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Abstract

Asymptotic properties of M-estimators for the linear model
\( Y_n = X_n \theta + U_n \), where \( U_n = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \) and \( \{u_i, i \geq 1\} \) form a stationary \( \phi \)-mixing process, are investigated.
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0. Introduction

Asymptotic theory of maximum likelihood type robust estimators or the so called M-estimators for the linear model has been studied by Huber (1972) and more recently by Yohai and Maronna (1979) under the assumption that the errors are independent and identically distributed. Huber (1972) says that "the assumption of independence is a serious restriction; the assumption that the errors are identically distributed simplifies notations and calculations but could easily be relaxed". Our aim in this paper is to extend the results of Yohai and Maronna (1979) on consistency and asymptotic normality of M-estimators of regression coefficients when the errors form a stationary $\phi$-mixing process. As can be expected, the results are not as sharp as they are in the independent case. Our results include the case when the number $p$ of the parameters increases with the number $n$ of observations.

Asymptotic properties of M-estimators for location parameter families were studied by Deniau, Oppenheim and Viano (1977) for mixing processes and asymptotic theory of M-estimators for Markov processes is investigated in Prakasa Rao (1972) generalizing the work of Huber (1967).

1. Preliminaries

Let $\{U_n, n \geq 0\}$ be a real-valued stationary process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$. Denote the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $U_{i_k}, k \leq i \leq m$ by $\mathcal{B}_k^m$. Let

$$\phi(n) = \sup \{\operatorname{ess} \sup \{P(B|\mathcal{B}_0^k) - P(B); B \in \mathcal{B}_{k+n}\}.\}
$$

$\{U_n, n \geq 1\}$ is said to be $\phi$-mixing with mixing coefficient $\phi(n)$ if $\phi(n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. 

Lemma 1.1. Suppose \( f \) is \( \mathcal{B}_0^i \)-measurable, \( g \) is \( \mathcal{B}_j^\infty \)-measurable and \( E|f|^2 < \infty \) and \( E|g|^2 < \infty \). Then

\[
|Ef \cdot Eg| \leq 2 \frac{1}{2} \left( |j-i| \right) E \frac{1}{2} |f|^2 E \frac{1}{2} |g|^2.
\]

Lemma 1.2. Suppose the random variables \( f_i \) are \( \mathcal{B}_0^i \)-measurable for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( E|f_i|^2 < \infty \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Then

\[
|\text{Var} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i | \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}(j) \text{ Var } f_i.
\]

In particular, if \( M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}(j) < \infty \), then

\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \right) \leq (4M+1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{ Var } f_i.
\]

Lemma 1.3. Suppose \( \{U_n, n \geq 1\} \) is a stationary process \( \phi \)-mixing with mixing coefficient \( \phi(.) \) satisfying

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}(j) < \infty.
\]

Let \( \chi(.) \) be a real valued measurable function such that \( E|\chi(U_1)|^2 < \infty \) for some \( \delta > 0 \). Further suppose that \( E[\chi(U_1)] = 0 \) and \( X(U_1) \) is non-degenerate. Then

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X(U_j) \xrightarrow{L} N(0,1)
\]

where \( \sigma^2 = E[\chi(U_1)]^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E[\chi(U_1) \chi(U_n)] \).
For proofs of Lemmas 1.1-1.3, we refer the reader to Iosifescu and Theodorescu (1969) (cf. Ibragimov (1962)).

Lemma 1.4. Let \( \{U_n, n \geq 1\} \) be a stationary \( \phi \)-mixing processes with mixing coefficient \( \phi(.) \) satisfying

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^j(j) < \infty.
\]

Let \( f \) be a real valued measurable function such that \( 0 < \mathbb{E}[f(U_1)]^2 < \infty \).

