x)|^p \leq \left(E \exp \frac{(2K B_{t,s}(x)^2)}{(t-s)} E |H_{t,s}(x)|^{2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that, if we fix t and let s vary, $B_{t,s}(x)$ becomes a backward martingale with quadratic variation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle B_{t,\cdot}(x) \rangle_s &= \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^2(\varphi_{t,r}(x), y) dy dr + 2 \int_s^t \Sigma(\varphi_{t,r}(x)) dr \\ &= (t - s).\end{aligned}$$

This gives us that $B_{t,\cdot}(x)$ is a Brownian motion, and then, for any $K < \frac{1}{4}$

$$E \exp \left(\frac{2K}{(t-s)} B_{t,s}(x)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4K}}. \quad (5.9)$$

On the other hand, it is known (see [13], proof of Proposition 10, (5.5)) that

$$\left(E |H_{t,s}(x)|^{2p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_p (t-s)^{-\frac{p}{2}},$$

and now the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.3 *The stochastic kernel $p(s, t, y, x)$ satisfies condition (H8) with the constant $\gamma_p = \frac{p}{K}$, for any $K < \frac{1}{4}$.*

Proof. We express $D_{s,z}^- p(s, t, y, x)$ as in [13] as

$$\begin{aligned}D_{s,z}^- p(s, t, y, x) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[p(s, t, y, x) g(y, z) \right] \\ &= -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(s, t, y, x) g(y, z) - p(s, t, y, x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(y, z).\end{aligned}$$

Since g and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$ satisfy condition (H8) (ii) and p satisfies the bound (H7), we only need to show

$$\left| \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(s, t, y, x) \right| \leq U_p(s, t, x) \exp \left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{\gamma_p(t-s)} \right), \quad (5.10)$$

where $\|U_p(s, t, x)\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \leq C_p(t-s)^{-1}$. Now taking the derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ inside the formula (5.5) for p and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}(s, t, y, x) = E_Q \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{B_{t,s}(x) > y-x\}} H'_{t,s}(x) \right),$$

where

$$H'_{t,s}(x) = \delta^b \left(\frac{D^b \varphi_{b,s}(x)}{\|D^b \varphi_{t,s}(x)\|^2} H_{t,s}(x) \right).$$

The proof of Proposition 11 in [13] indicates that $\|H'_{b,s}(x)\|_q \leq C_q(t-s)^{-1}$ for all $q \geq 1$. Therefore, the estimates on $E \exp \frac{2K B_{t,s}^2(x)}{(t-s)}$ from the proof of Lemma 5.2 yield the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.4 *The stochastic kernel $p(s, t, y, x)$ satisfies condition (H9) $_M$ for all $M > 0$.*

Proof. By Equation (4.6) in [13] we know that

$$\begin{aligned} p(s, t, y, x) dx &= q(s, t, y, x) dx \\ &+ \int_{I_s^t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} (s, r, y, z) q(r, t, z, x) dz \right] dW_{r,y}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q(s, t, y, x) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-x|^2}{4\sqrt{t-s}}\right)$. This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} p(s, t, y, x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} q(s, t, y, x) dx \\ &+ \int_{I_s^t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} (s, r, y, z) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} q(r, t, z, x) dx \right) dz \right] dW_{r,y} \\ &=: T_1 + T_2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} q(s, t, z, x) dx &= e^{-M|z|} + \int_r^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \theta} (r, \theta, z, x) dx \right) d\theta \\ &= e^{-M|z|} + \frac{M^2}{2} \int_r^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} q(r, \theta, z, x) dx \right) d\theta \\ &\quad - M \int_r^t q(r, \theta, z, 0) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Fubini's stochastic theorem allows us then to write