Define

\[
\sigma^2 = \text{Var}[f(U_1)] + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \text{Cov}[f(U_1), f(U_i)].
\]

Further suppose that \( \{\beta_{in}, 1 \leq i < n, n \geq 1\} \) is a double sequence of real numbers such that

\[
\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{in} - n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \beta \right| = o(1).
\]

Then

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ f(U_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(U_1)) \right] \beta_{in} \overset{L}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).
\]

**Proof:** In view of Lemma 1.3, it is sufficient to prove that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ f(U_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(U_1)) \right] \beta_{in} - n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ f(U_i) - \mathbb{E}(f(U_1)) \right] \beta \right] \overset{P}{\longrightarrow} 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]
But $E(R_n) = 0$ and

$$\text{Var}(R_n) \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |i-j|\right) \text{Var}^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(U_i)) \text{Var}^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(U_j)).$$

(by Lemma 1.1)

$$\leq 2 \text{Var}(f(U_1)) \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \beta_{in} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(|i-j|)$$

$$= 2 \text{Var}(f(U_1)) \left\{ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} n |\beta_{in} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |^2 \right\} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(|i-j|) \right\}.$$

But

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(|i-j|) < \infty$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(j) \to \infty$. Furthermore $\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} n |\beta_{in} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} |^2 = o(1)$ by hypothesis.

Hence $\text{Var}(R_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $E(R_n) = 0$, we obtain that $R_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

2. Asymptotic Theory

Let us consider the general linear model

$$(2.0) \quad Y_n = X_n \theta + U_n$$

where $X_n$ is a given n xp-matrix, $\theta$ is the unknown $p$-dimensional vector, $U_n = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is the error vector with $\{u_i, i \geq 1\}$ forming a stationary
process which is \( \phi \)-mixing with mixing coefficient \( \psi(u) \) and \( \gamma_n = (Y_{1n}, \ldots, Y_{nn}) \) is the vector of observations. Let \( X_n^\circ \in \mathbb{R}^p \) be the \( i \)th row of \( X_n \) where \( p \) possibly dependent on \( n \).

Let \( \chi(.) \) be a non-decreasing function and consider the equation

\[
(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(Y_{in} - X_{in}^\circ \theta)X_{in} = 0.
\]

Any solution \( \hat{\theta}_n \) satisfying (2.1) is called an **M-estimator** of \( \theta \). (cf. Huber (1972)). Assume that

\( (A0) \quad \sum_n \frac{1}{\phi(n)} \to 0, \)

and

\( (A1) \quad X_n^\circ X_n \) is non-singular for large \( n \) (say) \( n > n_0 \).

Hereafter we assume that \( n > n_0 \). Let \( M_n \) be any pxp matrix such that

\[
M_n^{-1} M_n = X_n^\circ X_n.
\]

Let

\[
(2.2) \quad \hat{\theta}_n = M_n^{-1} \theta, \hat{\theta}_n = \hat{\theta}_n \hat{\theta}_n' and Z_{in} = (M_n^{-1})_n X_{in}.
\]

Then \( \hat{\theta}_n \) is a solution of

\[
(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(Y_{in} - Z_{in}^\circ \theta)Z_{in} = 0.
\]

Since we are interested in the asymptotic behaviour of the M-estimator \( \hat{\theta}_n \) or equivalently \( \hat{\theta}_n \), we assume that \( \theta^* = 0 \) without loss of generality.

In this case, we can write (2.3) in the form.

\[
(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(u_i - Z_{in}^\circ \theta)Z_{in} = 0.
\]

In addition to assumption \( (A1) \), let us suppose that the following conditions are satisfied.

\( (A2) \quad \chi(.) \) is non-decreasing and there exist \( b > 0, c > 0 \) and \( d > 0 \) such that
\[ \frac{x(u+z)-x(u)}{z} \geq \delta \text{ if } |u| \leq c \text{ and } |z| \leq b \]

where \( q = F(c) - F(-c) > 0 \). Here \( F(\cdot) \) is the distribution of \( u_1 \).

(A3) \( E_F(x^2(u)) = \nu \), \( E_F(x(u)) = 0 \).

Note that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} |z_{in}|^2 = p \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{in} z_{in}^* = I \)

where \( |q_j| \) is the Euclidean norm of \( q_j \) and \( I \) is an identity matrix of order \( p \times p \).

Lemma 2.1. For any \( i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_p \) in \( 1 \) to \( n \),

\[ P\left( \left| \sum_{j=1}^{p} x(u_{i_j}) z_{i_j n} \right| \geq k \right) \leq \frac{4M\nu}{k^2} \]

Proof - Note that \( E(\sum_{j=1}^{p} x(u_{i_j}) z_{i_j n}^2) = 0 \) and

\[
\text{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{p} x(u_{i_j}) z_{i_j n}^2 \right) \\
\leq (4M+1)E \sum_{j=1}^{p} \text{Var} \left[ x(u_{i_j}) z_{i_j n}^2 \right] \\
= (4M+1)\nu \sum_{j=1}^{p} |z_{i_j n}|^2 \\
\leq (4M+1)\nu p
\]

and the result follows by Chebyshev's inequality.