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \int_{I_s^t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} (s, r, y, z) e^{-M|z|} dz \right] dW_{dr,dy} \\ &+ \int_s^t \left[\int_{I_s^\theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} (s, r, y, z) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} q(r, \theta, z, x) dz \right) dW_{r,y} \right) \right] d\theta \\ &+ \int_s^t \left[\int_{I_s^\theta} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} (s, r, y, z) q(r, \theta, z, 0) dz \right) dW_{r,y} \right] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From (4.6) in [13] it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \int_{I_s^t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} (s, r, y, z) e^{-M|z|} dz \right] dW_{r,y} \\ &+ \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} [p(s, \theta, y, x) - q(s, \theta, y, x)] dx \right) d\theta \\ &+ \int_s^t [p(s, \theta, y, 0) - q(s, \theta, y, 0)] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Using integration-by-parts formula it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &= -M \int_{I_s^t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) p(s, r, y, z) e^{-M|z|} sg(z) dz \right] dW_{r,y} \\
&\quad + \int_{I_s^t} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} (z, y) p(s, r, y, z) e^{-M|z|} dz \right] dW_{r,y} \\
&\quad + \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} [p(s, \theta, y, x) - q(s, \theta, y, x)] dx \right) d\theta \\
&\quad + \int_s^t [p(s, \theta, y, 0) - q(s, \theta, y, 0)] d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that for all $M > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^T E |p(s, \theta, y, 0)| d\theta + \int_s^T q(s, \theta, y, 0) d\theta + \left(E \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} p(s, \theta, y, x) dx \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_{M,T} e^{-M|y|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-M|x|} p(s, t, y, x) dx \right) &\leq C_{M,T} \left\{ e^{-M|y|} \right. \\
&\quad + \left(E \int_{I_s^T} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} (z, y) p(s, r, y, z) e^{-M|z|} dz \right)^2 dr dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \left. + \left(E \int_{I_s^T} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, y) p(s, r, y, z) e^{-M|z|} sg(z) dz \right)^2 dr dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&\leq C_{M,T} \left\{ e^{-M|y|} + \left(E \int_s^T \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, r, y, z) e^{-M|z|} dz \right|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \\
&\leq C_{M,T} e^{-M|y|},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us (H9)_M. Now the proof is complete. \square

6 Equivalence of evolution and weak solutions

Assume the notations of Section 5. By (4.15) in [13] we know that $p(s, t, y, x)$ is the fundamental solution (in the variables t and x) of the equation

$$du_t = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} (t, x) dt + v(dt, x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (t, x). \quad (6.1)$$

Our purpose in this section is to study the following stochastic partial differential equation

$$du_t = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} (t, x) dt + v(dt, x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (t, x) + F(t, x, u(t, x)) \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial t \partial x}, \quad (6.2)$$

with initial condition $u_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let us introduce the following definition.

Definition 6.1 Let $u = \{u(t, x), (t, x) \in I^t\}$ be an adapted process. We say that u is a weak solution of (6.2) if for every $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_K^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $t \in [0, T]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) u(t, x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) u_0(x) dx + \int_{I^t} \psi''(x) u(s, x) ds dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u(s, x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) dW_{s,y} \right) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi'(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u(s, x) g(x, y) dW_{s,y} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{I^t} \psi(x) u(s, x) dW_{s,x}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Now we have the following result.

Theorem 6.2 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1-ii), the solution $u = \{u(t, x), (t, x) \in I^T\}$ of (1.1) is a weak solution of (6.2).