Let

\[ D(\varepsilon) = d \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{i_j n} z_{i_j n}^* I[|u_j| \leq c] I[|q_j| \leq \varepsilon] \]

and \( D_0(\varepsilon) = E D(\varepsilon) \) where \( I_A \) denotes the indicator function of set \( A \).
For any matrix $A$, define $||A||^2 = \text{trace}(A^*A)$. With these notations, the following lemmas can be proved. The proofs of these are the same as those in Yohai and Maronna (1979) as the independence of $\{u_i, i \geq 1\}$ is not used in proving these lemmas. We omit the details.

**Lemma 2.2.** For any $\delta > 0$,

$$P(||D(\varepsilon) - D_0(\varepsilon)|| \geq \delta) \leq \frac{r^2 \varepsilon^2 p}{\delta^2}$$

where $r^2 = d^2 q(1-q)$.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $K_0$ be chosen so that $\chi(\infty) < K_0 < K_0' < \chi(\infty)$.

Let $I_0$ be a subset of $1$ to $n$ with cardinality $m$. Let $\eta > 0$ and define

$$T = \{t : t_n \leq |t_j| \leq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

Then, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $L = L(\eta, \delta, m)$ which does not depend on $n$ such that

$$P\left(\sup_{J \subseteq \mathbb{J}, 0} \sum_{j \in J} [\chi(u_j - Lt_j) t_j + K_0 |t_j|] = 0 \right) \leq \delta.$$

As a consequence of Lemmas 2.1-2.3, we obtain the following theorem as in Yohai and Maronna (1979):

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume (A0)-(A3). Then, for any fixed $p$,

$$|\hat{\theta}^* - \theta^*| = 0_p(1).$$

If $p = p_n$ depends on $n$, and $\lim_n p_n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |2u_i n|_n^2 = 0$, then

$$|\hat{\theta}^* - \theta^*| = 0_p(p_n^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$
In particular, if \( p \) is fixed and the smallest eigenvalue \( \lambda_n \) of \( X_n^* X_n \) tends to infinity as \( n \to \infty \), then \( \hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{\text{P}} \theta \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**Theorem 2.2.** In addition to assumptions (A0)-(A3), further suppose that the following conditions hold:

(B1) \( X(.) \) is three times differentiable with a bounded third derivative i.e., \( |x'''(x)| < c < \infty \) for all \( x \),

(B2) \( E_F|x'(u)| \) and \( E_F|x''(u)|^2 \) are finite,

(B3) \( E_F x''(u) = 0 \),

(B4) \( \lim_{n \to \infty} p_n^{3/2} \epsilon_n = 0 \) where \( \epsilon_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\kappa_{in}|^2 \) and

(B5) there exists \( \tilde{\alpha}_n \in \mathbb{R}^p \) with \( |\tilde{\alpha}_n| = 1 \) such that

\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sqrt{n} \kappa_{in} \tilde{\alpha}_n - \alpha_n \right| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.
\]

Then

\[
(2.7) \quad \hat{\alpha}_n'(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^\ast) \xrightarrow{L} \mathcal{N}(0, \zeta^2)
\]

where

\[
\zeta^2 = (E_F[x^2(u_1)] + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} E_F[x(u_1)x(u_i)] / (E_F x'(u_1))^2).
\]

**Prob.:** Assume that \( \theta^\ast = 0 \) without loss of generality. Then \( \hat{\theta}_n \) is a solution of

\[
(2.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x(u_i - Z_{i,n}^\ast \tilde{\alpha}_n) \kappa_{in} = 0.
\]

By Taylor's expansion,

\[
(2.9) \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x(u_i - Z_{i,n}^\ast \hat{\theta}_n) \kappa_{in} \tilde{\alpha}_n
\]
\[ w_1 = w_1 - w_2 + \frac{w_3}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \theta^* + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\theta}^* \\ \hat{\theta}^* \\
 \end{bmatrix} W_4 \theta^* - \frac{1}{6} W_5 \]

where

(2.10) \[ w_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x(u_i) Z_i | \alpha_{in}^0, \]