Proof. Suppose that u is the solution of (1.1). Let $\{e_k, k \geq 1\}$ be a complete orthonormal system in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For all $m \geq 1$ and $(t, x) \in I^T$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} u^m(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, t, y, x) u_0(y) dy \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I^t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t, z, x) F_s(z) e_k(z) dz \right) e_k(y) dW_{s,y}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where $F_s(z) := F(s, z, u(s, z))$. The stochastic process $u^m(t, x)$ is well-defined because $\left\{ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t, z, x) F_s(z) e_k(z) dz \right) e_k(y) \mathbb{1}_{I^t}(s, y) \right\}$ belongs to the domain of δ for each $k \geq 1$. This property can be proved by the arguments used in the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4. By (4.8) in [13] we know that for all $0 \leq s < t \leq T$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, t, y, x) f(y) dy &= f(x) + \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2}(s, r, y, x) f(y) dy \right) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(s, r, y, x) f(y) dy \right) v(dr, x). \end{aligned}$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} u^m(t, x) &= u_0(x) + \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2}(0, r, y, x) u_0(y) dy \right) dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(0, r, y, x) u_0(y) dy \right) v(dr, x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I^t} \phi(s, x) e_k(x) e_k(y) dW_{s,y} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I^t} \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2}(s, r, z, x) F_s(z) e_k(z) dz \right) dr \right] e_k(y) dW_{s,y} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I^t} \left[\int_s^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(s, r, z, x) F_s(z) e_k(z) dz \right) v(dr, x) \right] e_k(y) dW_{s,y}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ψ be a test function in $\mathcal{C}_K^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Using integration by parts formula and Fubini's theorem it is easy to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^m(t, x) \psi(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) u_0(x) dx \\
&+ \int_{I^t} \psi''(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, r, y, x) u_0(y) dy \right) dr dx \\
&- \int_{I^t} \psi'(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, r, y, x) u_0(y) dy \right) v(dr, x) dx \\
&- \int_{I^t} \psi(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(0, r, y, x) u_0(y) dy \right) \operatorname{div} v(dr, x) dx \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I^t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) F_s(x) e_k(x) dx \right) e_k(y) dW_{s,y} \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi''(x) \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{I^r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, r, z, x) F_s(z) e_k(z) dz \right) e_k(y) dW_{s,y} \right) dr \right] dx \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi'(x) \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{I^r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, r, z, x) F_s(z) e_k(z) dz \right) e_k(y) dW_{s,y} \right) v(dr, x) \right] dx \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{I^r} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(s, r, z, x) F_s(z) e_k(z) dz \right) e_k(y) dW_{s,y} \right) \operatorname{div} v(dr, x) \right] dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^m(t, x) \psi(x) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) u_0(x) dx \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I^t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) F_s(x) e_k(x) dx \right) e_k(y) dW_{s,y} \\
&+ \int_{I^t} \psi''(x) u^m(r, x) dr dx \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi'(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u^m(r, x) g(x, y) dW_{r,y} \right) dx \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u^m(r, x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) dW_{r,y} \right) dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_m E \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \int_{I^t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) F_s(x) e_k(x) dx \right) e_k(y) dW_{s,y} - \int_{I^t} \psi(y) F_{s,y} dW_{s,y} \right|^2 \\
= \lim_m E \int_0^t \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) F_s(x) e_k(x) dx \right)^2 ds = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof it suffices to show that for any smooth and cylindrical random variable $G \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_m E \left(G \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^m(t, x) \psi(x) dx \right) &= E \left(\dot{G} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) \psi(x) dx \right), \\ \lim_m E \left(G \int_{I^t} \psi''(x) u^m(r, x) dr dx \right) &= E \left(G \int_{I^t} \psi''(x) u(r, x) dr dx \right), \\ \lim_m E \left(G \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi'(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u^m(r, x) g(x, y) dW_{r,y} \right) dx \right) \\ &= E \left(G \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi'(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u(r, x) g(x, y) dW_{r,y} \right) dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_m E \left(G \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u^m(r, x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) dW_{r,y} \right) dx \right) \\ = E \left(G \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \left(\int_{I^t} u(r, x) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) dW_{r,y} \right) dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

These convergences are easily checked using the duality relationship between the Skorohod integral and the derivative operator. \square

References

- [1] ALÚS, E., LEÛN, J.A. and NUALART, D.: Stochastic heat equation with random coefficients. *Probab. Theory Rel.Fields*, to appear.
- [2] ALÚS, E. and NUALART, D.: An extension of Itô's formula for anticipating processes. *Journal of Theoretical Probab.* **11**, 493–514 (1998).
- [3] DA PRATO, G. and ZABCZYK, J.: *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [4] FRIEDMAN, A.: *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*. Prentice-Hall, 1964.
- [5] HU, Y. and NUALART, D.: Continuity of some anticipating integral processes. *Statistics and Probability Letters* **37**, 203–211 (1998).
- [6] KIFER, Y. and KUNITA, H.: Random positive semigroups and their random infinitesimal generators. In: *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* (I.M. Davies, A. Truman, K.D. Elworthy, eds.), World Scientific 1996, 270–285.
- [7] KUNITA, H.: *Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.

- [8] KUNITA, H.: Generalized solutions of a stochastic partial differential equation. *Journal of Theoretical Probab.* **7**, 279–308 (1994).
- [9] LEÛN, J.A. and NUALART, D.: Stochastic evolution equations with random generators. *Ann. Probab.* **26**, 149–186 (1998).
- [10] MALLIAVIN, P.: Stochastic calculus of variations and hypoelliptic operators. In: *Proc. Inter. Symp. on Stoch. Diff. Eq.*, Kyoto 1976, Wiley, 195–263 (1978).
- [11] NUALART, D.: *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics*. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [12] NUALART, D. and PARDOUX, E.: Stochastic calculus with anticipating integrands. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **78**, 535–581 (1988).
- [13] NUALART, D. and VIENS, F.: Evolution equation of a stochastic semigroup with white-noise drift. Preprint.
- [14] PARDOUX, E. and PROTTER, PH.: Two-sided stochastic integrals and calculus. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **76**, 15–50 (1987).
- [15] SKOROHOD, A.V.: On a generalization of a stochastic integral. *Theory Probab. Appl.* **20**, 219–233 (1975).
- [16] WALSH, J.B.: An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **1180**, 264–437 (1984).
- [17] ZAKAI, M.: Some moment inequalities for stochastic integrals and for solutions of stochastic differential equations. *Israel J. Math.* **5**, 170–176 (1967).