(2.11) \[ w_2 = \left( E_F \chi' \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{in}^0 Z_i Z_i^T \hat{\theta}^*, \]

(2.12) \[ w_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi'(u_i) E_F \chi' (\alpha_{in}^0 Z_i) \alpha_{in}^0, \]

(2.13) \[ w_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi''(u_i) (\alpha_{in}^0 Z_i) Z_i, \]

and

(2.14) \[ w_5 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi'''(u_i + \eta_{in}) \alpha_{in}^0 Z_i Z_i^T \alpha_{in}^0 \]

with \[ |\eta_{in}| < 1. \]

Since \[ |\alpha_{in}| = 1 \] and \[ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\sqrt{n} Z_i \alpha_{in} - \alpha_{in}| \to 0, \]

it follows that \[ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\sqrt{n} Z_i \alpha_{in} \alpha_{in} - \alpha_{in} \alpha_{in}| \to 0 \] as \( n \to \infty \)

and hence

\[ \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sqrt{n} |\beta_{in} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}| = o(1) \]

where \( \beta_{in} = \frac{Z_i \alpha_{in}}{\alpha_{in}}. \) Hence, by Lemma 1.4, we obtain that

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma \chi(u_i) \beta_{in} \end{bmatrix} \to N(0, \sigma^2) \]

where

(2.15) \[ \sigma^2 = \text{E}[\chi^2(u_i)] + 2 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \text{E} \chi(u_1) \chi(u_i). \]
Therefore
\begin{equation}
(2.16) \quad w_1 \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \sigma^2).
\end{equation}

Note that
\begin{equation}
(2.17) \quad w_2 = (E_{i=1}^n Z_{i \text{in}}') \tilde{\gamma}^T_{\text{in}} \hat{\theta}^*.
\end{equation}

and
\begin{equation}
\tilde{\gamma}^T_{\text{in}} \cdot Z_{i \text{in}} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{i \text{in}} \\
\tilde{Z}_{i \text{in}} \
\end{bmatrix}
\end{equation}

is identity matrix of order $p_n \times p_n$. Observe that $E(W_3) = 0$ and

\begin{equation}
(2.20) \quad p_n E |W_3|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} E |W_{3i}|^2
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
= \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \text{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X'(u_i) \tilde{\gamma}^T_{\text{in}} Z_{i \text{in}})
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\leq (4M+1) \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \text{Var}(X'(u_i)) \text{Var} (\tilde{\gamma}^T_{\text{in}} Z_{i \text{in}})^2
\end{equation}

(by Lemma 1.2)

\begin{equation}
\leq (4M+1) \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \text{Var}(X'(u_i)) e_{\text{in}}^T \tilde{\gamma}^T_{\text{in}} Z_{i \text{in}}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\leq C_1 p_n e_{\text{in}}
\end{equation}

for some constant $C_1 > 0$ since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\tilde{\gamma}^T_{\text{in}} Z_{i \text{in}})^2 = 1$. The last term tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ by (B4). On the other hand $||W_4||^2 = \text{trace} (W_4 W_4^T)$ and $E(\tilde{X}'(u_1)) = 0$.

Hence
by arguments analogous to those given above and the last term is bounded by

\[ p_n \leq (4M+1) p_n^2 \text{Var}(\chi(u)) \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i' Z_{\text{in}})^2 |Z_{\text{in}}|^4 \]

(2.21) \( C_2 p_n \epsilon_n^2 \)

for some constant \( C_2 > 0 \) since \( \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i' Z_{\text{in}})^2 = 1 \). But \( p_n \epsilon_n \to 0 \) by (B4). Clearly

\[ |w_5| \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\epsilon}_i / \epsilon_{\text{in}} n)^2 |\hat{\epsilon}_n| |Z_{\text{in}}|^2 \]

where \( c \) is given by (B1) and hence

(2.22) \[ |w_5| \leq c |\hat{\epsilon}_n| \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\epsilon}_i / \epsilon_{\text{in}} n)^2 \]

\[ = p_n^{3/2} \epsilon_n \]

by theorem 2.1. The last term tends to zero by hypothesis.
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